arXiv:1410.0212v2 [math.AG] 9 Feb 2016

ANALYTIC TORSION FOR BORCEA-VOISIN THREEFOLDS

KEN-ICHI YOSHIKAWA

Dedicated to Professor Jean-Michel Bismut

Abstract. In their study of genus-one string amplitude F_1, Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa discovered a remarkable identification between holomorphic Ray-Singer torsion and instanton numbers for Calabi-Yau threefolds. The holomorphic torsion invariant of Calabi-Yau threefolds corresponding to F_1 is called BCOV invariant. In this paper, we establish an identification between the BCOV invariants of Borcea-Voisin threefolds and another holomorphic torsion invariants for $K3$ surfaces with involution. We also introduce BCOV invariants for abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds. Between Borcea-Voisin orbifold and its crepant resolution, we compare their BCOV invariants.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. BCOV invariants for Calabi-Yau threefolds 5
2. Holomorphic torsion invariant for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces 13
3. BCOV invariants for Borcea-Voisin threefolds 19
4. Orbifold submersions and characteristic forms 32
5. Equivariant BCOV torsion and its curvature 47
6. BCOV invariants for global abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds 50
7. BCOV invariants for general abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds 59
8. BCOV invariants for Borcea-Voisin orbifolds 64
References 71

Introduction

In [2], [3], Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa discovered a remarkable identification between holomorphic Ray-Singer torsion and instanton numbers for Calabi-Yau threefolds. For a Calabi-Yau threefold X, they introduced the following combination of Ray-Singer analytic torsions [31], [5]

$$T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma) = \exp\{-\sum_{p,q}(-1)^{p+q}pq\,\zeta'_{p,q}(0)\},$$

where $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ is the spectral zeta function of the Laplacian acting on the (p,q)-forms on X with respect to a Ricci-flat Kähler metric γ. Although $T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)$ itself is *not* an invariant of X, its correction [12]

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X) = \mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)^{-3+\frac{\chi(X)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])^{-1}T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)$$

is an invariant of X, where $\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])$ is the covolume of the lattice $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$ with respect to the L^2-metric on the cohomology group induced by γ and $\chi(X)$ is the topological Euler number of X. We call $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X)$ the *BCOV invariant* of X. Because of its invariance property, τ_{BCOV} gives rise to a function on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds. The function $-\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ is identified with the physical quantity F_1. Then the remarkable conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa [3] can be formulated as follows.

Let $\Delta^* \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the unit punctured disc. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to (\Delta^*)^n$ be a large complex structure limit of Calabi-Yau threefolds [28] with fiber $X_s = \pi^{-1}(s)$, $s \in (\Delta^*)^n$ and let Y be the mirror Calabi-Yau threefold corresponding to the large complex structure limit point $0 \in \Delta^n$. Define the function $F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t)$ on the complexified Kähler cone $H^2(Y,\mathbf{R}) + i\,\mathcal{K}_Y$ as the infinite product [2]

$$F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t) = e^{2\pi i \int_Y \frac{1}{24} t \wedge c_2(Y)} \prod_{d \in H_2(Y,\mathbf{Z})\setminus\{0\}} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \langle t,d\rangle}\right)^{\frac{n_0(d)}{12}} \prod_{\nu \geq 1} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \nu \langle t,d\rangle}\right)^{n_1(d)}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_Y$ is the Kähler cone of Y and the numbers $\{n_g(d)\}$ are certain curve counting invariants of Y. Then the conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa claims that $F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t)$ converges when $\Im t \gg 0$ and that the following equality holds near the large complex structure limit point $0 \in \Delta^n$

(0.1)

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_s) = C \left\| F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t(s))^2 \left(\frac{\Xi_s}{\langle A_0^\vee, \Xi_s\rangle}\right)^{3+n+\frac{\chi(X_s)}{12}} \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right)_{t(s)} \right\|^2,$$

where C is a constant, $n = h^{2,1}(X_s) = h^{1,1}(Y)$, $A_0^\vee \in \Gamma((\Delta^*)^n, R^3\pi_*\mathbf{Z})$ is $\pi_1((\Delta^*)^n)$-invariant, $\{\Xi_s\}_{s\in(\Delta^*)^n} \in \Gamma((\Delta^*)^n, \pi_* K_{\mathcal{X}/(\Delta^*)^n})$ is a nowhere vanishing relative canonical form of the family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to (\Delta^*)^n$, $t(s) = (t_1(s),\ldots,t_n(s))$ is the system of *canonical coordinates* [28] on Δ^n and $\|\cdot\|$ is the Hermitian metric induced from the L^2-metric on $\pi_* K_{\mathcal{X}/(\Delta^*)^n}$ and the Weil-Petersson metric on the holomorphic tangent bundle on $(\Delta^*)^n$. After the works of Klemm-Mariño [19], Maulik-Pandharipande [27], Zinger [49], the curve counting invariants $\{n_g(d)\}$ appearing in $F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t)$ are expected to be the Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of Y.

To our knowledge, this conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa is still widely open. Although the curvature equation characterizing τ_{BCOV} on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds is known [5], [3], [11], [12], because of the global nature of the differential equation and because the global structure of the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds is not well understood in general, it is difficult to derive an explicit expression of the function τ_{BCOV} in the canonical coordinates near the large complex structure limit point. (See [12], [45] for some Calabi-Yau threefolds whose BCOV invariants are explicitly expressed on the moduli space.)

In the present paper, generalizing [12], [45], we shall give more examples of Calabi-Yau threefolds whose BCOV invariant can be explicitly expressed on a certain *locus* of their moduli space. The Calabi-Yau threefolds which we mainly treat in the present paper are those studied by Borcea [7] and Voisin [40]. In their study of mirror symmetry, Borcea and Voisin introduced a class of Calabi-Yau threefolds which are defined as the natural resolution

$$\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)} \to X_{(S,\theta,T)} = (S \times T)/\theta \times (-1)_T.$$

Here, (S,θ) is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface, i.e., a $K3$ surface equipped with an anti-symplectic holomorphic involution, and T is an elliptic curve. We call $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ (resp $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$) the Borcea-Voisin threefold (resp. orbifold) associated with (S,θ,T). By the computation of the Hodge numbers of $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ and hence the dimension of its Kuranishi space [40], a generic deformation of $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is no longer of Borcea-Voisin type unless S^θ, the fixed-point-set of the θ-action on S, is either empty or rational. Hence, in most cases, the Borcea-Voisin locus forms a proper subvariety of the deformation space of $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$.

By construction, once their deformation type is fixed, Borcea-Voisin threefolds are parametrized by a certain Zariski open subset of the locally symmetric variety associated with the product of the period domain for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces and that of elliptic curves. We regard this locally symmetric variety as the moduli space of Borcea-Voisin threefolds of fixed deformation type. By Nikulin [29], [30], the deformation type of (S,θ) and hence that of $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is determined by the isometry class of the invariant sublattice of $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ with respect to the θ-action

$$H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^\theta = \{l \in H^2(S,\mathbf{Z});\ \theta^* l = l\}.$$

For this reason, the isometry class of $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^\theta$ is called the type of (S,θ) and also the type of $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$. There exist 75 distinct types [29], [30]. We often identify a type with its representative, hence a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice of the $K3$-lattice.

In their study of duality in string theory [16], Harvey-Moore studied F_1 for a special class of Borcea-Voisin threefolds, called Enriques Calabi-Yau threefolds, and gave an identification between F_1 for Enriques Calabi-Yau threefolds and the equivariant determinant of Laplacian for $K3$ surfaces with fixed-point-free involution. (See also [12], [44].) After Harvey-Moore, in [43], another holomorphic torsion invariant was constructed for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M (cf. Section 2.2):

$$\tau_M(S,\theta) = \mathrm{Vol}(S,\kappa)^{\frac{14-r(M)}{4}} \tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\kappa)(\theta)\, \mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta, \kappa|_{S^\theta}) \tau(S^\theta, \kappa|_{S^\theta}),$$

where κ is a θ-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler form on S, $\tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\kappa)(\theta)$ is the equivariant analytic torsion of (S,θ) with respect to κ, $r(M)$ is the rank of M, and $\tau(S^\theta, \kappa|_{S^\theta})$ is the analytic torsion of $(S^\theta, \kappa|_{S^\theta})$. By its invariance property, τ_M is regarded as a function on the moduli space of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M. In [43], [47], the automorphy of the function τ_M was established:

$$\tau_M = \|\Phi_M\|^{-1/2\nu},$$

where $\nu > 0$ is a certain integer and $\|\Phi_M\|$ is the Petersson norm of an automorphic form Φ_M on the moduli space vanishing exactly on the discriminant locus with multiplicity ν. Recently, in [46], [22], the structure of Φ_M as an automorphic form on the moduli space of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M is determined. Namely, Φ_M is always the tensor product of an explicit Borcherds product and a classical Siegel modular form. (See Section 2.4.)

In this way, we have two invariants $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)})$ and $\tau_M(S,\theta)$, which can be identified by Harvey-Moore [16] when S/θ is an Enriques surface. Our first result is an extension of this identification of Harvey-Moore to arbitrary types of Borcea-Voisin threefolds and the corresponding 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces.

Theorem 0.1. *There exists a constant C_M depending only on the isometry class M such that for every Borcea-Voisin threefold $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ of type M,*

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}) = C_M\, \tau_M\,(S,\theta)^{-4}\,\left\|\eta(T)^{24}\right\|^2,$$

where $\|\eta(T)\|$ is the value of the Petersson norm of the Dedekind η-function evaluated at the period of T.

For an explicit formula for the BCOV invariant in terms of automorphic forms, see Corollary 3.3. We remark that Theorem 0.1 was conjectured in [45, Conj. 5.17]. After the conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa (0.1), Theorem 0.1 clarifies the significance of the invariant τ_M in mirror symmetry.

Since the BCOV torsion makes sense for Calabi-Yau orbifolds, it is natural to ask the possibility of extending the construction of BCOV invariants to Calabi-Yau orbifolds. In this paper, we give a partial answer to this question by constructing BCOV invariants for abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds.

Let Y be an abelian Calabi-Yau orbifold and let ΣY be its inertia orbifold (cf. [18], [24]). We define the BCOV invariant of Y as

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y) = T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\gamma)\,\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\gamma)^{-3+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])^{-1}\\ \times\,\tau(\Sigma Y,\gamma|_{\Sigma Y})^{-1}\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma Y,\gamma|_{\Sigma Y})^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where γ is a Ricci-flat Kähler metric on Y in the sense of orbifolds, $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)$ is a rational number defined as the integral of certain characteristic forms on Y and ΣY (cf. (6.2)), and $\tau(\Sigma Y,\gamma|_{\Sigma Y})$ is the analytic torsion of $(\Sigma Y,\gamma|_{\Sigma Y})$. (See Section 7.) When Y is a global Calabi-Yau orbifold $Y = X/G$, then $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y) = \chi(X,G)$ is the string-theoretic orbifold Euler characteristic of Y and is equal to the Euler characteristic of an arbitrary crepant resolution of Y (cf. [32]). In Sections 6 and 7, we shall prove that $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ is independent of the choice of γ. Hence $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ is an invariant of Y. Then a natural question is a comparison of the two BCOV invariants $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{Y})$ and $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$, where $\widetilde{Y}$ is a crepant resolution of Y. In Section 8, following Harvey-Moore [16], we shall prove the following.

Theorem 0.2. *There exists a constant C'_M depending only on M such that for every Borcea-Voisin orbifold $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ of type M,*

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}\left(X_{(S,\theta,T)}\right) = C'_M\,\tau_M\,(S,\theta)^{-4}\,\|\eta(T)^{24}\|^2.$$

Corollary 0.3. *There exists a constant C''_M depending only on M such that for every Borcea-Voisin orbifold $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ of type M,*

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}) = C''_M\,\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}\left(X_{(S,\theta,T)}\right).$$

Our proof of Corollary 0.3 is indirect in the sense that it is a consequence of Theorems 0.1 and 0.2. A direct proof of Corollary 0.3 along the line of [45, Question 5.18] is strongly desired.

To prove Theorem 0.1, we compare the complex Hessians of $\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ and $\log(\tau_M^{-4}\|\eta^{24}\|^2)$ to conclude that $\log[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}/(\tau_M^{-4}\|\eta^{24}\|^2)]$ is a pluriharmonic function on the moduli space of Borcea-Voisin threefolds of type M. Then we must prove that $\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ and $\log(\tau_M^{-4}\|\eta^{24}\|^2)$ have the same singularity on the discriminant locus of the moduli space. By the locality of the singularity of $\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ established in [48] and the corresponding result for $\log\tau_M$ in [43], we can verify that τ_{BCOV}

and $\tau_M^{-4}\|\eta^{24}\|^2$ have the same singularity on the discriminant locus by computing their singularities for some particular examples of Borcea-Voisin threefolds.

The construction of BCOV invariants for abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds is an application of the theory of Quillen metrics [5], [4], [23], [24]. Proof of Theorem 0.2 is parallel to the one in [16].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall BCOV invariants and their basic properties. In Section 2, we recall the holomorphic torsion invariant τ_M for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces and its explicit formula. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 0.1. In Section 4, we study a certain orbifold characteristic form associated to orbifold submersions. In Section 5, we study the variation of equivariant BCOV torsion. In Section 6, we extend BCOV invariants to global abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds and establish its curvature formula. In Section 7, we extend BCOV invariants to general abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds. In Section 8, we prove Theorem 0.2.

Acknowledgements The author thanks Professor Akira Fujiki for helpful discussions about Kuranishi family. He also thanks the referee for the simplification of the proofs of Lemmas 4.10 and 4.11 and for very helpful comments.

The author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 23340017, 22244003, 22224001, 25220701.

1. BCOV invariants for Calabi-Yau threefolds

A compact connected Kähler manifold X of dimension 3 is called a *Calabi-Yau threefold* if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(1)\quad K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X, \qquad\qquad (2)\quad H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = 0.$$

If a compact Kähler *orbifold* of dimension 3 satisfies (1), (2), then X is called a Calabi-Yau orbifold. It is classical that every Calabi-Yau threefold is projective algebraic and that every Kähler class of a Calabi-Yau threefold contains a unique Ricci-flat Kähler form [42].

1.1. **Analytic torsion.** Let V be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n with Kähler metric $g = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_{\alpha\bar\beta} dz_\alpha \otimes d\bar z_\beta$. Then the Kähler form of g is defined as $\gamma := \frac{i}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_{\alpha\bar\beta} dz_\alpha \wedge d\bar z_\beta$. Let (ξ, h) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on V. Let $\Box_q = (\bar\partial + \bar\partial^*)^2$ be the Laplacian acting on the $(0,q)$-forms on V with values in ξ. Let $\sigma(\Box_q)$ be the spectrum of $\Box_q$ and let $E(\Box_q;\lambda)$ be the eigenspace of $\Box_q$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(\Box_q)$. Then the spectral zeta function of $\Box_q$ is defined as

$$\zeta_q(s) := \sum_{\lambda\in\sigma(\Box_q)\setminus\{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \dim E(\Box_q;\lambda).$$

It is classical that $\zeta_q(s)$ converges absolutely on the half-plane $\Re s > \dim V$, that $\zeta_q(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ and that $\zeta_q(s)$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$. Ray-Singer [31] introduced the notion of *analytic torsion*.

Definition 1.1. The analytic torsion of (ξ, h) is defined as the real number

$$\tau(V,\xi) := \exp\{-\sum_{q\geq 0}(-1)^q q\, \zeta_q'(0)\}.$$

In mirror symmetry, the following combination of analytic torsions introduced by Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa [3] plays a crucial role. Write $\Box_{p,q} = (\bar\partial + \bar\partial^*)^2$

for the Laplacian acting on the (p,q)-forms on V and $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ for its spectral zeta function.

Definition 1.2. The *BCOV torsion* of (V,γ) is the real number defined as

$$T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(V,\gamma) := \exp\{-\sum_{p,q\geq 0}(-1)^{p+q}pq\,\zeta'_{p,q}(0)\} = \prod_{p\geq 0}\tau(X,\Omega^p_X)^{(-1)^p p}.$$

The BCOV torsion itself is not an invariant of a Calabi-Yau threefold, even if the Kähler form γ is Ricci-flat. However, by adding a small correction term, it becomes an invariant of a Calabi-Yau threefold [12]. Let us recall its construction.

1.2. **The BCOV invariant.** Let X be a Calabi-Yau threefold and let γ be a Kähler form on X, which is not necessarily Ricci-flat. Let η be a nowhere vanishing canonical form on X. Let $c_3(X,\gamma)$ be top Chern form of (TX,γ). In this paper, we follow the convention in Arakeolv geometry. Hence

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma) := \|1\|^2_{L^2,\gamma} = (2\pi)^{-3}\int_X \frac{\gamma^3}{3!}, \qquad \|\eta\|^2_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-3}\int_X i^{3^2}\eta\wedge\overline{\eta}.$$

We define the covolume $\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])$ of the lattice $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})\subset H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$ as the volume of the compact real torus $H^2(X,\mathbf{R})/H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})$ with respect to the L^2-metric on $H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$ induced from γ

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma]) := \mathrm{Vol}(H^2(X,\mathbf{R})/H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma]) = \det\left(\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle_{L^2,\gamma}\right),$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}_{1\leq\alpha\leq b_2(X)}$ is a basis of $H^2(X,\mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{free}}$. Here $\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle_{L^2,\gamma}$ is defined as

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} := (2\pi)^{-3}\int_X \mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_\alpha\wedge\overline{*}(\mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_\beta),$$

where $\mathcal{H}\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ is the harmonic representative of $\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ with respect to γ and $*$ denotes the Hodge star-operator with respect to γ. Notice that the L^2-metric on $H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$ induced from γ depends only on the cohomology class $[\gamma]\in H^2(X,\mathbf{R})$.

Definition 1.3. The BCOV invariant of X is the real number defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X) :&= \mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)^{-3+\frac{\chi(X)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])^{-1}T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)\\ &\quad\times\exp\left[-\frac{1}{12}\int_X\log\left(\frac{i\,\eta\wedge\bar\eta}{\gamma^3/3!}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)}{\|\eta\|^2_{L^2}}\right)c_3(X,\gamma)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(X)=\int_X c_3(X)$ is the topological Euler number of X.

Remark 1.4. The Kähler form γ on X is Ricci-flat if and only if

$$\frac{i\,\eta\wedge\bar\eta}{\gamma^3/3!} = \frac{\|\eta\|^2_{L^2}}{\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)}.$$

When γ is Ricci-flat, we get a simpler expression

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X) = \mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)^{-3+\frac{\chi(X)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])^{-1}T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma).$$

We also remark that $\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}),[\gamma])$ are constant under polarized deformations of Calabi-Yau threefolds [12, Lemma 4.12]. Hence, for each moduli space of *polarized Ricci-flat* Calabi-Yau threefolds $\mathcal{M}$ (cf. [13], [34], [39]), there is a constant $C_{\mathcal{M}}>0$ depending only on the polarization such that

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X) = C_{\mathcal{M}}\,T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)$$

for all $(X, \gamma_L, c_1(L)) \in \mathcal{M}$. Here L is an ample line bundle on X giving the polarization and γ_L is the unique Ricci-flat Kähler form on X with $[\gamma_L] = c_1(L)$. In this sense, the BCOV invariant can be identified with the BCOV torsion for polarized Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Theorem 1.5. *For a Calabi-Yau threefold X, $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X)$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler form on X. Namely, $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X)$ is an invariant of X.*

Proof. See [12, Th. 4.16]. □

For an expression of $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X)$ in terms of arithmetic characteristic classes, we refer to [26, Eq.(4)]. After Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa and Theorem 1.5, τ_{BCOV} is regarded as a function on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds.

1.3. **Singularity of BCOV invariants.** In this subsection, we recall some results in [12], [48] about the boundary behavior of BCOV invariants, which are applications of the Bismut-Lebeau embedding theorem for Quillen metrics [6].

Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a surjective morphism from an irreducible projective fourfold $\mathcal{X}$ to a compact Riemann surface B. Assume that there exists a finite subset $\Delta_\pi \subset B$ such that $\pi|_{B\setminus\Delta_\pi}\colon \mathcal{X}|_{B\setminus\Delta_\pi} \to B \setminus \Delta_\pi$ is a smooth morphism and such that $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold for all $t \in B \setminus \Delta_\pi$.

Theorem 1.6. *For every $0 \in \Delta_\pi$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_t) = \alpha \log |t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0),$$

where t is a local parameter of B centered at $0 \in \Delta_\pi$.

Proof. See [48, Ths. 0.1 and 0.2]. □

Next we recall the *locality* of the logarithmic singularity of BCOV invariants. Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ be normal irreducible projective fourfolds. Let B and B' be compact Riemann surfaces. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ and $\pi'\colon \mathcal{X}' \to B'$ be surjective holomorphic maps. Let $\overline{\Sigma}_{\pi|_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}}}$ (resp. $\overline{\Sigma}_{\pi'|_{\mathcal{X}'\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}'}}$) be the closure of the critical locus of $\pi|_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\pi'|_{\mathcal{X}'\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}'}$) in $\mathcal{X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}'$). Define the critical loci of π and π' as

$$\Sigma_\pi := \mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X} \cup \overline{\Sigma}_{\pi|_{\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}}}, \qquad \Sigma_{\pi'} := \mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}' \cup \overline{\Sigma}_{\pi'|_{\mathcal{X}'\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{X}'}}$$

and the discriminant loci of π and π' as $\Delta_\pi := \pi(\Sigma_\pi)$ and $\Delta_{\pi'} := \pi'(\Sigma_{\pi'})$, respectively. Let $0 \in \Delta_\pi$ and $0' \in \Delta_{\pi'}$. Let Δ be the unit disc of $\mathbf{C}$. Let V (resp. V') be a neighborhood of 0 (resp. $0'$) in B (resp. B') such that $V \cong \Delta$ and $V \cap \Delta_\pi = \{0\}$ (resp. $V' \cong \Delta$ and $V' \cap \Delta_{\pi'} = \{0\}$). We assume the following:

- (A1) $\Delta_\pi \neq B$, $\Delta_{\pi'} \neq B'$, $\dim \Sigma_\pi \leq 2$, $\dim \Sigma_{\pi'} \leq 2$, and X_0 and $X'_{0'}$ are irreducible.
- (A2) X_t and $X'_{t'}$ are Calabi-Yau threefolds for all $t \in B \setminus \Delta_\pi$ and $t' \in B' \setminus \Delta_{\pi'}$.
- (A3) $\pi^{-1}(V) \setminus \Sigma_\pi$ carries a nowhere vanishing canonical form Ξ. Similarly, $(\pi')^{-1}(V') \setminus \Sigma_{\pi'}$ carries a nowhere vanishing canonical form Ξ'.
- (A4) The function germ of π near $\Sigma_\pi \cap \pi^{-1}(V)$ and the function germ of π' near $\Sigma_{\pi'} \cap (\pi')^{-1}(V')$ are isomorphic. Namely, there exist a neighborhood O of $\Sigma_\pi \cap \pi^{-1}(V)$ in $\pi^{-1}(V)$, a neighborhood O' of $\Sigma_{\pi'} \cap (\pi')^{-1}(V')$ in $(\pi')^{-1}(V')$, and an isomorphism $\varphi\colon O \to O'$ such that $\pi|_O = \pi' \circ \varphi|_{O'}$.

For $b \in B$ and $b' \in B'$, we set $X_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$ and $X'_{b'} := (\pi')^{-1}(b')$. For $b \in B \setminus \Delta_\pi$ and $b' \in B' \setminus \Delta_{\pi'}$, the BCOV invariants $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_b)$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X'_{b'})$ are well defined. Let $0 \in \Delta_\pi$ and $0' \in \Delta_{\pi'}$. A local parameter of B (resp. B') centered at 0 (resp. $0'$) is denoted by t. Hence t is a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{B,0}$ and that of $\mathcal{O}_{B',0'}$.

Theorem 1.7. *If the assumptions* (A1)–(A4) *are satisfied, then* $\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_t)$ *and* $\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X'_t)$ *have the same logarithmic singularities at* $t = 0$*:*

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_t)}{\log |t|} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X'_t)}{\log |t|}.$$

In particular,

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_t) - \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X'_t) = O\left(\log(-\log |t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0).$$

Proof. See [48, Th. 4.1]. □

1.4. **Algebraic section on the moduli space corresponding to τ_{BCOV}.** In this subsection, we study the equation of currents satisfied by τ_{BCOV} on a compactified moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a coarse moduli space of polarized Calabi-Yau threefolds [13, 34, 39]:

(i) Every point of $\mathfrak{M}$ corresponds to an isomorphism class of a polarized Calabi-Yau threefold.

(ii) For any polarized family of Calabi-Yau threefolds $(\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B, \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a relatively ample line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$, the classifying map $B \ni b \to [(X_b, L_b)] \in \mathfrak{M}$ is holomorphic. Here $X_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$, $L_b := \mathcal{L}|_{X_b}$ and $[(X_b, L_b)]$ is the isomorphism class of (X_b, L_b).

Since every holomorphic line bundle L on a Calabi-Yau threefold X extends to a holomorphic line bundle on the Kuranishi family of X, any $[(X, L)] \in \mathfrak{M}$ has a neighborhood isomorphic to $\mathrm{Def}(X)/\mathrm{Aut}(X, L)$, where $\mathrm{Def}(X)$ is the Kuranishi space of X. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a component of $\mathfrak{M}$. Since $\mathrm{Def}(X)$ is smooth [37, 38] and since $\mathrm{Aut}(X, L) = \mathrm{Aut}(X, \gamma_L)$ is a compact Lie group with trivial Lie algebra and hence is a finite group, $\mathcal{M}$ is an orbifold. Set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}} := \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathrm{Sing}\, \mathcal{M}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a complex manifold. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is quasi-projective by Viehweg [39, Th. 1.13], there exists a projective manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ containing $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ as a dense Zariski open subset such that $\mathcal{D} := \overline{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a normal crossing divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. For $[(X, L)] \in \mathcal{M}$, we set $h^{1,2} := h^{1,2}(X) = \dim \mathcal{M}$ and $\chi := \chi(X)$, where $\chi(X)$ is the topological Euler number of X. Then $h^{1,2}$ and χ are constant on $\mathcal{M}$.

1.4.1. *Hodge bundles and the Kodaira-Spencer maps.* For $[(X, L)] \in \mathcal{M}$, let

$$\mathfrak{f}\colon (\mathfrak{X}, X) \to (\mathrm{Def}(X), [X])$$

be the Kuranishi family of X. Let $\mathcal{H}^3$ be the holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^3_{[(X,L)]} = H^3(X, \mathbf{C})$ and let

$$0 = \mathcal{F}^4 \subset \mathcal{F}^3 \subset \mathcal{F}^2 \subset \mathcal{F}^1 \subset \mathcal{F}^0 = \mathcal{H}^3$$

be the Hodge filtration. We have

$$\mathcal{H}^3|_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} = R^3\mathfrak{f}_*\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^p/\mathcal{F}^{p+1}|_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} \cong R^{3-p}\mathfrak{f}_*\Omega^p_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)},$$

where $\Omega^p_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} := \Lambda^p \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}$ and $\Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} := \Omega^1_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{f}^*\Omega^1_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}$. The line bundle $\lambda := \mathcal{F}^3$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is called the Hodge bundle. Then $\lambda|_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} = \mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}$.

By Kawamata [17, Th. 17], there exists a finite covering

$$\phi\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}$$

with branch locus $\mathcal{D}$ such that the monodromy operator on $\phi^*\mathcal{H}^3$ along $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$ is unipotent. Under this assumption, by the nilpotent orbit theorem of Schmid [33, Th. 4.12], the vector bundles $\phi^*\mathcal{H}^3$ and $\phi^*\mathcal{F}^p$ extend to holomorphic vector bundles $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^3$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^p$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively. We define line bundles $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ by

$$\widetilde{\lambda} := \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3, \qquad \widetilde{\mu} := \det(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3).$$

Then $\widetilde{\lambda}|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})} = \phi^*\lambda$.

Define the holomorphic vector bundle N on $\mathfrak{X}$ by the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Theta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} := \ker \mathfrak{f}_* \longrightarrow \Theta_{\mathfrak{X}} \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

The projection $\mathfrak{f}_*\colon N \to \mathfrak{f}^*\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}$ induces an isomorphism $\iota\colon \mathfrak{f}_*N \cong \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}$. Let $\delta\colon \mathfrak{f}_*N \to R^1\mathfrak{f}_*\Theta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}$ be the connecting homomorphism. The Kodaira-Spencer map is defined as the composite

(1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} := \delta \circ \iota^{-1}\colon \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} \to R^1\mathfrak{f}_*\Theta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} &= R^1\mathfrak{f}_*\Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} \otimes (\mathfrak{f}_*K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^\vee \\ &\cong (\mathcal{F}^2/\mathcal{F}^3) \otimes (\mathcal{F}^3)^\vee|_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the Kodaira-Spencer map induces an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$:

$$\rho\colon \Theta_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}} \to (\mathcal{F}^2/\mathcal{F}^3) \otimes (\mathcal{F}^3)^\vee.$$

The isomorphism ρ and its lift

$$\phi^*\rho\colon \Theta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})} \to (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3) \otimes (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3)^\vee|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})}$$

are again called the Kodaira-Spencer map. Since

$$\det(\phi^*\rho) \in H^0\left(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}), \det((\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3) \otimes (\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3)^\vee) \otimes (\det \Theta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})^\vee\right)$$

has at most algebraic singularity along $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$, $\det(\phi^*\rho)$ is a meromorphic section of the line bundle $\widetilde{\mu} \otimes \widetilde{\lambda}^{-h^{1,2}} \otimes K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}$. Hence we have the canonical isomorphism

$$K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathrm{div}(\det(\phi^*\rho))) \cong \widetilde{\mu} \otimes \widetilde{\lambda}^{-h^{1,2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

1.4.2. *Weil-Petersson metric and its boundary behavior.* Since the third cohomology group of Calabi-Yau threefolds consists of primitive cohomology classes, $\mathcal{F}^p/\mathcal{F}^{p+1}$ is equipped with the L^2-metric, which is independent of the choice of a Kähler metric on each fiber. In particular, so is the Hodge bundle $\widetilde{\lambda}$. This metric is denoted by h_{L^2} or $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$. The L^2-metric $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$ on $(\mathcal{F}^p/\mathcal{F}^{p+1})|_{[(X,L)]} = H^q(X,\Omega^p_X)$, $p+q=3$, is expressed by

$$(u,v)_{L^2} := (-1)^3 i^{p-q} \int_X u \wedge \overline{v}. \tag{1.3}$$

The Hermitian metric on the line bundle $\det(\mathcal{F}^p/\mathcal{F}^{p+1})$ induced from h_{L^2} is denoted by h_{L^2} or $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$. The Weil-Petersson forms on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are defined as

$$\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\lambda, h_{L^2}), \qquad \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\widetilde{\lambda}, h_{L^2}).$$

Then $\phi^*\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})}$. Let $\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} \in H^0(\mathrm{Def}(X), \mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section and define the function $\|\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}\|^2_{L^2}$ on $\mathrm{Def}(X)$ by

$$\|\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}\|^2_{L^2}([X_t]) := \|\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}|_{X_t}\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Since $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\lambda, h_{L^2})$, we have

$$\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = -dd^c \log \|\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}\|^2_{L^2} = c_1(\mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}, h_{L^2}). \tag{1.4}$$

By e.g. [37, Th. 2] and [12, Def. 4.3], we get

$$\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}(u,v) = \frac{(\rho_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}(u)\otimes\eta, \rho_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}(v)\otimes\eta)_{L^2}}{\|\eta\|^2_{L^2}} \tag{1.5}$$

for all $u, v \in \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X),[X]} = H^1(X, \Theta_X)$, where $\eta \in H^0(X, K_X)\setminus\{0\}$ and the numerator is the cup-product pairing between $H^1(X, \Omega^2_X)$ and $\overline{H^1(X, \Omega^2_X)} = H^2(X, \Omega^1_X)$. By the expression (1.5), ω_{WP} is a real analytic $(1,1)$-form on $\mathrm{Def}(X)$. Let

$$\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} := c_1(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}})$$

be the Ricci-form of the Weil-Petersson form on $\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}$.

By (1.5) and [9, Prop. 5.22, Proof of Cor. 5.23], $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ has Poincaré growth near $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$. Namely, for any $x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of x in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \cong \Delta^{h^{1,2}}$, $\mathcal{U}\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) \cong (\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{h^{1,2}-k}$ for some $1 \le k \le h^{1,2}$ and such that

$$0 \le \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}|_{\mathcal{U}\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})} \le C\,\omega_P.$$

Here ω_P is the Kähler form of the Poincaré metric on $(\Delta^*)^k \times \Delta^{h^{1,2}-k}$, i.e.,

$$\omega_P = i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \frac{dt_\alpha \wedge d\bar{t}_\alpha}{|t_\alpha|^2(\log|t_\alpha|)^2} + i\sum_{\beta=k+1}^{h^{1,2}} dt_\beta \wedge d\bar{t}_\beta$$

Let $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ be the Ricci form of $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$, namely the first Chern form of the holomorphic tangent bundle equipped with the Weil-Petersson metric $(\Theta_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}})$. Then $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} = \phi^*\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$. By (1.5), the Kodaira-Spencer map induces an isometry of holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$:

$$\phi^*\rho\colon (\Theta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}) \cong ((\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3)\otimes(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3)^\vee|_{\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})}, (\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}\otimes\|\cdot\|^{-1}_{L^2}).$$

From this isometry, we have the following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$

$$\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + h^{1,2}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^2/\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^3, (\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}) = c_1(\widetilde{\mu}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}).$$

By [21, Th. 1.1], $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ is a positive $(1,1)$-form on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$. By [9, Prop. 5.22, Proof of Cor. 5.23] again and the above expression, the $(1,1)$-form $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ has Poincaré growth near the normal crossing divisor $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})$. Hence, on $\mathcal{U}$ as above, we have the following estimate:

$$0 \le \{\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}\}|_{\mathcal{U}\setminus\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D})} \le C\,\omega_P.$$

We identify the $(1,1)$-forms $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ and $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ with the closed positive $(1,1)$-currents on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ defined as their trivial extensions from $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

1.4.3. *The differential equation satisfied by* $\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ *on* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. As usual, we define $d^c = \frac{1}{4\pi i}(\partial - \bar\partial)$ for complex manifolds. Hence $dd^c = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\bar\partial\partial$. By the curvature formula for Quillen metrics [5], the complex Hessian of $\log\tau_{\mathrm{BOOV}}$ can be computed on the Kuranishi space of X:

Theorem 1.8. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* $(\mathrm{Def}(X),[X])$ *holds:*

$$-\,dd^c\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} = \mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} + \Big(h^{1,2}+3+\frac{\chi}{12}\Big)\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} \in C^\omega(\mathrm{Def}(X))$.

Proof. See [12, Th. 4.14]. □

This differential equation can be globalized to the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. For this, firstly, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.9. *Let* $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}) = \bigcup_{k\in K}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k$ *be the irreducible decomposition. Then there exists* $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{Q}$ *such that the following equality of* $(1,1)$*-currents on* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ *holds*

$$-12dd^c(\phi^*\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}) = (36+12h^{1,2}+\chi)\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} - \sum_{k\in K}\alpha_k\delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k}. \tag{1.7}$$

Proof. For $k\in K$, set $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k^0 := \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k\backslash\bigcup_{l\neq k}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_l$. For every $p\in\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k^0$, there is a neighborhood U of p in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and an isomorphism $U\cong\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$ such that $U\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k^0\cong\{z_1=0\}\cap\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$ and $U\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_l^0=\emptyset$ for $l\neq k$. We write $z'=(z_2,\dots,z_{h^{1,2}})$. We define $Z:=\bigcup_{k\neq l}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_l$.

Since $\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ and $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}+(h^{1,2}+3)\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ are closed positive $(1,1)$-currents on $\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$, there exist by Siu [35, Proof of Lemma 5.4] plurisubharmonic functions $\widetilde\psi_1$, $\widetilde\psi_2$ on $\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$ such that

$$dd^c\widetilde\psi_1 = \widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}, \qquad dd^c\widetilde\psi_2 = \mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \tag{1.8}$$

as currents on $\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$. Since $\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ and $\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}+(h^{1,2}+3)\widetilde\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ have Poincaré growth, there exist constants $C_3, C_4>0$ such that

$$0\le dd^c\widetilde\psi_1\le C_3\,\omega_P, \qquad 0\le dd^c\widetilde\psi_2\le C_4\,\omega_P. \tag{1.9}$$

Set

$$Q(z_1,z') := -\log(-\log|z_1|^2)+\|z'\|^2.$$

Since $\omega_P = dd^cQ$, we deduce from (1.8), (1.9) that the functions

$$\widetilde\psi_1, \qquad C_3Q-\widetilde\psi_1, \qquad \widetilde\psi_2, \qquad C_4Q-\widetilde\psi_2$$

are plurisubharmonic on $\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$. Since these functions are bounded from above on a neighborhood of $0\in\Delta^{h^{1,2}}$, there exist constants $C_5, C_6>0$ with

$$C_5\,\{-\log(-\log|z_1|)-1\}\le\widetilde\psi_m(z_1,z')\le C_6 \qquad (m=1,2). \tag{1.10}$$

By Theorems 1.8, 1.6 and (1.10), there exists $\alpha(z')\in\mathbf{Q}$ such that on $\Delta^*\times\Delta^{h^{1,2}-1}$

$$-dd^c\Big(\phi^*\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}+\frac{\chi}{12}\,\widetilde\psi_1+\widetilde\psi_2\Big)=0,$$

$$\Big|\Big(\phi^*\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}+\frac{\chi}{12}\,\widetilde\psi_1+\widetilde\psi_2\Big)(z_1,z')-\alpha(z')\log|z_1|^2\Big|\le C(z')\,\log(-\log|z_1|),$$

where $C(z')$ is a (possibly discontinuous, unbounded) positive function on $\Delta^{h^{1,2}-1}$. By [45, Lemma 5.9], there exists $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{Q}$ and a pluriharmonic function $h \in C^\omega(\Delta^{h^{1,2}})$ such that

$$\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(z_1, z') + \frac{\chi}{12}\widetilde{\psi}_1(z_1, z') + \widetilde{\psi}_2(z_1, z') = \alpha_k \log |z_1|^2 + h(z_1, z'). \tag{1.11}$$

By (1.11), we get the following equation of currents on U

$$-12dd^c(\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}) = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} - \alpha_k \delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k}.$$

Since p is an arbitrary point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k^0$, we get the equation of currents on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \setminus Z$:

$$-12dd^c(\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}) = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} - \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k \delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k}. \tag{1.12}$$

Let $p \in Z$. Since the right hand side is a linear combination of closed positive $(1,1)$-currents on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, there is a neighborhood V of p in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that the right hand side of (1.12) has a potential function R on V. Namely R is a plurisubharmonic function on V satisfying the following equation of currents on U

$$dd^c R = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} - \sum_{k \in K} \alpha_k \delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k}. \tag{1.13}$$

By (1.12), (1.13), $12\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} + R$ is a pluriharmonic function on $V \setminus Z$. Since Z has codimension ≥ 2 in V, $12\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} + R$ extends to a pluriharmonic function on V. Thus (1.12) holds on V. Since $p \in Z$ is arbitrary, (1.12) holds on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. □

By the definition of trivial extension of currents, $\frac{1}{\deg \phi}\phi_* \widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}$ coincides with the trivial extension of ω_{WP} from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. This trivial extension is again denoted by ω_{WP}. Similarly, $\frac{1}{\deg \phi}\phi_*\{\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}}\}$ coincides with the trivial extension of $\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} + (h^{1,2}+3)\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}$ from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$. Set $\mathcal{D}_k := \mathrm{Supp}\,\phi(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k)$. Then $\phi_k := \phi|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k}$ is a surjective map from $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k$ to $\mathcal{D}_k$ and we have the equation of currents $\phi_* \delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_k} = \deg \phi_k \cdot \delta_{\mathcal{D}_k}$. Applying ϕ_* to the both sides of (1.7), we get

Corollary 1.10. *The following equation of currents on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ holds:*

$$-12dd^c \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} - \sum_{k \in K} \frac{\deg \phi_k}{\deg \phi}\alpha_k\,\delta_{\mathcal{D}_k}.$$

1.4.4. *The section on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponding to τ_{BCOV}.* As a consequence of Corollary 1.10, we have the following algebraicity of τ_{BCOV}. We remark that this result (Theorem 1.11) is deduced directly from Maillot-Rössler's formula [26, Eq. (4)] for τ_{BCOV} in terms of certain arithmetic characteristic classes.

Theorem 1.11. *There exist $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$, a character $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}), U(1))$ and a meromorphic section σ of the line bundle $\widetilde{\lambda}^{(36+\chi)\ell} \otimes \widetilde{\mu}^{-12\ell} \otimes [\chi]$ such that the following equality of functions on $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ holds:*

$$\phi^* \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{12\ell} = \|\sigma\|^2.$$

Here $[\chi]$ is the holomorphic line bundle on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ corresponding to the unitary character χ, $|\cdot|$ is the Hermitian metric on $[\chi]$ induced from the standard norm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbf{C}$, and the line bundle $\widetilde{\lambda}^{(36+\chi)\ell} \otimes \widetilde{\mu}^{-12\ell} \otimes [\chi]$ is equipped with the Hermitian metric induced from the L^2-metrics on $\widetilde{\lambda}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ and the metric $|\cdot|$ on $[\chi]$.

Proof. Set $\xi := \widetilde{\lambda}^{(36+\chi)} \otimes \widetilde{\mu}^{-12}$. Let Ψ be a non-zero meromorphic section of ξ and let $E := \mathrm{div}(\Psi)$. By Theorem 1.9 and the Poincaré-Lelong formula, $\phi^* \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ and $\|\Psi\|^2$ satisfy the following equations of currents on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$:

$$-12dd^c(\phi^*\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}) = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} - \sum_{k\in K} \alpha_k \delta_{\mathcal{D}_k},$$

$$-dd^c \log \|\Psi\|^2 = (36 + 12h^{1,2} + \chi)\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} + 12\,\mathrm{Ric}\,\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{WP}} - \delta_E.$$

Set $\Delta := \sum_{k\in K} \alpha_k D_k - E$. On $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, we get

$$-dd^c \log\left[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{12}/\|\Psi\|^2\right] = -\delta_\Delta.$$

Let $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ be an integer such that $\ell\Delta$ is an integral divisor. By Lemma 1.12 below applied to $F := (\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{12}/\|\Psi\|^2)^\ell$, there is a character $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}), U(1))$ and a meromorphic section s of $[\chi]$ with $\mathrm{div}(s) = \ell\Delta$ such that

$$|s|^2 = F = (\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{12}/\|\Psi\|^2)^\ell.$$

Hence $\sigma := \Psi^\ell \otimes s$ is a meromorphic section of $\xi^\ell \otimes [\chi]$ with divisor

$$\mathrm{div}(\sigma) = \mathrm{div}(\Psi^\ell \otimes s) = \ell E + \ell\Delta = \ell \sum_{k\in K} \alpha_k D_k$$

such that $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{12\ell} = \|\Psi^\ell \otimes s\|^2 = \|\sigma\|^2$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 1.12. *Let D be a divisor on a complex manifold M. Let F be a positive function on $M \setminus D$ satisfying $\log F \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ and the equation $dd^c \log F = \delta_D$ of currents on M. Then there exist $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(M), U(1))$ and a meromorphic section s of $[\chi]$ with $F = |s|^2$ and $\mathrm{div}(s) = D$.*

Proof. The proof is standard and is omitted. □

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathrm{div}(\det \phi^*\rho)))|_{\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{reg}})} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}$ and since

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{(36+\chi)\ell} \otimes \widetilde{\mu}^{-12\ell} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\chi) \cong \widetilde{\lambda}^{(36-12h^{1,2}+\chi)\ell} \otimes K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{12} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}(-12\mathrm{div}(\det \phi^*\rho))) \otimes [\chi]$$

by (1.2), $\sigma|_{\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{reg}})}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section of the line bundle

$$\widetilde{\lambda}^{(36-12h^{1,2}+\chi)\ell} \otimes K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{12\ell} \otimes [\chi] = \phi^*(\lambda^{(36-12h^{1,2}+\chi)\ell} \otimes K_{\mathcal{M}}^{12\ell}) \otimes [\chi]$$

on $\phi^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{reg}})$. A corresponding statement for A-model can be found in [2, Eq. (14)].

Question 1.13. By choosing $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ appropriately, is the line bundle $[\chi]$ in Theorem 1.11 a torsion element of $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})$?

2. Holomorphic torsion invariant for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces

2.1. **Lattices, domains of type IV and orthogonal modular varieties.** A free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of finite rank equipped with a non-degenerate integral symmetric bilinear form is called a lattice. For a lattice L, its rank is denoted by $r(L)$ and its automorphism group is denoted by $O(L)$. The set of roots of L is defined as $\Delta_L := \{d \in L;\, \langle d, d\rangle = -2\}$. For a non-zero integer $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $L(k)$ denotes the $\mathbf{Z}$-module L equipped with the rescaled bilinear form $k\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_L$. The dual lattice of L is defined as $L^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(L, \mathbf{Z}) \subset L \otimes \mathbf{Q}$. The finite abelian group $A_L := L^\vee/L$ is called the *discriminant group* of L. If $A_L \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^l$ for some $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then L is said to be 2-*elementary*. For an even 2-elementary lattice L, we define $l(L) := \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}_2} A_L$ and we denote by $\delta(L) \in \{0, 1\}$ the parity of the discriminant form on A_L (cf. [29]). If L is

indefinite, the isometry class of L is determined by the triplet $(\mathrm{sign}(L), l(L), \delta(L))$ (cf. [29]). We define $\mathbb{U} := (\mathbf{Z}^2, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right))$. For root systems A_ℓ, D_ℓ, E_ℓ, their root lattices are denoted by $\mathbb{A}_\ell$, $\mathbb{D}_\ell$, $\mathbb{E}_\ell$ and are assumed to be *negative-definite*. The $K3$*-lattice* is defined as the even unimodular lattice

$$\mathbb{L}_{K3} := \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8 \oplus \mathbb{E}_8.$$

For a lattice Λ of $\mathrm{sign}(\Lambda) = (2, r(\Lambda) - 2)$, we define

$$\Omega_\Lambda := \{[\eta] \in \mathbf{P}(\Lambda \otimes \mathbf{C});\, \langle \eta, \eta \rangle_\Lambda = 0, \quad \langle \eta, \bar{\eta} \rangle_\Lambda > 0\}\,.$$

Then Ω_Λ consists of two connected components $\Omega_\Lambda = \Omega_\Lambda^+ \amalg \Omega_\Lambda^-$, each of which is isomorphic to a bounded symmetric domain of type IV of dimension $r(\Lambda) - 2$. The projective $O(\Lambda)$-action on Ω_Λ is proper and discontinuous. The quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_\Lambda := \Omega_\Lambda / O(\Lambda) = \Omega_\Lambda^+ / O^+(\Lambda)$$

is an analytic space of dimension $r(\Lambda) - 2$, where $O^+(\Lambda)$ is the subgroup of index 2 of $O(\Lambda)$ preserving $\Omega_\Lambda^\pm$. The *discriminant locus* of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is the *reduced* $O(\Lambda)$-invariant divisor of Ω_Λ defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_\Lambda := \sum_{d \in \Delta_\Lambda / \pm 1} H_d, \qquad H_d := \{[\eta] \in \Omega_\Lambda;\, \langle d, \eta \rangle = 0\}.$$

We define

$$\Omega_\Lambda^0 := \Omega_\Lambda \setminus \mathcal{D}_\Lambda, \qquad \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0 := \Omega_\Lambda^0 / O(\Lambda).$$

By Baily-Borel, $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ and $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0$ are irreducible, normal quasi-projective varieties. Let $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$ be the Baily-Borel compactification of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ and define the boundary locus by

$$\mathcal{B}_\Lambda := \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_\Lambda.$$

Then $\dim \mathcal{B}_\Lambda = 1$ if $r(\Lambda) \geq 4$ and $\dim \mathcal{B}_\Lambda = 0$ if $r(\Lambda) = 3$. When M is a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{K3}$ with $r(M) \geq 18$, then $\mathcal{B}_{M^\perp}$ is irreducible by [46, Prop. 11.7].

For simplicity, assume the splitting of lattices

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{U}(N) \oplus L,$$

where $N \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ and L is an even Lorentzian lattice. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_L := \{x \in L \otimes \mathbf{R};\, \langle x, x \rangle_L > 0\}$$

be the positive cone of L, which consists of connected components $\mathcal{C}_L = \mathcal{C}_L^+ \amalg \mathcal{C}_L^-$. We identify the tube domain $L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{C}_L$ with Ω_Λ via the map

$$L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{C}_L \ni z \to [((-\langle z, z \rangle_L / 2, 1/N)\,, z)] \in \Omega_\Lambda. \tag{2.1}$$

The Kähler form of the Bergman metric on $L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{C}_L$ is the positive $(1,1)$-form defined as

$$\omega_\Lambda(z) := -dd^c \log \langle \Im z, \Im z \rangle_L.$$

Via the identification (2.1), we regard ω_Λ as the $(1,1)$-form on Ω_Λ.

2.2. 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces and their holomorphic torsion invariants.

2.2.1. *2-elementary $K3$ surfaces and their moduli space.* Let S be a $K3$ surface. Then $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$ endowed with the cup-product pairing is isometric to the $K3$-lattice. Namely, there exists an isometry of lattices $\alpha\colon H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})\cong\mathbb{L}_{K3}$.

Let $\theta\colon S\to S$ be a holomorphic involution. The pair (S,θ) is called a *2-elementary $K3$ surface* if θ is anti-symplectic, i.e., $\theta^*|_{H^0(S,K_S)}=-1$. The type of an anti-symplectic involution θ is defined as the isometry class of the invariant sublattice $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^+$, where

$$H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^{\pm}:=\{v\in H^2(S,\mathbf{Z});\,\theta^*v=\pm v\}.$$

By Nikulin [29], $\alpha(H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^+)\subset\mathbb{L}_{K3}$ is a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice. Since the embedding of a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian lattice into $\mathbb{L}_{K3}$ is unique up to an action of $O(\mathbb{L}_{K3})$ by [29], the type of an anti-symplectic holomorphic involution is independent of the choice of an isometry α. By Nikulin [29], [30], the topological type of an anti-symplectic holomorphic involution on a $K3$ surface is determined by its type in the sense that if (S,θ) and (S',θ') are two 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of the same type, then (S',θ') is deformation equivalent to (S,θ). By [29], [30], there exists 75 distinct types of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces. The moduli space of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M is constructed as follows.

Let (S,θ) be a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M and let $\alpha\colon H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})\cong\mathbb{L}_{K3}$ be an isometry with $\alpha(H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^-)=M^\perp$. We define the period of (S,θ) as

$$\pi_M(S,\theta):=\left[\alpha\left(H^0(S,K_S)\right)\right].$$

Then any point of the discriminant locus $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{M^\perp}$ is never realized as the period of a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M. By [43, Th. 1.8], $\mathcal{M}^0_{M^\perp}$ is a coarse moduli space of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M via the period map.

2.2.2. *The fixed point set of a 2-elementary $K3$ surface.* Let (S,θ) be a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M. Set

$$S^\theta:=\{x\in S;\,\theta(x)=x\}.$$

The topology of S^θ was determined by Nikulin [30] as follows:

Proposition 2.1. *With the same notation as above, the following hold:*
(1) *If $M\cong\mathbb{U}(2)\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, then $S^\theta=\emptyset$ and the quotient S/θ is an Enriques surface.*
(2) *If $M\cong\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, then $S^\theta=C_1^{(1)}\amalg C_2^{(1)}$, where $C_1^{(1)}$, $C_2^{(1)}$ are elliptic curves.*
(3) *If $M\ncong\mathbb{U}(2)\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2),\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, then*

$$S^\theta=C^{g(M)}\amalg E_1\amalg\ldots\amalg E_{k(M)},\tag{2.2}$$

where $C^{(g)}$ is a curve of genus g and E_i is a smooth rational curve with

$$g(M):=11-\frac{r(M)+l(M)}{2},\qquad k(M)=\frac{r(M)-l(M)}{2}.\tag{2.3}$$

When $M\cong\mathbb{U}(2)\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, M and $M^\perp$ are called *exceptional* in this paper. Notice that, when $M\cong\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, the total genus of S^θ is still given by $g(M)=2$, so that the first equality of (2.3) remains valid.

Warning : In [46], the lattices $\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$ and $\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$ are also called exceptional. In the present paper, these lattices are *not* exceptional.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_g$ be the Siegel upper half-space of degree g and let

$$\mathcal{A}_g:=\mathfrak{S}_g/\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$$

be the Siegel modular variety of degree g, the coarse moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{A}_g}$ be the Kähler form on $\mathcal{A}_g$ in the sense of orbifolds induced from the $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$-invariant Kähler form on $\mathfrak{S}_g$:

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{S}_g} := -dd^c \log\det \Im\tau$$

After (2.2), we define the *Torelli map* $\overline{J}^0_M \colon \mathcal{M}^0_{M^\perp} \to \mathcal{A}_{g(M)}$ by

$$\overline{J}^0_M(\pi_M(S,\theta)) := [\Omega(S^\theta)],$$

where $\Omega(S^\theta) \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ is the period of S^θ and $[\Omega(S^\theta)] \in \mathcal{A}_{g(M)}$ is the corresponding point. Let $\Pi_{M^\perp} \colon \Omega_{M^\perp} \to \mathcal{M}_{M^\perp}$ be the projection. We define the holomorphic map $J^0_M \colon \Omega^0_{M^\perp} \to \mathcal{A}_{g(M)}$, again called the Torelli map, by

$$J^0_M := \overline{J}_M \circ \Pi_{M^\perp}.$$

By Borel's extension theorem, J^0_M extends to a holomorphic map from $\Omega^0_{M^\perp} \cup \mathcal{D}^0_{M^\perp}$ to $\mathcal{A}^*_{g(M)}$, the Satake compactification of $\mathcal{A}_g$, where $\mathcal{D}^0_{M^\perp}$ is the dense Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{D}^0_{M^\perp} := \bigcup_{d \in \Delta_{M^\perp}} H^0_d, \qquad H^0_d := H_d \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta_{M^\perp} \setminus \{\pm d\}} H_\delta.$$

This extension of J^0_M is denoted by J_M. Then the semi-positive $(1,1)$-form $(J^0_M)^*\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{g(M)}}$ on $\Omega^0_{M^\perp}$ extends trivially to a closed positive $(1,1)$-current on $\Omega_{M^\perp}$. The trivial extension of $(J^0_M)^*\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{g(M)}}$ from $\Omega^0_{M^\perp}$ to $\Omega_{M^\perp}$ is denoted by $J^*_M\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{g(M)}}$.

2.2.3. *A holomorphic torsion invariant for 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces.*

Definition 2.2. Let η be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form on S. Let γ be an θ-invariant Kähler form on S. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_M(S,\theta) := {} & \mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma)^{\frac{14-r(M)}{4}} \tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\gamma)(\theta)\, \mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta, \gamma|_{S^\theta}) \tau(S^\theta, \gamma|_{S^\theta}) \\ & \times \exp\left[\frac{1}{8}\int_{S^\theta} \log\left(\frac{\eta\wedge\overline{\eta}}{\gamma^2/2!}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma)}{\|\eta\|^2_{L^2}}\right)\Big|_{S^\theta} c_1(S^\theta, \gamma|_{S^\theta})\right].\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta,\gamma|_{S^\theta})$ and $\tau(S^\theta,\gamma|_{S^\theta})$ are multiplicative with respect to the decomposition into connected components.

By [43], $\tau_M(S,\theta)$ is independent of the choices of η and γ and is determined by the period of (S,θ). In particular, $\tau_M(S,\theta)$ is an invariant of (S,θ). If γ is an ι-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler form on S, then

$$\tau_M(S,\theta) = \mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma)^{\frac{14-r(M)}{4}} \tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\gamma)(\theta)\cdot \mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta,\gamma|_{S^\theta})\tau(S^\theta,\gamma|_{S^\theta}). \tag{2.4}$$

Define the $O(M^\perp)$-invariant smooth function $\widetilde{\tau}_M$ on $\Omega^0_{M^\perp}$ by

$$\widetilde{\tau}_M := \Pi^*_{M^\perp}\tau_M.$$

By [43, Eq. (7.1)], [47, Th. 5.2], the following equation of $(1,1)$-currents on $\Omega_{M^\perp}$ holds

$$dd^c \log\widetilde{\tau}_M = \frac{r(M)-6}{4}\,\omega_{M^\perp} + J^*_M\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{g(M)}} - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\mathcal{D}_{M^\perp}}. \tag{2.5}$$

2.3. **Borcherds products for 2-elementary lattices.** Let $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the complex upper half-plane. Recall that the Dedekind η-function and the Jacobi theta series are holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{H}$ defined as

$$\eta(\tau) = e^{2\pi i\tau/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n\tau}\right), \qquad \vartheta_{\mathbb{A}_1^+ + \frac{k}{2}}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{2\pi i (n+\frac{k}{2})^2 \tau} \quad (k = 0, 1).$$

For a 2-elementary lattice Λ, we set

$$\phi_\Lambda(\tau) := \eta(\tau)^{-8} \eta(2\tau)^8 \eta(4\tau)^{-8}\, \theta_{\mathbb{A}_1^+}(\tau)^{12 - r(\Lambda)}.$$

Let $\{\mathfrak{e}_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in A_\Lambda}$ be the standard basis of the group ring $\mathbf{C}[A_\Lambda]$. Let $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and let $\rho_\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{C}[A_\Lambda])$ be the Weil representation [8, Sect. 4]. Define a $\mathbf{C}[A_\Lambda]$-valued holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{H}$ by

$$F_\Lambda := \sum_{\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_0(4) \backslash \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbf{Z})} \phi_\Lambda|_\gamma\, \rho_\Lambda(\gamma^{-1})\, \mathfrak{e}_0,$$

where $|_\gamma$ is the Petersson slash operator. When $\mathrm{sign}(\Lambda) = (2, r(\Lambda) - 2)$, we deduce from [46, Th. 7.7] that $F_\Lambda(\tau)$ is a modular form of type ρ_Λ of weight $(4 - r(\Lambda))/2$. To get the integrality of the Fourier coefficients, we set

$$g(\Lambda) := (r(\Lambda) - l(\Lambda))/2.$$

If $\Lambda = M^\perp$ for a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice $M \subset \mathbb{L}_{K3}$, then $g(\Lambda) = g(M)$. By [22, Sect. 7], $2^{g(\Lambda)-1} F_\Lambda(\tau)$ always has integral Fourier expansion.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ be such that αF_Λ has integral Fourier expansion. By Borcherds [8, Th. 13.3], the Borcherds lift $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)$ is an automorphic form on Ω_Λ^+ for $O^+(\Lambda)$. For the weight and the singularities of $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)$, see [22, Th. 7.1]. The infinite product expansion of $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)$ is given as follows. For simplicity, assume

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{U} \oplus L.$$

Then $F_\Lambda(\tau) = F_L(\tau)$. Let $F_\Lambda(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma \in A_\Lambda} \mathfrak{e}_\gamma \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z} + \gamma^2/2} c_\gamma(k)\, e^{2\pi i k\tau}$ be the Fourier series expansion. Under the identification $\Omega_\Lambda^+ \cong L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{C}_L^+$ via (2.1), the following equality holds for $z \in L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{W}$ with $\langle \Im z, \Im z \rangle \gg 0$

$$\Psi_\Lambda(z, \alpha F_\Lambda) = e^{2\pi i \langle \alpha\varrho, z \rangle} \prod_{\lambda \in L^\vee,\, \lambda \cdot \mathcal{W} > 0} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \langle \lambda, z \rangle}\right)^{\alpha c_{\overline{\lambda}}(\lambda^2/2)}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\overline{\lambda} := \lambda + L \in A_L = A_\Lambda$, the cone $\mathcal{W} \subset C_L^+$ is a *Weyl chamber* of αF_L and $\alpha\varrho \in L \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is the *Weyl vector* of αF_L. See [8, Sect. 10] for these notions.

By [8, Th. 13.3], there exists $w(\Lambda) \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)$ has weight $\alpha w(\Lambda)$. The Petersson norm of $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)$ is the C^∞ function on $L \otimes \mathbf{R} + i\,\mathcal{C}_L^+$ defined by

$$\|\Psi_\Lambda(z, \alpha F_\Lambda)\|^2 := \langle \Im z, \Im z \rangle_L^{\alpha w(\Lambda)} \left|\Psi_\Lambda(z, \alpha F_\Lambda)\right|^2.$$

Through (2.1), $\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)\|^2$ is viewed as an $O(\Lambda)$-invariant function on Ω_Λ. Set

$$\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, F_\Lambda)\| := \|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, \alpha F_\Lambda)\|^{1/\alpha}.$$

In what follow, $\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, F_\Lambda)\|^2$ is viewed as a function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$.

2.4. **An explicit formula for τ_M.** We recall the main result of [22]. Let $a, b \in \{0, 1/2\}^g$. The pair (a, b) is said to be even if $4^t a \cdot b \in 2\mathbf{Z}$. For even (a, b), the corresponding Riemann theta constant $\theta_{a,b}(\Omega)$ is defined as the theta series

$$\theta_{a,b}(\Omega) := \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^g} \exp\{\pi i^t (n+a)\Omega(n+a) + 2\pi i^t (n+a)b\}.$$

For $\Omega \in \mathfrak{S}_g$, we define $\chi_g(\Omega)$ and $\Upsilon_g(\Omega)$ by

$$\chi_g(\Omega) := \prod_{(a,b)\,\mathrm{even}} \theta_{a,b}(\Omega), \qquad \Upsilon_g(\Omega) = \chi_g(\Omega)^8 \sum_{(a,b)\,\mathrm{even}} \theta_{a,b}(\Omega)^{-8}.$$

Then χ_g^8 and Υ_g are Siegel modular forms of weights $2^{g+1}(2^g+1)$ and $2(2^g-1)(2^g+2)$, respectively. Their Petersson norms are $\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbf{Z})$-invariant C^∞ functions

$$\|\chi_g(\Omega)^8\|^2 := (\det \Im\Omega)^{2^{g+1}(2^g+1)} \left|\chi_g(\Omega)^8\right|^2,$$

$$\|\Upsilon_g(\Omega)\|^2 := (\det \Im\Omega)^{2(2^g-1)(2^g+2)} \left|\Upsilon_g(\Omega)^8\right|^2$$

on $\mathfrak{S}_g$. We regard $\|\chi_g^8\|^2, \|\Upsilon_g\|^2 \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A}_g)$ in what follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let M be a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{K3}$. Set $r := r(M)$, $\delta := \delta(M)$, $g := g(M)$ and $\Lambda := M^\perp$. Then there exists a constant C_M depending only on M such that the following equality of functions on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0$ holds:*
(1) *If $(r, \delta) \neq (2, 0), (10, 0)$, then*

$$\tau_M^{-2^g(2^g+1)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, 2^{g-1}F_\Lambda)\right\| \cdot J_M^* \left\|\chi_g^8\right\|.$$

(2) *If $(r, \delta) = (10, 0)$, then*

$$\tau_M^{-(2^g-1)(2^g+2)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, (2^{g-1}+1)F_\Lambda)\right\| \cdot J_M^* \left\|\Upsilon_g\right\|.$$

(3) *If $(r, \delta) = (2, 0)$, then $M \cong \mathbb{U}$ or $\mathbb{U}(2)$ and*

$$\tau_M^{-(2^g-1)(2^g+2)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, 2^{g-1}F_\Lambda + f_\Lambda)\right\| \cdot J_M^* \left\|\Upsilon_g\right\|,$$

where f_Λ is the elliptic modular form of type ρ_Λ given as follows:
When $\Lambda = \mathbb{U}^\perp = \mathbb{U}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8^{\oplus 2}$,

$$f_\Lambda(\tau) := \theta_{\mathbb{E}_8^+}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^{24}, \qquad \theta_{\mathbb{E}_8^+}(\tau) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{E}_8^+} \exp(\pi i \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \tau).$$

When $\Lambda = \mathbb{U}(2)^\perp = \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{U} \oplus \mathbb{E}_8^{\oplus 2}$,

$$f_\Lambda(\tau) := 8 \sum_{\gamma \in A_\Lambda} \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta(\tau/2)^8 \eta(\tau)^8} + \frac{(-1)^{\gamma^2}}{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})^8 \eta(\tau+1)^8} \right\} \mathfrak{e}_\gamma + \frac{\mathfrak{e}_0}{\eta(\tau)^8 \eta(2\tau)^8}.$$

Proof. See [22, Th. 0.1]. □

3. BCOV invariants for Borcea-Voisin threefolds

In Section 3, $M \subset \mathbb{L}_{K3}$ denotes a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice. Throughout this section, we keep the following notation

$$\Lambda := M^{\perp}, \qquad g := g(M), \qquad r := r(M), \qquad \delta := \delta(M).$$

Hence $\mathrm{sign}(\Lambda) = (2, 20-r)$ and $\dim \Omega_\Lambda = 20 - r$.

Definition 3.1. Let (S, θ) be a 2-elementary $K3$ surface and let T be an elliptic curve. The orbifold

$$X_{(S,\theta,T)} := S \times T/\theta \times (-1_T).$$

is called a *Borcea-Voisin orbifold* associated with (S, θ, T). The type of a Borcea-Voisin orbifold $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is defined as that of (S, θ). Hence the type of $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is an isometry class of a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{K3}$.

Let $T[2] = T^{(-1_T)}$ be the set of points of order 2 of T. Then

$$\mathrm{Sing}\, X_{(S,\theta,T)} = S^\theta \times T[2]$$

is the 4 copies of S^θ. Let

$$p\colon \widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)} \to X_{(S,\theta,T)}$$

be the blowing-up of $\mathrm{Sing}(X_{(S,\theta,T)}) = S^\theta \times T[2]$. Then $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold, called a *Borcea-Voisin threefold*, whose mirror symmetry was studied by Borcea [7], Voisin [40] and Gross-Wilson [15]. One of the main results of this paper is the following:

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a constant C_M depending only on the lattice M such that for every Borcea-Voisin orbifold $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ of type M,*

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}) = C_M\, \tau_M\,(S,\theta)^{-4} \left\|\eta(\Omega(T))^{24}\right\|^2.$$

Corollary 3.3. *There exists a constant C_M depending only on M such that the following equality holds for every Borcea-Voisin threefold $\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ of type M:*

(1) *If $(r,\delta) \neq (2,0), (10,0)$, then*

(3.1)
$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)})^{2^{g-1}(2^g+1)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda\left(\pi_M(S,\theta), 2^{g-1}F_\Lambda\right)\right\|^2 \left\|\chi_g\left(\Omega(S^\theta)\right)^8\right\|^2 \times \left\|\eta\left(\Omega(T)\right)^{24}\right\|^{2^g(2^g+1)}.$$

(2) *If $(r,\delta) = (10,0)$, then*

(3.2)
$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)})^{(2^{g-1}+1)(2^g-1)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda\left(\pi_M(S,\theta), (2^{g-1}+1)F_\Lambda\right)\right\|^2 \times \left\|\Upsilon_g\left(\Omega(S^\theta)\right)\right\|^2 \left\|\eta\left(\Omega(T)\right)^{24}\right\|^{2(2^{g-1}+1)(2^g-1)}.$$

(3) *If $(r,\delta) = (2,0)$, then*

(3.3)
$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)})^{(2^{g-1}+1)(2^g-1)} = C_M \left\|\Psi_\Lambda\left(\pi_M(S,\theta), 2^{g-1}F_\Lambda + f_\Lambda\right)\right\|^2 \times \left\|\Upsilon_g\left(\Omega(S^\theta)\right)\right\|^2 \left\|\eta\left(\Omega(T)\right)^{24}\right\|^{2(2^{g-1}+1)(2^g-1)},$$

where f_Λ is the same elliptic modular form as in Theorem 2.3 (3).

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 2.3 and 3.2. □

Remark 3.4. By the same argument as in [19, Sect. 6.1.1], the B-model canonical coordinates on the moduli space of Borcea-Voisin threefolds with $g = 0$ coincide with the standard linear coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_m, \tau)$ on $\Omega_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{H}$ induced by the isomorphism (2.1), where $m = \dim \Omega_\Lambda$. As a result, we get $\|\Xi_s/\langle A_0^\vee, \Xi_s\rangle\|^2 = 2\Im\tau \cdot \langle \Im z, \Im z\rangle$ and

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} \right\|^2 = \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \log \Im\tau}{\partial\tau \partial\bar{\tau}} \right) \det \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \log\langle \Im z, \Im z\rangle}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\beta} \right) = (\Im\tau)^{-2} \langle \Im z, \Im z\rangle^{-m}$$

in (0.1). In particular, $\|\Xi_s/\langle A_0^\vee, \Xi_s\rangle\|^2$ and $\|(\partial/\partial t_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\partial/\partial t_n)\|^2$ are expressed by the Bergman kernel functions of Ω_Λ and $\mathfrak{H}$. If the conjecture of Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa (0.1) holds true for Borcea-Voisin threefolds with $g = 0$, this fact implies that *the infinite product $F_1^{\mathrm{top}}(t)$ in* (0.1) *must extend to an automorphic form on $\Omega_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{H}$.* In this sense, Conjecture (0.1) may be viewed as a conjectural extension of the theory of Borcherds products to a certain specific section on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau threefolds. Corollary 3.3 verifies the requirement of infinite product for Borcea-Voisin threefolds with $g = 0$. In particular, Conjecture (0.1) for Borcea-Voisin threefolds with $g = 0$ is reduced to the conjecture that the exponents of the infinite products $\Psi_\Lambda(\cdot, 2^{g-1}F_\Lambda)$ and $\eta(\tau)$ are given by the instanton numbers of the mirror of such Borcea-Voisin threefolds. However, the Borcea-Voisin mirror construction does not apply to the case $g = 0$ because of the non-existence of a primitive $\mathbb{U} \subset \Lambda$ (cf. [40, Sect. 2.6]). Thus we are naturally led to the following question: *What is the mirror of Borcea-Voisin threefolds with $g = 0$?* To our knowledge, this basic question is still open.

3.1. **A variational formula for τ_{BCOV}.** We keep the notation in Section 2.

The modular curve $\mathbf{X}(1)$ is the quotient of $\mathfrak{H}$ defined as

$$\mathbf{X}(1) := \mathfrak{H}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Let ω_{hyp} be the Kähler form of the Poincaré metric on $\mathfrak{H}$

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -dd^c \log \Im\tau.$$

By definition, Borcea-Voisin threefolds of type M are parametrized by the product $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0 \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. Hence $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} \in C^\omega(\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0 \times \mathbf{X}(1))$.

Theorem 3.5. *Regard τ_{BCOV} as an $O(\Lambda) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$-invariant C^ω function on $\Omega_\Lambda^0 \times \mathfrak{H}$. Then the following equations of $(1,1)$-forms on $\Omega_\Lambda^0 \times \mathfrak{H}$ hold:*

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} = (r(M) - 6)\mathrm{pr}_1^*\omega_\Lambda + 4\mathrm{pr}_1^* J_M^* \omega_{\mathcal{A}_g} + 12\mathrm{pr}_2^*\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}. \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, $\log\left[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}/(\tau_M^{-4}\|\eta^{24}\|^2)\right]$ *is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0 \times \mathbf{X}(1)$.*

Proof. Take a 2-elementary $K3$ surface (S, θ) of type M and an elliptic curve T. Let $f\colon (\mathcal{S}, \theta) \to \mathrm{Def}(S, \theta)$ be the Kuranishi family of (S, θ) and let $g\colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{Def}(T)$ be the Kuranishi family of T. Set $\widetilde{X} := \widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)}$ and let $\mathfrak{f}\colon (\mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{X}) \to (\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X}, [\widetilde{X}])$ be the Kuranishi family of $\widetilde{X}$. We have the embedding of germs

$$\mu\colon \mathrm{Def}(S, \theta) \times \mathrm{Def}(T) \to \mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X}), \qquad \mu(s, t) := [\widetilde{X}_{(S_s,\theta_s,T_t)}]$$

for $s \in \mathrm{Def}(S, \theta)$, $t \in \mathrm{Def}(T)$, where $(S_s, \theta_s) = f^{-1}(s)$ and $T_t = g^{-1}(t)$.

(Step 1) Let

$$E := p^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X_{(S,\theta,T)})) = p^{-1}(S^\theta \times T[2])$$

be the exceptional divisor of $p\colon \widetilde{X}_{(S,\theta,T)} \to X_{(S,\theta,T)}$. Then E is a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over $S^\theta \times T[2]$, whose structure is given as follows. Let $\mathcal{N} := N_{(S^\theta\times T[2])/(S\times T)}$ be the normal bundle of $S^\theta\times T[2]$ in $S\times T$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-1) \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})\times\mathcal{N}$. The projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-1) \to \mathcal{N}$ is the blowing-up of the zero section of $\mathcal{N}$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-2) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})}(-1)/\pm 1 \to \mathcal{N}/\pm 1$$

is a *crepant* resolution. Let $N_{E/\widetilde{X}}$ be the normal bundle of E in $\widetilde{X}$. Then

$$E = \mathbf{P}(N_{(S^\theta\times T[2])/(S\times T)}), \qquad N_{E/\widetilde{X}}|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(-2). \tag{3.5}$$

We set $p_E := p|_E$. Then $p_E\colon E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) \to S^\theta\times T[2]$ is the projection of $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle.

Let $i\colon E \hookrightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be the inclusion. By Voisin [40, Lemme 1.7], we have the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}}) = {} & [H^1(S,\Omega^1_S)^- \otimes H^0(T,K_T)] \oplus [H^0(S,K_S)\otimes H^1(T,\mathcal{O}_T)] \\ & \oplus i_* p_E^* H^0(S^\theta\times T[2], \Omega^1_{S^\theta\times T[2]}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which is orthogonal with respect to the L^2-metric on $H^1(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$. The Kodaira-Spencer map induces the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1\colon \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta),[(S,\theta)]} &\cong H^1(S,\Theta_S)^+ \cong H^1(S,\Omega^1_S)^- \otimes H^0(S,K_S)^\vee \\ &\cong [H^1(S,\Omega^1_S)^- \otimes H^0(T,K_T)] \otimes H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}})^\vee, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2\colon \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(T),[T]} &\cong H^1(T,\Theta_T) = H^1(T,\mathcal{O}_T)\otimes H^0(T,K_T)^\vee \\ &\cong [H^0(S,K_S)\otimes H^1(T,\mathcal{O}_T)] \otimes H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}})^\vee. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), we get the following canonical identification

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_3\colon \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X}),[\widetilde{X}]} \cong H^1(\widetilde{X},\Theta_{\widetilde{X}}) \cong H^1(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}}) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}})^\vee \cong \\ &\quad \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta),[(S,\theta)]} \oplus \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(T),[T]} \oplus \left[i_* p_E^* H^0(S^\theta\times T[2], \Omega^1_{S^\theta\times T[2]}) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}})^\vee \right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the first isomorphism is given by the Kodaira-Spencer map and the last decomposition is orthogonal with respect to ω_{WP}. By (1.4), we have

$$(\mu^*\omega_{\mathrm{WP}})|_{\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta)}\oplus\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(T)}} = \mathrm{pr}_1^*\omega_\Lambda + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}. \tag{3.10}$$

(Step 2) Let $(\mathfrak{F}, h_{\mathfrak{F}})$ be the automorphic vector bundle of rank g on $\mathfrak{S}_g$ equipped with the Hermitian structure induced from the polarization such that

$$(f_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{S}^\theta/\mathrm{Def}(S^\theta)}, h_{L^2}) = J_M^*(\mathfrak{F}, h_{\mathfrak{F}}). \tag{3.11}$$

($\mathfrak{F}$ is the relative cotangent bundle of the universal family of principally polarized abelian varieties over $\mathfrak{S}_g$.) Let us see the isometry of Hermitian vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(i_* p_E^* H^0(S^\theta\times T[2], \Omega^1_{S^\theta\times T[2]}) \otimes H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}})^\vee, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \right) \\ &\cong \left(H^0(S^\theta\times T[2], \Omega^1_{S^\theta\times T[2]}), 2h_{L^2} \right) \otimes \left(H^0(\widetilde{X},K_{\widetilde{X}}), h_{L^2} \right)^\vee. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Recall that $i_*\colon H^*(E) \to H^{*+2}(\widetilde{X})$ is defined as the dual of $i^*\colon H^*(\widetilde{X}) \to H^*(E)$ with respect to the Poincaré duality pairing: For all $\varphi \in H^*(\widetilde{X})$, $\psi \in H^{4-*}(E)$,

$$\int_{\widetilde{X}} i_*\psi \wedge \varphi = 2\pi \int_E \psi \wedge i^*\varphi.$$

Let $\Phi \in H^2_c(N_{E/\widetilde{X}})$ be the Thom form of Mathai-Quillen (cf. [1, (1.37)]). Identify $N_{E/\widetilde{X}}$ with a tubular neighborhood of E in $\widetilde{X}$ and regard Φ as a C^∞ closed 2-form on $\widetilde{X}$ supported on the closure of $N_{E/\widetilde{X}}$ by this identification. Since $i_*\psi = [\Phi] \wedge \psi$ and $i^*\Phi = 2\pi\, c_1(N_{E/\widetilde{X}})$ by [1, (1.38)], we deduce from (3.5) that for all $\omega, \omega' \in H^0(S^\theta \times T[2], \Omega^1_{S^\theta \times T[2]})$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle i_* p_E^* \omega, i_* p_E^* \overline{\omega'} \right\rangle_{L^2} &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\widetilde{X}} (i_* p_E^* \omega) \wedge \Phi \wedge p_E^* \overline{\omega'} = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_E p_E^*(\omega \wedge \overline{\omega'}) \wedge i^*\Phi \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_E p_E^*(\omega \wedge \overline{\omega'}) \wedge c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(-2)) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \int_{S^\theta \times T[2]} \omega \wedge \overline{\omega'} = 2\langle \omega, \overline{\omega'} \rangle_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the projection formula to get the 4-th equality. This verifies (3.12). By (3.10), (3.12), we have an isometry of holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles on $\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta) \times \mathrm{Def}(T)$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^* \left(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X})}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \right) \cong \left(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta)}, \omega_{M^\perp} \right) \oplus \left(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(T)}, \omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} \right) \\ \oplus \left[J_M^*(\mathfrak{F}^{\oplus 4}, 2h_{\mathfrak{F}^{\oplus 4}}) \otimes (\mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X})}, h_{L^2})^\vee \right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

(Step 3) Since $\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X})}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}})$, $c_1(\mathfrak{F}, 2h_{\mathfrak{F}}) = \omega_{\mathcal{A}_g}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} = c_1(\mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X})}, h_{L^2})$, we get by (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^* \mathrm{Ric}\,\omega_{\mathrm{WP}} &= c_1\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(S,\theta)}, \omega_\Lambda\right) + c_1\left(\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(T)}, \omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}\right) \\ &\quad + J_M^* c_1(\mathfrak{F}^{\oplus 4}, 2h_{\mathfrak{F}^{\oplus 4}}) - \mathrm{rk}(\mathfrak{F}^{\oplus 4}) c_1(\mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(\widetilde{X})}, h_{L^2}) \\ &= c_1(\Omega_\Lambda, \omega_\Lambda) + c_1(\mathfrak{H}, \omega_{\mathfrak{H}}) + 4 J_M^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_g} - 4g\, \mu^* \omega_{\mathrm{WP}} \\ &= -(\dim \Omega_\Lambda) \cdot \omega_\Lambda - 2\omega_{\mathfrak{H}} + 4 J_M^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_g} - 4g(\omega_\Lambda + \omega_{\mathfrak{H}}) \\ &= -(\dim \Omega_\Lambda + 4g)\, \omega_\Lambda - (4g+2)\omega_{\mathfrak{H}} + 4 J_M^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_g}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

To get the third equality, we used the Einstein property of the bounded symmetric domains equipped with the Bergman metric

$$c_1(\Omega_\Lambda, \omega_\Lambda) = -(\dim \Omega_\Lambda) \cdot \omega_\Lambda = -(20 - r)\omega_\Lambda, \qquad c_1(\mathfrak{H}, \omega_{\mathfrak{H}}) = -2\omega_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Since

$$h^{2,1}(\widetilde{X}) - 4g = 21 - r, \qquad \frac{\chi(\widetilde{X})}{12} = r - 10$$

by [40, Cor. 1.8], we deduce from (3.14) and Theorem 1.8 that

$$-\, dd^c \log \widetilde{\tau}_{\mathrm{BCOV}} = (r-6)\, \omega_\Lambda + 12\, \omega_{\mathfrak{H}} + 4\, J_M^* \omega_{\mathfrak{S}_g}. \tag{3.15}$$

This completes the proof of (3.4). □

Set

$$F^\Lambda := \log \left[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} / (\tau_M^{-4} \|\eta^{24}\|^2) \right] \in C^\omega \left(\mathcal{M}^0_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1) \right).$$

Then Theorem 3.2 is equivalent to the assertion that F^Λ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}^0_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. In the rest of Section 3.1, we study the possible singularities of F^Λ.

Let $\varpi \colon \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbf{X}(1)$ be the projection and let $\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda := \mathit{\Pi}_\Lambda \times \varpi$ be the projection from $\Omega_\Lambda \times \mathfrak{H}$ to $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$.

Proposition 3.6. *For any $d \in \Delta_\Lambda$, there exists $\alpha(d) \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$-dd^c\left[\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda^* F^\Lambda\right] = \sum_{d \in \Delta_\Lambda/\pm 1} \alpha(d)\, \delta_{H_d \times \mathfrak{H}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Here $\alpha(g \cdot d) = \alpha(d)$ for all $g \in O(\Lambda)$. In particular, ∂F^Λ is a logarithmic 1-form on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$ with possible pole along $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$.

Proof. Let $d \in \Delta_\Lambda$ and let $z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Let $\gamma\colon \Delta \to \Omega_\Lambda$ be a holomorphic curve intersecting H_d transversally at $\gamma(0) \in H_d^0$. By Theorem 1.6 and [45, Prop. 5.5], there exists $a_{\gamma,d,z} \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}\left(\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda(\gamma(t), z)\right) = a_{\gamma,d,z} \log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.17}$$

By [43, Th. 6.5], we get

$$\log\left[\tau_M(\gamma(t))^{-4} \|\eta(z)^{24}\|^2\right] = \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O(1) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.17), (3.18), we get

$$F^\Lambda\left(\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda(\gamma(t), z)\right) = (a_{\gamma,d,z} - \frac{1}{2})\, \log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.19}$$

Since $\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda^* F^\Lambda$ is pluriharmonic on $\Omega_\Lambda^0 \times \mathfrak{H}$, the constant $a_{\gamma,d,z} - \frac{1}{2}$ depends only on $d \in \Delta_\Lambda$ by [45, Lemma 5.9]. Hence we can define $\alpha(d) := -(a_{\gamma,d,z} - \frac{1}{2})$. Then (3.16) follows from (3.19) and [45, Lemma 5.9]. The property $\alpha(g \cdot d) = \alpha(d)$ for all $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is a consequence of the $O(\Lambda)$-invariance of $\mathfrak{P}_\Lambda^* F^\Lambda$. □

Recall that $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$ is the Baily-Borel compactification of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ and $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is its boundary locus.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $C \subset \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$ be an irreducible curve such that $C \not\subset \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$. For any $z \in \mathbf{X}(1)$, $F^\Lambda|_{C \times \{z\}}$ has at most logarithmic singularities at $(C \cap \mathcal{B}_\Lambda) \times \{z\}$. Namely, for any $x \in (C \cap \mathcal{B}_\Lambda) \times \{z\}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$F^\Lambda(t, z) = \alpha\, \log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0),$$

where t is a local parameter of C centered at x.

Proof. By [47, Th. 3.1], there is an irreducible curve B, a finite surjective map $\varphi\colon B \to C$, a smooth projective threefold $\mathcal{S}$, a surjective holomorphic map $f\colon \mathcal{S} \to B$, and a holomorphic involution $\theta\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ preserving the fibers of f with the following properties:

(i) There is a non-empty Zariski open subset $B^0 \subset B$ such that (S_b, θ_b) is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M for all $b \in B^0$. Here, $S_b := f^{-1}(b)$ and $\theta_b := \theta|_{S_b}$.
(ii) $\varphi\colon B^0 \to C \cap \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0$ is the period map for the family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces $f\colon (\mathcal{S}, \theta)|_{B^0} \to B^0$ of type M.

Let T be an elliptic curve. Let $\mathcal{X} \to (\mathcal{S} \times T)/(\theta \times -1_T)$ be a resolution and let $g\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be the map induced from the map $f \circ \mathrm{pr}_1\colon \mathcal{S} \times T \to B$. We may assume that $X_b := g^{-1}(b)$ is the Borcea-Voisin threefold $\widetilde{X}_{(S_b, \theta_b, T)}$ for all $b \in B^0$.

Let $p \in \varphi^{-1}(C \cap \mathcal{B}_\Lambda)$ and let (U, s) be a coordinate neighborhood of B centered at p. By Theorem 1.6 applied to the family of Calabi-Yau threefolds $g\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$, there exists $\beta \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that at $s \to 0$,

$$\varphi^*(\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}})(s) = \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X_s) = \beta\, \log|s|^2 + O(\log(-\log|s|)). \tag{3.20}$$

By (2.5) and (3.20), $F^{\Lambda}|_{C\times\{z\}}$ has at most logarithmic singularities at $(C\cap\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)\times\{z\}$. This completes the proof. □

Set $+i\infty := \mathbf{X}^*(1)\setminus\mathbf{X}(1)$.

Lemma 3.8. *For any $[\eta]\in\mathcal{M}^0_\Lambda$, $F^{\Lambda}|_{[\eta]\times\mathbf{X}(1)}$ has at most a logarithmic singularity at $([\eta],+i\infty)$.*

Proof. The result follows from [45, Prop. 5.6] and Theorems 8.1 and 8.2 below. □

3.2. **Examples verifying Theorem 3.2.**

Lemma 3.9. *Let F be a real-valued pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda\times\mathbf{X}(1)$. Assume that for any $[\eta]\in\mathcal{M}^0_\Lambda$, $F|_{[\eta]\times\mathbf{X}(1)}$ has at most logarithmic singularity at $([\eta],+i\infty)$.*
(1) *If $r\leq 17$, then F is a constant function.*
(2) *If $r\geq 18$, assume moreover that for every complete irreducible curve $C\subset\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$ with $C\not\subset\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ and for every $z\in\mathbf{X}(1)$, $F|_{C\times\{z\}}$ has at most logarithmic singularity at any point of $(C\cap\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)\times\{z\}$. Namely, there exists $\alpha\in\mathbf{Q}$ such that*

$$F([\eta],j)=\alpha\log|j|^2+O\left(\log(-\log|j|)\right)\qquad(j\to+i\infty),$$

where $j\colon\mathbf{X}^*(1)\cong\mathbf{P}^1$ is the isomorphism given by the j-invariant. Then F is a constant.

Proof. **(1)** Since $r\leq 17$, $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ has codimension ≥ 2 in $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$. By Grauert-Remmert [14, Satz 4] and the normality of $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$, F extends to a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\mathbf{X}(1)$. By the compactness of $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$, there exists a function ψ on $\mathbf{X}(1)$ such that $F=\mathrm{pr}_2^*\psi$. Since F is pluriharmonic, ψ must be a harmonic function on $\mathbf{X}(1)$ because $\psi=F|_{[\eta]\times\mathbf{X}(1)}$, where $[\eta]\in\mathcal{M}^0_\Lambda$. Since $F|_{[\eta]\times\mathbf{X}(1)}$ has at most logarithmic singularity at $([\eta],+i\infty)$, ψ has at most logarithmic singularity at $+i\infty$. Namely, there exists $\alpha\in\mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\psi(j)=\alpha\,\log|j|^2+O(\log\log|j|)\qquad(j\to\infty). \tag{3.21}$$

Since ψ is harmonic on $\mathbf{X}(1)$, it follows from (3.21) that $\partial\psi$ is a logarithmic 1-form on $\mathbf{X}^*(1)\cong\mathbf{P}^1$ with possible pole at $j=\infty$ and with residue α. By the residue theorem applied to $\partial\psi$, we get $\alpha=0$. Hence $\partial\psi$ is a holomorphic 1-form on $X^*(1)$. As a result, $\partial\psi=0$ on $\mathbf{X}^*(1)$, so that ψ is a constant function on $\mathbf{X}(1)$. This proves that $F=\mathrm{pr}_2^*\psi$ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda\times\mathbf{X}(1)$.

(2) Let $z\in\mathbf{X}(1)$. By assumption and [45, Lemma 5.9], $F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}$ has at most logarithmic singularity along $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$. Hence $\partial F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}$ is a logarithmic 1-form on $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}$ with possible pole along the *irreducible* divisor $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$. We set

$$\alpha:=\mathrm{Res}_{\mathcal{B}_\Lambda\times\{z\}}(\partial F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}).$$

Let $C\subset\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$ be a complete irreducible curve with $C\neq\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ and $C\cap\mathcal{B}_\Lambda\neq\emptyset$. By the residue theorem applied to the logarithmic 1-form $\partial F|_{C\times\{z\}}$ on $C\times\{z\}$, we get $\alpha\cdot\#(C\cap\mathcal{B}_\Lambda)=0$. Hence $\alpha=0$, so that $F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}$ is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\setminus\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$. By [14, Satz 4] and the normality of $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$, $F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}$ extends to a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$. By the compactness of $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$, $F|_{\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda\times\{z\}}$ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}^*_\Lambda$. This implies the existence of a harmonic function ψ on $\mathbf{X}(1)$ such that $F=(\mathrm{pr}_2)^*\psi$. By the same argument as in (1), ψ is a constant function on $\mathbf{X}(1)$. This proves that $F=\mathrm{pr}_2^*\psi$ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda\times\mathbf{X}(1)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.10. *If $r\leq 17$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$ is irreducible, then Theorem 3.2 holds.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$ be the residue of the logarithmic 1-form ∂F^Λ along $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. Since $r \leq 17$ and hence $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ has codimension ≥ 2 in $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$, there is an irreducible complete curve $C \subset \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$ such that $C \cap \mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \emptyset$ and $C \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in \mathbf{X}(1)$ be an arbitrary point. Since $\partial F^\Lambda|_{C\times\{z\}}$ is a logarithmic 1-form on $C \times \{z\}$ with residue α at any pole of $\partial F^\Lambda|_{C\times\{z\}}$, the total residue of $\partial F^\Lambda|_{C\times\{z\}}$ is a non-zero multiple of α. By the residue theorem, we get $\alpha = 0$. Thus ∂F^Λ is a holomorphic 1-form on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$, so that F^Λ is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. Now, the result follows from Lemma 3.9 (1). □

3.3. **The behavior of BCOV invariants near the discriminant locus.**

3.3.1. *Ordinary singular families of* 2*-elementary* $K3$ *surfaces.* Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a smooth complex threefold and let $p\colon \mathcal{S} \to \Delta$ be a proper surjective holomorphic function without critical points on $\mathcal{S} \setminus p^{-1}(0)$. Let $\theta\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a holomorphic involution preserving the fibers of p. Set $S_t = p^{-1}(t)$ and $\theta_t = \theta|_{S_t}$ for $t \in \Delta$. Then $p\colon (\mathcal{S}, \theta) \to \Delta$ is called an *ordinary singular family* of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces if p has a unique, non-degenerate critical point on S_0 and if (S_t, θ_t) is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface for all $t \in \Delta^*$. Let $o \in \mathcal{S}$ be the unique critical point of p. By [43, Sect. 2.2], there exists a system of coordinates $(\mathcal{U}, (w_1, w_2, w_3))$ centered at o such that

$$\iota(w) = (-w_1, -w_2, -w_3) \quad \text{or} \quad (w_1, w_2, -w_3), \qquad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

If $\iota(w) = (-w_1, -w_2, -w_3)$ on $\mathcal{U}$, ι is said to be of *type* $(0, 3)$. If $\iota(w) = (w_1, w_2, -w_3)$ on $\mathcal{U}$, ι is said to be of *type* $(2, 1)$.

Lemma 3.11. *There exists a system of local coordinates* (z_1, z_2, z_3) *of* $\mathcal{Z}$ *centered at* $o \in \mathcal{Z}$ *and a coordinate* t *of* Δ *centered at* $0 \in \Delta$ *with the following properties.*

(1) *If* ι *is of type* $(0, 3)$*, then*

$$\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (-z_1, -z_2, -z_3), \qquad p(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2. \tag{3.22}$$

(2) *If* ι *is of type* $(2, 1)$*, then*

$$\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1, z_2, -z_3), \qquad p(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2. \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. The proof is standard and is omitted. □

3.3.2. *Two local models of critical points.* We introduce two local models of critical points appearing in certain degenerations of Borcea-Voisin threefolds.

Let $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ be the unit ball of radius 1. Let T be an elliptic curve. Define involutions $\iota^{(2,2)}$, $\iota^{(0,4)}$ on $\mathbf{B} \times T$ by

$$\iota^{(2,2)}(z, w) = (z_1, z_2, -z_3, -w), \qquad \iota^{(0,4)}(z, w) = (-z_1, -z_2, -z_3, -w),$$

where $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbf{B}$ and $w \in T$. Set

$$\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)} := (\mathbf{B} \times T)/\iota^{(2,2)}, \qquad \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)} := (\mathbf{B} \times T)/\iota^{(0,4)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$ are orbifolds. Since the nowhere vanishing canonical form $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge dw$ on $\mathbf{B} \times T$ is invariant under the $\iota^{(2,2)}$ and $\iota^{(0,4)}$-actions, it descends to a nowhere vanishing canonical form in the sense of orbifolds on $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$, respectively. We write $\Xi^{(2,2)}$ (resp. $\Xi^{(0,4)}$) for the nowhere vanishing canonical form on $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$) induced by $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge dw$.

For $(z, w) \in \mathbf{B} \times T$, write $[(z, w)]^{(2,2)} \in \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ and $[(z, w)]^{(0,4)} \in \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$ for the images of (z, w) by the projections $\mathbf{B}\times T \to \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ and $\mathbf{B}\times T \to \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$, respectively.

(Case 1) Set $\Sigma := \{[(z,w)]^{(2,2)} \in \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)};\, z_1 = z_2 = 0\}$. Then $\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)} = \Sigma$. Let $\sigma^{(2,2)} \colon \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)} \to \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$ be the blowing-up along Σ, which is a resolution of the singularities of $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$. Define $F^{(2,2)} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)})$ by

$$F^{(2,2)}([(z,w)]^{(2,2)}) := (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2$$

and set

$$\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)} := F^{(2,2)} \circ \sigma^{(2,2)} \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}).$$

Set $W := \{(u,v,r) \in \mathbf{C}^3;\, uv - r^2 = 0\}$. Since $\mathbf{C}^2/\pm 1 \cong W$ via the map $\pm(z_3, w) \mapsto ((z_3)^2, w^2, z_3 w)$, we have an isomorphism of germs $(\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}, x) \cong (\mathbf{C}^2 \times W, (0,0))$ for any $x \in \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$. Under this identification of germs, the function germ $F^{(2,2)} \colon (\mathbf{C}^4/\iota^{(2,2)}, 0) = (\mathbf{C}^2 \times W, (0,0)) \to (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ is expressed as

$$F^{(2,2)}(z_1, z_2, u, v, r) = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + u, \tag{3.24}$$

where $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, $(u,v,r) \in W$.

Let $\sigma \colon (\widetilde{W}, E) \to (W, 0)$ be the blowing-up at the origin, where $E = \sigma^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbf{P}^1$. The isomorphism $(\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}, x) \cong (\mathbf{C}^2 \times W, (0,0))$ induces an isomorphism of germs $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}, \widetilde{x}) \cong (\mathbf{C}^2 \times \widetilde{W}, (0, \zeta))$ for any $\widetilde{x} \in (\sigma^{(2,2)})^{-1}(x)$, where $\zeta \in \sigma^{-1}(0)$ is the point corresponding to $\widetilde{x}$. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2\}$ be the open covering of $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \widetilde{W}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{U}_0 := \mathbf{C}^2 \times \sigma^{-1}(\{u \neq 0\}), \quad \mathcal{U}_1 := \mathbf{C}^2 \times \sigma^{-1}(\{v \neq 0\}), \quad \mathcal{U}_2 := \mathbf{C}^2 \times \sigma^{-1}(\{r \neq 0\}).$$

By (3.24), $\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)}$ has no critical points on $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$. On $\mathcal{U}_1$, we have the system of coordinates $(z_1, z_2, v, s := r/v)$. Since

$$\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)}(z_1, z_2, v, s) = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + u = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + \frac{r^2}{v} = (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + vs^2,$$

we get

$$\Sigma_{\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)}} = \{z_1 = z_2 = s = 0\} \cap \mathcal{U}_1.$$

Hence $\dim \Sigma_{\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)}} = 1$. In particular, the divisor $(\widetilde{F}^{(2,2)})^{-1}(0)$ is irreducible.

Since the dualizing sheaf of $\widetilde{W}$ is trivial, so is the dualizing sheaf of $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \widetilde{W}$. Since $\Xi^{(2,2)}$ is a nowhere vanishing section of the dualizing sheaf of $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \widetilde{W}$, $(\sigma^{(2,2)})^* \Xi^{(2,2)}$ extends to a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}$. If Υ is a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)} \setminus \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$, then $\Upsilon / \Xi^{(2,2)}$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)} \setminus \operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$, which extends to a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function on $\mathcal{V}^{(2,2)}$. Hence $(\sigma^{(2,2)})^* \Upsilon$ is also a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}$.

(Case 2) Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in T$ be non-zero points of order 2. Then

$$\operatorname{Sing} \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)} = \{[(0,0)]^{(0,4)}, [(0,\omega_1)]^{(0,4)}, [(0,\omega_2)]^{(0,4)}, [(0,\omega_3)]^{(0,4)}\}$$

consists of 4 isolated quotient singularities isomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}^4/\pm 1, 0)$.

Define $F^{(0,4)} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)})$ by

$$F^{(0,4)}([(z,w)]^{(0,4)}) := (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2$$

By this expression, we have

$$\Sigma_{F^{(0,4)}} = \{[(0,w)]^{(0,4)} \in \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)};\, w \in T\}.$$

In particular, $\dim \Sigma_{F^{(0,4)}} = 1$. Since the inverse image of $(F^{(0,4)})^{-1}(0)$ in $\mathbf{B} \times T$ is irreducible, $(F^{(0,4)})^{-1}(0)$ is an irreducible divisor of $\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$.

3.3.3. *A degenerating family of Borcea-Voisin threefolds and BCOV invariants, I.* Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$ be smooth irreducible projective threefolds. Let $\theta\colon \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$ and $\theta'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'$ be holomorphic involutions on $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$, respectively. Let B and B' be compact Riemann surfaces and let $p\colon \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $p'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to B'$ be surjective holomorphic maps. Let $\Delta \subset B$ and $\Delta' \subset B'$ be the discriminant loci of $p\colon \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $p'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to B'$, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$ and $\mathfrak{p}' \in \Delta'$. For $b \in B$ and $b' \in B'$, set $S_b := p^{-1}(b)$ and $S'_{b'} := (p')^{-1}(b')$. We assume the following:

(1) θ and θ' preserve the fibers of p and p', respectively. Set $\theta_b := \theta|_{S_b}$ and $\theta'_{b'} := \theta'|_{S'_{b'}}$ for $b \in B$ and $b' \in B'$.
(2) There exist primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattices $M, M' \subset \mathbb{L}_{K3}$ such that (S_b, θ_b) is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M for all $B \setminus \Delta$ and $(S'_{b'}, \theta'_{b'})$ is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M' for all $B' \setminus \Delta'$.
(3) There is a neighborhood U of $\mathfrak{p}$ in B such that
$$p\colon (p^{-1}(U), \theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}) \to U$$
is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M. Similarly, there is a neighborhood U' of $\mathfrak{p}'$ in B' such that
$$p'\colon ((p')^{-1}(U'), \theta'|_{(p')^{-1}(U')}) \to U'$$
is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M'.

Let T be an elliptic curve. We set
$$\iota := \theta \times (-1)_T, \qquad \iota' := \theta' \times (-1)_T$$
and
$$\mathcal{X} := (\mathcal{S} \times T)/\iota, \qquad \mathcal{X}' := (\mathcal{S}' \times T)/\iota'.$$
Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ and $\pi'\colon \mathcal{X}' \to B'$ be the projections induced from the projections $p\colon \mathcal{S} \to B$ and $p'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to B'$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{S} \times T$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}' \times T$) is a complex manifold, the set of fixed points of the ι-action (resp. ι'-action) on $\mathcal{S} \times T$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}' \times T$), i.e., $\mathcal{S}^\theta \times T[2]$ (resp. $(\mathcal{S}')^{\theta'} \times T[2]$) is the disjoint union of complex submanifolds.

Let $Z := (\mathcal{S}^\theta \times T[2])^{\mathrm{hol}}$ and $Z' := ((\mathcal{S}')^{\theta'} \times T[2])^{\mathrm{hol}}$ be the horizontal components. Namely, Z is the union of those connected components of $\mathcal{S}^\theta \times T[2]$ which are flat over B. Similarly, Z' is the union of those connected components of $(\mathcal{S}')^{\theta'} \times T[2]$ which are flat over B'. Then Z and Z' are complex submanifolds of $\mathcal{S} \times T$ and $\mathcal{S}' \times T$ of codimension 2, respectively.

Let $\sigma\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the blowing-up of $\mathcal{X}$ along Z and let $\sigma'\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ be the blowing-up of $\mathcal{X}'$ along Z'. We set
$$\widetilde{\pi} := \pi \circ \sigma\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to B, \qquad \widetilde{\pi}' := \pi' \circ \sigma'\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}' \to B'.$$
By construction, $\widetilde{\pi}^{-1}(b) = \widetilde{X}_{(S_b, \theta_b, T)}$ for $b \in U \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and $(\widetilde{\pi}')^{-1}(b') = \widetilde{X}_{(S'_{b'}, \theta'_{b'}, T)}$ for $b' \in U' \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}'\}$. Fix isomorphisms of germs $(U, \mathfrak{p}) \cong (\mathbf{C}, 0)$ and $(U', \mathfrak{p}') \cong (\mathbf{C}, 0)$. Then, for $t \in \mathbf{C}$ with $0 < |t| \ll 1$, $\widetilde{X}_{(S_t, \theta_t, T)}$ is a Borcea-Voisin threefold of type M and $\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t, \theta'_t, T)}$ is a Borcea-Voisin threefold of type M'.

Theorem 3.12. *If $\theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ and $\theta'|_{(p')^{-1}(U')}$ have the same type, then*
$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t, \theta_t, T)})}{\log |t|^2} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t, \theta'_t, T)})}{\log |t|^2}.$$

In particular, as $t \to 0$,

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t,\theta_t,T)}) - \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) = O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.7, it suffices to verify conditions (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) in Section 1.3 for the families $\widetilde{\pi}\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ and $\widetilde{\pi}'\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}' \to B'$. Since $\widetilde{\pi}^{-1}(b) = \widetilde{X}_{(S_b,\theta_b,T)}$ for $b \in U \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and $(\widetilde{\pi}')^{-1}(b') = \widetilde{X}_{(S'_{b'},\theta'_{b'},T)}$ for $b' \in U' \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}'\}$, condition (A2) holds.

Set $\theta_U := \theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ and $\theta'_{U'} := \theta'|_{(p')^{-1}(U')}$. Let o be the unique critical point of $p|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ and let o' be the unique critical point of $p'|_{(p')^{-1}(U')}$.

(Step 1) By Lemma 3.11, there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of o in $\mathcal{S}$ and a system of coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3) on $\mathcal{U}$ centered at o such that $(\mathcal{U}, (z_1, z_2, z_3)) = (\mathbf{B}, (z_1, z_2, z_3))$ and such that either (3.22) or (3.23) holds on $\mathcal{U}$. Similarly, there exist a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}'$ of o' in $\mathcal{S}'$ and a system of coordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3) on $\mathcal{U}'$ centered at o' such that $(\mathcal{U}', (z_1, z_2, z_3)) = (\mathbf{B}, (z_1, z_2, z_3))$ and such that either (3.22) or (3.23) holds on $\mathcal{U}'$. Since θ_U and $\theta'_{U'}$ have the same type, we get

$$(\mathcal{U} \times T)/\iota \cong (\mathcal{U}' \times T)/\iota' \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}^{(2,2)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (2,1), \\ \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (0,3). \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

Define open subsets $O \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and $O' \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$ by

$$O := \sigma^{-1}\left((\mathcal{U} \times T)/\iota\right), \qquad O' := (\sigma')^{-1}\left((\mathcal{U}' \times T)/\iota'\right).$$

By (3.25), we get the following isomorphism

$$O \cong O' \cong \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (2,1), \\ \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (0,3). \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

By (3.22), (3.23), we get under the isomorphism (3.25)

$$\pi|_{(\mathcal{U}\times T)/\iota} = \pi'|_{(\mathcal{U}'\times T)/\iota'} = \begin{cases} F^{(2,2)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (2,1), \\ F^{(0,4)} & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (0,3). \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

Under the isomorphism (3.26), we get by (3.27) the following isomorphism of pairs:

$$(O, \widetilde{\pi}) \cong (O', \widetilde{\pi}') \cong \begin{cases} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}, \widetilde{F}^{(2,2)}\right) & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (2,1), \\ \left(\mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}, F^{(0,4)}\right) & \text{if } \theta_U,\, \theta'_{U'} \text{ are of type } (0,3). \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

This verifies condition (A4) in Section 1.3.

(Step 2) Since S_0 and S'_0 are singular $K3$ surfaces with a unique ordinary double point as its singular set, the divisors $\widetilde{\pi}^{-1}(0)$ and $(\widetilde{\pi}')^{-1}(0)$ are irreducible by the descriptions of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}, \widetilde{F}^{(2,2)})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(0,4)}, \widetilde{F}^{(0,4)})$ in Section 3.3.2. Similarly, by the descriptions of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(2,2)}, \widetilde{F}^{(2,2)})$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}^{(0,4)}, \widetilde{F}^{(0,4)})$ in Section 3.3.2, we get

$$\dim \Sigma_{\widetilde{\pi}} = \dim \Sigma_{\widetilde{\pi}'} = 1. \tag{3.29}$$

This verifies condition (A1) in Section 1.3.

(Step 3) By choosing U and U' sufficiently small, we may assume by [43, Lemma 2.3] that $p^{-1}(U)$ and $(p')^{-1}(U')$ carry nowhere vanishing canonical forms ξ and ξ', respectively, such that $\theta^*\xi = -\xi$ and $(\theta')^*\xi' = -\xi'$. Then $\xi \wedge dw$ and $\xi' \wedge dw$ are nowhere vanishing canonical forms on $p^{-1}(U) \times T$ and $(p')^{-1}(U') \times T$, respectively, such that $\iota^*(\xi \wedge dw) = \xi \wedge dw$ and $(\iota')^*(\xi' \wedge dw) = \xi' \wedge dw$. Hence $\xi \wedge dw$ (resp. $\xi' \wedge dw$) induces a nowhere vanishing canonical form Ξ (resp. Ξ') in the sense of orbifolds on $(\mathcal{U} \times T)/\iota$ (resp. $(\mathcal{U}' \times T)/\iota'$).

Let θ_U and $\theta'_{U'}$ be of type $(2,1)$. Since every nowhere vanishing canonical form on $(\mathcal{U}\times T)/\iota$ (resp. $(\mathcal{U}'\times T)/\iota'$) lifts to a nowhere vanishing canonical form on O (resp. O') via σ (resp. σ') by Section 3.3.2 Case 1, $\sigma^*\Xi$ (resp. $(\sigma')^*\Xi'$) is a nowhere vanishing canonical form on O (resp. O').

Let θ_U and $\theta'_{U'}$ be of type $(0,3)$. Then $O\cong O'\cong \mathcal{V}^{(0,4)}$ by (3.26). Hence Ξ (resp. Ξ') is a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $O\setminus \Sigma_{\widetilde{\pi}}$ (resp. $O'\setminus \Sigma_{\widetilde{\pi}'}$). This verifies condition (A3) in Section 1.3. Since conditions (A1), (A2), (A3), (A4) are verified for $\widetilde{\pi}\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}\to B$ and $\widetilde{\pi}'\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'\to B'$, the result follows from Theorem 1.7. □

3.3.4. *A degenerating family of Borcea-Voisin threefolds and BCOV invariants, II.* Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a smooth projective threefold equipped with a holomorphic involution $\theta\colon \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}$. Let B be a compact Riemann surface and let $p\colon \mathcal{S}\to B$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Let $\Delta\subset B$ be the discriminant locus of $p\colon \mathcal{S}\to B$ and let $\mathfrak{p}\in\Delta$. We assume the following:

(1) θ preserves the fibers of p and the pair (S_b,θ_b) is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M for all $B\setminus\Delta$, where $S_b:=p^{-1}(b)$ and $\theta_b:=\theta|_{S_b}$.
(2) There is a neighborhood U of $\mathfrak{q}$ in B such that $p\colon (p^{-1}(U),\theta|_{p^{-1}(U)})\to U$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M.

Theorem 3.13. *Let T be an elliptic curve. If $\theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ is of type $(2,1)$, then*

$$\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t,\theta_t,T)})=\frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2+O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right)\qquad(t\to 0).$$

Proof. Set $M:=\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$ is irreducible by [46, Prop. 11.6].

(Step 1) By [43, Th. 2.8], there exist a smooth projective threefold $\mathcal{S}'$ equipped with a holomorphic involution $\theta'\colon \mathcal{S}'\to\mathcal{S}'$, a pointed compact Riemann surface $(B',\mathfrak{p}')$ equipped with a neighborhood U' of $\mathfrak{p}'$, and a surjective holomorphic map $p'\colon \mathcal{S}'\to B'$ with the following properties:

(1) θ' preserves the fibers of p'.
(2) $p'\colon (\mathcal{S}'_{U'},\theta'|_{\mathcal{S}'_{U'}})\to U'$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M.

Since $M=\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$, the fixed-point-set $(S'_{b'})^{\theta'_{b'}}$ consists of two disjoint elliptic curves for all $b'\in U'\setminus\{\mathfrak{p}'\}$. Assume that $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(0,3)$. Then the set of fixed points of the $\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$-action on $S'_{\mathfrak{p}'}=(p')^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}')$ consists of two disjoint elliptic curves and the isolated point $\operatorname{Sing}S'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$. Let $\mu\colon \widetilde{S}'_{\mathfrak{p}'}\to S'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ be the minimal resolution. By [46, Th. 2.3 (1)], the involution $\theta'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ on $S'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ lifts to an involution $\widetilde{\theta}'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ on $\widetilde{S}'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ and the pair $(\widetilde{S}'_{\mathfrak{p}'},\widetilde{\theta}'_{\mathfrak{p}'})$ is a 2-elementary $K3$ surface. Since $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(0,3)$, $\mu^{-1}(\operatorname{Sing}S'_{\mathfrak{p}'})\cong\mathbf{P}^1$ must be a component of the fixed-point-set $(\widetilde{S}'_{\mathfrak{p}'})^{\widetilde{\theta}'_{\mathfrak{p}'}}$. Thus $(\widetilde{S}'_{\mathfrak{p}'})^{\widetilde{\theta}'_{\mathfrak{p}'}}$ consists of two elliptic curves and a (-2)-curve. By Proposition 2.1, this is impossible. Hence $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(2,1)$. (Even though $\mathbb{U}\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$ is one of the exceptional lattices in the sense of [46], the proof of [46, Th. 2.3 (2)] remains valid. The fact that $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(2,1)$ also follows from [46, Th. 2.3 (2)].)

(Step 2) Since the elliptic curve T is fixed, there exists by Theorem 3.10 a constant C such that

$$\log\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)})=-4\log\tau_M(S'_t,\theta'_t)+C\qquad(\forall\, t\in U'\setminus\{\mathfrak{p}'\}).\tag{3.30}$$

Since $p'|_{U'}\colon (\mathcal{S}'|_{U'}, \theta') \to U'$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces, we get by [43, Th. 6.5]

$$\log \tau_M(S'_t, \theta'_t) = -\frac{1}{8}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.31}$$

By (3.30), (3.31), we get

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) = \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.32}$$

Since $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(2,1)$, it follows from (3.32) and Theorem 3.12 that

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t,\theta_t,T)}) &= \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right)\end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.14. *Let T be an elliptic curve. If $\theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}$ is of type $(0,3)$, then*

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t,\theta_t,T)}) = \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0).$$

Proof. Set $M := \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(2)$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$ is irreducible by e.g. [46, Prop. 11.6].

(Step 1) By [43, Th. 2.8], there exist a smooth projective threefold $\mathcal{S}'$ equipped with a holomorphic involution $\theta'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to \mathcal{S}'$, a pointed compact Riemann surface $(B', \mathfrak{p}')$ equipped with a neighborhood U' of $\mathfrak{p}'$, and a surjective holomorphic map $p'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to B'$ with the following properties:

(1) θ' preserves the fibers of p'.
(2) $p'\colon (\mathcal{S}'_{U'}, \theta'|_{\mathcal{S}'_{U'}}) \to U'$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M.

Let Δ' be the discriminant locus of $p'\colon \mathcal{S}' \to B'$. Since $M = \mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(2)$, $\theta'_{b'}$ has no fixed points on $S'_{b'}$ for all $b' \in B' \setminus \Delta'$ by Proposition 2.1. Hence $(\mathcal{S}')^{\theta'}$ has no horizontal components, which implies that $\theta'_{U'} := \theta'|_{\mathcal{S}'_{U'}}$ is of type $(0,3)$. (Since the lattice $\mathbb{U}(2) \oplus \mathbb{E}_8(2)$ is exceptional, [46, Th. 2.3 (2)] does not apply in this case.)

(Step 2) Let t be a local parameter of B' centered at $\mathfrak{p}'$ and set $S'_t := (p')^{-1}(t)$ and $\theta'_t := \theta'|_{S'_t}$. Since the elliptic curve T is fixed, there exist by Theorem 3.10 a constant C such that

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) = -4\log \tau_M(S'_t, \theta'_t) + C \qquad (\forall\, t \in U' \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}'\}). \tag{3.33}$$

Since $p'|_{U'}\colon (\mathcal{S}'|_{U'}, \theta') \to U'$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces, we get by [43, Th. 6.5]

$$\log \tau_M(S'_t, \theta'_t) = -\frac{1}{8}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.34}$$

By (3.33), (3.34), we get

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) = \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.35}$$

Since $\theta'_{U'}$ is of type $(0,3)$, it follows from (3.35) and Theorem 3.12 that

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t,\theta_t,T)}) &= \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S'_t,\theta'_t,T)}) + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\log|t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log|t|)\right)\end{aligned}$$

as $t \to 0$. This completes the proof. □

3.3.5. *The singularity of BCOV invariants near the discriminant locus.*

Theorem 3.15. *Let M be a primitive 2-elementary Lorentzian sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{K3}$. Let $C \subset \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ be a compact Riemann surface intersecting $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$ transversally at sufficiently general point $\mathfrak{p} \in C \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$. Let $\gamma\colon (\mathbf{C}, 0) \to (C, \mathfrak{p})$ be an isomorphism of germs. Let $c \in \mathbf{X}(1)$. Write $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\gamma(t), c)$ for the BCOV invariant of the Borcea-Voisin threefold associated with the 2-elementary $K3$ surface with period $\gamma(z)$ and the elliptic curve with period c. Then the following holds*

$$\log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\gamma(t), c) = \frac{1}{2} \log |t|^2 + O\left(\log(-\log |t|)\right) \qquad (t \to 0). \tag{3.36}$$

Proof. If (3.36) holds for one isomorphism $\varpi\colon (\mathbf{C}, 0) \to (C, \mathfrak{p})$, then it holds for every isomorphism of germs $\gamma\colon (\mathbf{C}, 0) \to (C, \mathfrak{p})$. Hence it suffices to prove (3.36) for one particular isomorphism $\varpi\colon (\mathbf{C}, 0) \to (C, \mathfrak{p})$.

By [43, Th. 2.8], there is a family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces $p\colon (\mathcal{S}, \theta) \to B$ of type M (with degenerate fibers) over a pointed compact Riemann surface $(B, \mathfrak{q})$ with the following properties:

(1) Let $\Delta \subset B$ be the discriminant locus of $p\colon \mathcal{S} \to B$ and let $\varpi\colon B \setminus \Delta \ni b \to \pi_M(S_b, \theta_b) \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^0$ be the period map for $p\colon (\mathcal{S}, \theta) \to B$, where $S_b := p^{-1}(b)$ and $\theta_b := \theta|_{S_b}$. Then ϖ extends to a surjective holomorphic map from B to C with $\mathfrak{p} = \varpi(\mathfrak{q})$ such that ϖ is non-degenerate at $\mathfrak{q}$. In particular, $\varpi\colon B \to \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ intersects $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda$ transversally at $\mathfrak{p} = \varpi(\mathfrak{q})$.
(2) There is a neighborhood U of $\mathfrak{q}$ in B such that $p\colon (p^{-1}(U), \theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}) \to U$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces of type M.

Let T be an elliptic curve with period c. Let (U, t) be a coordinate neighborhood of $\mathfrak{q}$ in B. By choosing U sufficiently small, it follows from (1) that ϖ induces an isomorphism of germs $(U, \mathfrak{q})$ and $(C, \mathfrak{p})$. By construction,

$$\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\varpi(t), c) = \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{X}_{(S_t, \theta_t, T)}). \tag{3.37}$$

Since $p\colon (p^{-1}(U), \theta|_{p^{-1}(U)}) \to U$ is an ordinary singular family of 2-elementary $K3$ surfaces by (2), the result for the isomorphism of germs $\varpi\colon (U, \mathfrak{q}) \to (C, \mathfrak{p})$ follows from (3.37) and Theorems 3.13 and 3.14. □

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.** By Theorems 3.5 and 3.15, we have the following equation of currents on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$

$$-dd^c \log \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}} = (r(M) - 6)\mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega_\Lambda + 4\mathrm{pr}_1^* J_M^* \omega_{\mathcal{A}_{g(M)}} + 12\mathrm{pr}_2^* \omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)}.$$

Since $\log(\tau_M^{-4} \|\eta^{24}\|^2)$ satisfies the same equation of currents on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$ by [43, Th. 6.5], we get the following equation of currents on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$

$$-dd^c \log[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}/(\tau_M^{-4} \|\eta^{24}\|^2)] = 0.$$

Hence $F^\Lambda = \log[\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}/(\tau_M^{-4} \|\eta^{24}\|^2)]$ is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. By Lemma 3.8, F^Λ verifies the assumption of Lemma 3.9.

If $r \leq 17$, then F^Λ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$ by Lemma 3.9 (1). The assertion is proved when $r \leq 17$. Let $r \geq 18$. Then $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda = \mathcal{M}_\Lambda^* \setminus \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is an irreducible divisor of $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda^*$ by [46, Prop. 11.7]. By Lemma 3.7, F^Λ is a pluriharmonic function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$ with at most logarithmic singularity along $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. By Lemma 3.9 (2), F^Λ is a constant function on $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \times \mathbf{X}(1)$. This proves the assertion when $r \geq 18$. This completes the proof. □

4. Orbifold submersions and characteristic forms

In this section, we study a local model of holomorphic orbifold submersions (cf. [24, Def. 1.7]) of relative dimension 3 and give explicit expressions of some orbifold characteristic forms associated to it (Theorem 4.20). This result shall play crucial roles in Sections 5, 6, 7. In what follows, for a group G, we set

$$G^* := G \setminus \{1\}$$

4.1. **Characteristic forms.** For a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle (E, h) over a complex manifold Z, we denote by $R(E,h) \in A^{1,1}(Z, \mathrm{End}(E))$ the curvature form of (E,h) with respect to the holomorphic Hermitian connection. The p-th Chern form of (E,h) is denoted by $c_p(E,h) \in A^{p,p}(Z)$. The Todd and Chern character forms of (E,h) are the differential forms on Z defined as

$$\mathrm{Td}(E,h) := \det\left(\frac{\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E,h)}{I_E - \exp(-\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E,h))}\right), \quad \mathrm{ch}(E,h) := \mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E,h)\right)\right].$$

4.1.1. *Equivariant characteristic forms.* Let $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(Z)$ be a finite group of automorphisms of Z. Assume that (E,h) is a G-equivariant holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle. For $g \in G$, let Z^g be the set of its fixed points:

$$Z^g := \{z \in Z;\, g(z) = z\}.$$

If $\mathrm{ord}(g) = n$, we have the splitting of holomorphic vector bundles on Z^g

$$E|_{Z^g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} E(\theta_\alpha), \qquad \theta_\alpha \in \{0, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \ldots, \frac{2\pi(n-1)}{n}\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $E(\theta) := \{v \in E;\, g(v) = e^{i\theta}\, v\}$ is the eigenbundle of $E|_{Z^g}$. The splitting (4.1) is orthogonal with respect to the Hermitian metric h. We set $h_{E(\theta)} := h|_{E(\theta)}$.

Define the equivariant Todd and Chern character forms of (E,h) as

$$\mathrm{Td}_g(E,h) := \mathrm{Td}(E(0), h_{E(0)}) \prod_{\theta_\alpha \neq 0} \frac{\mathrm{Td}}{\mathrm{e}}\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E(\theta_\alpha), h_{E(\theta_\alpha)}) + i\theta_\alpha\right),$$

$$\mathrm{ch}_g(E,h) := \mathrm{Tr}\left[g \cdot \exp\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E,h)\right)\right] = \sum_{\alpha} e^{i\theta_\alpha}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\exp\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}R(E(\theta_\alpha), h_{E(\theta_\alpha)})\right)\right],$$

where $(\mathrm{Td}/\mathrm{e})(A + i\theta) := \det[I_n/(I_n - e^{-i\theta}\exp(-A))]$ for an (n,n)-matrix A. By definition, $\mathrm{Td}_g(E,h)$ and $\mathrm{ch}_g(E,h)$ are differential forms in $\bigoplus_{p\geq 0} A^{p,p}(Z^g)$.

4.1.2. *Orbifold characteristic forms.* We consider a local model of abelian orbifold. Assume that G *is abelian*. For $z \in Z$, let G_z be the stabilizer of z in G. Set $\overline{Z} := Z/G$. To define its inertia orbifold $\Sigma\overline{Z}$ (cf. [18, Sect. 1], [24, Sect. 1.1]), set

$$\Sigma Z := \{(z,g) \in Z \times G^*;\, z \in Z^g\} = \amalg_{g\in G^*} Z^g \times \{g\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Since Z^g is a disjoint union of manifolds of various dimensions, so is ΣZ. On ΣZ, G acts by $h \cdot (z,g) := (h\cdot z, hgh^{-1})$ for $(z,g) \in \Sigma Z$, $h \in G$. Since G is abelian, the G-action on ΣZ preserves every $Z^g = Z^g \times \{g\}$. We define the orbifold $\Sigma\overline{Z}$ as

$$\Sigma\overline{Z} := (\Sigma Z)/G = (\amalg_{g\in G^*} Z^g \times \{g\})/G. \tag{4.3}$$

Define the map $\nu\colon \Sigma Z \to Z$ by $\nu(z,g) = z$. Then $\nu|_{Z^g}$ is the inclusion $Z^g \hookrightarrow Z$. By the G-equivariance of ν, it descends to a map $\overline{\nu}\colon \Sigma\overline{Z} \to \overline{Z}$. Since $\Sigma Z = \{(z,g) \in$

$Z\times G^*;\ g\in G_z\}$, we have $\nu^{-1}(z)=\{(z,g);\ g\in G_z^*\}$. Let U_z be a small G_z-invariant neighborhood of $z\in\nu(\Sigma Z)$ in Z and set $\overline{U}_z:=U_z/G_z$. Then

$$\nu^{-1}(U_z)=\amalg_{g\in G_z^*}U_z^g\times\{g\},\qquad \overline{\nu}^{-1}(\overline{U}_z)=\amalg_{g\in G_z^*}(U_z^g/G_z)\times\{g\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\pi\colon Z\to\overline{Z}$ and $\pi^\Sigma\colon \Sigma Z\to\Sigma\overline{Z}$ be the projections. For a G-invariant differential form ω on Z, $\pi_*\omega$ is the differential form on $\overline{Z}$ in the sense of orbifolds such that $\pi^*(\pi_*\omega)=|G|\,\omega$. Similarly, the map of differential forms π_*^Σ is defined. By definition, $\int_{\overline{Z}}\pi_*\omega=\int_Z\omega$ and $\int_{\Sigma\overline{Z}}\pi_*^\Sigma\omega'=\int_{\Sigma Z}\omega'$ for G-invariant differential forms with compact support $\omega\in A_0^*(Z)$ and $\omega'\in A_0^*(\Sigma Z)$.

Let $(\overline{E},\overline{h})$ be the Hermitian orbifold vector bundle on $\overline{Z}$ associated to (E,h). Let $\phi(\cdot)$ be a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{C}^r)$-invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbf{C}^r)$, $r=\operatorname{rk}E$. By the G-equivariance of (E,h), the characteristic forms $\phi(E,h)$ and $\sum_{g\in G^*}\phi_g(E,h)$ are G-invariant differential forms on Z and ΣZ, respectively. We define

$$\phi^\Sigma(\overline{E},\overline{h}):=\frac{1}{|G|}\pi_*\phi(E,h)+\frac{1}{|G|}(\pi^\Sigma)_*\{\sum_{g\in G^*}\phi_g(E,h)\}\in\bigoplus_{p\geq 0}A^{p,p}(\overline{Z}\amalg\Sigma\overline{Z}). \tag{4.5}$$

Set $\pi_g:=\pi$ for $g=1$ and $\pi_g:=\pi^\Sigma|_{Z^g\times\{g\}}$ for $g\in G^*$. Then

$$\phi^\Sigma(\overline{E},\overline{h})=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}(\pi_g)_*\phi_g(E,h). \tag{4.6}$$

For more about orbifolds and characteristic forms on orbifolds, see e.g. [18], [24].

4.2. **Group action on threefold.** Let X be a smooth threefold and let $G\subset\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be a finite *abelian* subgroup. Assume that for all $g\in G$ and $x\in X^g$,

$$g_*\in\mathrm{SL}(T_xX)\cong\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3). \tag{4.7}$$

If 1 is an eigenvalue of $g_*\in\mathrm{SL}(T_xX)$ and $g\neq 1$, its possible multiplicity is one by (4.7). Hence X^g consists of at most finitely many, disjoint curves and isolated points. Let $X^{g,(k)}$ be the union of all components of X^g of dimension k. Then

$$\Sigma X=\Sigma^{(0)}X\amalg\Sigma^{(1)}X,\qquad \Sigma^{(k)}X:=\amalg_{g\in G^*}X^{g,(k)}.$$

We denoted by

$$\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X)=\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}C_\lambda$$

the irreducible decomposition. Then $C_\lambda\subset M^g$ for some $g\in G^*$. In Section 4.2, we study the local structure of $\nu(\Sigma X)$ in X.

By (4.7), we have an inclusion $G_x\subset\mathrm{SL}(T_xX)\cong\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ and hence an isomorphism of germs with group action

$$(X,x,G_x)\cong(\mathbf{C}^3,0,\Gamma), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ is a finite abelian subgroup by our assumption. Since Γ is abelian, there exist characters $\chi_k\in\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbf{C}^*)$, $k=1,2,3$, such that

$$\Gamma=\{\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g),\chi_2(g),\chi_3(g))\in\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3);\ g\in\Gamma\},\qquad \chi_1\chi_2\chi_3=1. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $(\mathfrak{C}_k,0)\subset(\mathbf{C}^3,0)$ be the germ of the x_k-axis of $(\mathbf{C}^3,0)$. By (4.9), if $x\in C_\lambda$, $\lambda\in\Lambda$, the germ (C_λ,x) must be of the form $(\mathfrak{C}_k,0)$ in the expression (4.8). Moreover,

$$(\mathfrak{C}_k,0)=(C_\lambda,x)\text{ for some }\lambda\in\Lambda\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad\ker\chi_k\neq\{1\}. \tag{4.10}$$

By (4.10), the number of the components of the germ $(\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X), x)$ is at most 3 for all $x \in X$. For a given number $1 \leq n \leq 3$, there exists $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ such that the corresponding $(\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X), x)$ consists of exactly n components.

Example 4.1. Let $\Gamma = \langle \mathrm{diag}(i, i, -1) \rangle$. Then $(\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X), x) = \mathfrak{C}_3$ and $x \in \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}X) \cap \nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X)$ is a smooth point of $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X)$.

Example 4.2. Let $\Gamma = \langle \mathrm{diag}(-\omega, -1, \omega^2) \rangle$, where ω is a primitive cube root of 1. Then $(\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X), x) = \mathfrak{C}_2 \cup \mathfrak{C}_3$ and $x \in \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}X) \cap \nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X)$.

Example 4.3. Let $\Gamma = \langle \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1), \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, -1), \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1) \rangle$. Then $(\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X), x) = \mathfrak{C}_1 \cup \mathfrak{C}_2 \cup \mathfrak{C}_3$ and $x \in \nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X) \setminus \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}X)$.

For later uses, we introduce $\Gamma^0 \subset \Gamma$ and $\delta_k(\Gamma) \in \mathbf{Q}$ $(k = 1, 2, 3)$ as follows.

Definition 4.4. For a subgroup Γ of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$, define

$$\Gamma^0 := \{g \in \Gamma^*;\ \det(g - 1_{\mathbf{C}^3}) \neq 0\}. \tag{4.11}$$

Definition 4.5. Let Γ be a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ expressed as in (4.9). If $\Gamma^0 = \emptyset$, set $\delta_1(\Gamma) = \delta_2(\Gamma) = \delta_3(\Gamma) = 0$. If $\Gamma^0 \neq \emptyset$, set

$$\delta_k(\Gamma) := \sum_{g \in \Gamma^0} \frac{\chi_k(g)}{(1 - \chi_k(g))^2} \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3). \tag{4.12}$$

For a finite abelian subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$, set $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*} := \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma^*} (\mathbf{C}^3)^{\gamma}$. To understand the structure of ΣC_λ in Section 4.5 below, we need the following:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ be a finite abelian subgroup expressed as in* (4.9)*. If $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*} = \{0\}$ and $\Gamma^0 = \emptyset$, then*

$$\Gamma = \{1,\ \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1),\ \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, -1),\ \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)\}.$$

Proof. Since $\Gamma^0 = \emptyset$, we get $\Gamma = \ker \chi_1 \cup \ker \chi_2 \cup \ker \chi_3$. Thus we have $\Gamma^* = (\ker \chi_1)^* \cup (\ker \chi_2)^* \cup (\ker \chi_3)^*$. Since $\Gamma^* \neq (\ker \chi_k)^*$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ by the condition $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*} = \{0\}$, we get $(\ker \chi_l)^* \cup (\ker \chi_m)^* \neq \emptyset$ for any $l \neq m$. This implies $(\ker \chi_{l'})^* \neq \emptyset$ and $(\ker \chi_{m'})^* \neq \emptyset$ for some $l' \neq m'$. We may assume $(\ker \chi_1)^* \neq \emptyset$, $(\ker \chi_2)^* \neq \emptyset$. Take arbitrary elements $g_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}) \in (\ker \chi_1)^*$ and $g_2 = \mathrm{diag}(\xi, 1, \xi^{-1}) \in (\ker \chi_2)^*$, where $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbf{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Since $g_1 g_2 = \mathrm{diag}(\xi, \zeta, \zeta^{-1}\xi^{-1}) \notin \ker \chi_1 \cup \ker \chi_2$, we get $\xi = \zeta^{-1}$. Since $g_1 g_2^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta, \zeta, \zeta^{-2}) \notin \ker \chi_1 \cup \ker \chi_2$, we get $\zeta = -1$. Since g_1, g_2 are arbitrary, we get $(\ker \chi_1)^* = \{\mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)\}$ and $(\ker \chi_2)^* = \{\mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, -1)\}$. Since $g_1 g_2 \in (\ker \chi_3)^*$, we get $(\ker \chi_3)^* \neq \emptyset$. By the same argument, we get $(\ker \chi_3)^* = \{\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1)\}$. Since $\Gamma^* = (\ker \chi_1)^* \cup (\ker \chi_2)^* \cup (\ker \chi_3)^*$, we get the result. □

After Lemma 4.6, we set

$$\mathbb{G} := \{1,\ \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1),\ \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, -1),\ \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1)\} \cong (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}.$$

In Section 4.5.1, we shall show that $G_x = \mathbb{G}$ iff $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Sigma C_\lambda \setminus \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}X)$. Set

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}X := \Sigma^{(0)}X \amalg \{(x, g) \in \Sigma X;\ G_x = \mathbb{G}\}. \tag{4.13}$$

For $x \in X$, we set $X^{G_x^*} := \bigcap_{g \in G_x^*} X^g$. Since $\Gamma^0 \neq \emptyset$ implies $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*} = \{0\}$ and since the set germ $(X^{G_x^*}, x)$ is either the point $\{x\}$ or the union of some coordinate axes $\mathfrak{C}_k$ by (4.8), (4.9) when $G_x^* \neq \emptyset$, we deduce from (4.13) and Lemma 4.6 that

$$\nu(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}X) = \{x \in X;\ \dim_x X^{G_x^*} = 0\} \tag{4.14}$$

under the convention $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$. We set

$$X^{(1)} := \amalg_{\lambda\in\Lambda} C_\lambda, \qquad X^{(0)} := \nu(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}X),$$

where we consider their reduced structure. Then $X^{(1)}$ is the normalization of $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X)$. By (4.10), $C_\lambda \cap C_{\lambda'} \subset X^{(0)}$ for any $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. We set $C_\lambda^0 := C_\lambda \setminus X^{(0)}$. Since $\dim_x X^{G_x^*} \leq 1$ by (4.7) and since $X^{G_x^*} \neq \emptyset$ iff $x \in \nu(\Sigma X)$, we get by (4.14)

$$\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X) \setminus \nu(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}X) = \amalg_{\lambda\in\Lambda} C_\lambda^0 = \{x \in X;\, \dim_x X^{G_x^*} = 1\}.$$

In particular, $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}X) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} C_\lambda = \overline{\{x \in X;\, \dim_x X^{G_x^*} = 1\}}$.

For C_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, set

$$G_{C_\lambda} := \{g \in G;\, g|_{C_\lambda} = \mathrm{id}_{C_\lambda}\}.$$

Since G_{C_λ} is identified with its image in $\mathrm{SL}(N_{C_\lambda/X})$, G_{C_λ} is isomorphic to a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^2)$. Hence G_{C_λ} is cyclic.

Lemma 4.7. *If $x \in C_\lambda^0$, then $G_x = G_{C_\lambda}$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \cong G_x$ be as in (4.8), (4.9). Since $\dim(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*} = 1$, $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*}$ is one of the coordinate axis, say $\mathfrak{C}_1$. Then $(X^{G_x^*}, x) \cong (\mathfrak{C}_1, 0)$ and $\chi_1 \equiv 1$, $\chi_3 = \chi_2^{-1}$, so that $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Im}\,\chi_2 = \{\mathrm{diag}(1, \zeta, \zeta^{-1});\, \zeta \in \mathrm{Im}\,\chi_2\}$. By this expression, $G_x = \langle g\rangle$ for some $g \in G$ and $g|_{C_\lambda} = \mathrm{id}_{C_\lambda}$, where $(C_\lambda, x) = (\mathfrak{C}_1, 0)$ via (4.8). Since $g \in G_{C_\lambda}$ and $G_x = \langle g\rangle$, we get $G_x \subset G_{C_\lambda}$. Since $x \in C_\lambda$, the inclusion $G_x \supset G_{C_\lambda}$ is trivial. □

By (4.4) and Lemma 4.7, the fiber of the natural projection $\Sigma^{(1)}X \to X^{(1)}$ over C_λ is given by $G^*_{C_\lambda}$.

4.3. **Equivariant submersions and characteristic forms.**

4.3.1. *Set up.* Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $B \cong \Delta^{\dim B}$ be complex manifolds and let

$$f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$$

be a holomorphic submersion such that $X_b := f^{-1}(b)$ is a connected *threefold*. We do not assume the properness of f. Hence both $\mathcal{X}$ and X_b can be non-compact.

Let G be a finite abelian group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ is G-equivariant with respect to the trivial G-action on B. Hence G preserves the fibers of $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$. The order of $g \in G$ is denoted by $n_g = \mathrm{ord}(g)$.

Set $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{X}/G$, which is equipped with the projection induced from f

$$\overline{f}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to B.$$

Then the fibers of $\overline{f}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to B$ are the orbifolds $Y_b := X_b/G$, $b \in B$ of dimension 3. When $\mathcal{X}$ is an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^{\dim B+3}$, $\overline{f}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to B$ is viewed as a local model of holomorphic submersion of orbifolds of relative dimension 3. As in Section 4.2, we assume that for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X_b^g$,

$$g_* \in \mathrm{SL}(T_x X_b) \cong \mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3). \tag{4.15}$$

4.3.2. *Some loci of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ and some subgroups of G.* Since $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ is a G-equivariant submersion, $\mathcal{X}^g$ is a complex submanifold of $\mathcal{X}$ flat over B. For a component $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{X}^g$, $f\colon \mathcal{Z} \to B$ is a holomorphic submersion with connected fiber. Let $\mathcal{X}^{g,(k)}$ be the union of all components of $\mathcal{X}^g$ of relative dimension k. Then

$$\Sigma\mathcal{X} = \Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{X} \amalg \Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X}, \qquad \Sigma^{(k)}\mathcal{X} = \amalg_{g\in G^*} \mathcal{X}^{g,(k)} \times \{g\}.$$

Since $\Sigma\mathcal{X}$ is a complex submanifold with G-action, we get the orbifolds

$$\Sigma\mathcal{Y} := \Sigma\mathcal{X}/G, \qquad \Sigma^{(k)}\mathcal{Y} = \Sigma^{(k)}\mathcal{X}/G$$

such that $\Sigma\mathcal{Y} = \Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{Y} \amalg \Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{Y}$. After (4.13), (4.14), we also introduce

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\mathcal{X} := \{(x,g) \in \mathcal{X} \times G^*;\, g \in G^*_x, \quad \dim_x X^{G^*_x}_{f(x)} = 0\}, \qquad \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\mathcal{Y} := \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\mathcal{X}/G.$$

Let $\{\mathcal{C}_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be the set of irreducible components of $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X})$. Hence $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_\lambda$. For $\mathcal{C}_\lambda \subset \nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X})$, set

$$G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} := \{g \in G;\, g|_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}\}, \qquad \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} := \{g \in G;\, g(\mathcal{C}_\lambda) = \mathcal{C}_\lambda\}$$

Then $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ are subgroups of G with $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$. Set

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} := \Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}/G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}.$$

Since $\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda)$, we define an orbifold of dimension $\dim B + 1$ by

$$\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda := \mathcal{C}_\lambda/\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}.$$

Since $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} \subset \mathrm{SL}(N_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/\mathcal{X}})$ and since $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ is abelian, $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ is a cyclic group. We set

$$n_\lambda := |G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|.$$

On the set Λ, G acts by $\mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda} := g \cdot \mathcal{C}_\lambda$. Let $\overline{\Lambda} := \Lambda/G$ be the G-orbits of the G-actions on Λ. Write $\bar{\lambda}$ for the G-orbit $G \cdot \lambda$. The preimage of $\bar{\lambda}$ in Λ consists of $|G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|$ distinct points. We have

$$\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \bigcup_{g\in G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}} \mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda} \cong \mathcal{C}_\lambda$ via the action of $g \in G$ and hence $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g\cdot\lambda} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda$ for all $g \in G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$, it makes sense to define $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} := \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda$. Since

$$\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X})/G = (\bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \bigcup_{g\in G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}} \mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda})/G = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \mathcal{C}_\lambda/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}},$$

we have $\overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{Y}) = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\overline{\Lambda}$ and the components of $\mathrm{Sing}\,\mathcal{Y}$ of dimension $\dim B + 1$, i.e., $\overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{Y})$. We define

$$\mathcal{X}^{(1)} := \amalg_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathcal{C}_\lambda, \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} := \amalg_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}$ are the normalizations of $\nu(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X})$ and $\overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{Y})$, respectively. In the same way as above, $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)} = \mathcal{X}^{(1)}/G$. Let $p\colon \Sigma^{(1)}\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}^{(1)}$ be the projection. By (4.4) and Lemma 4.7, $p^{-1}(x) = \{g \in G^*;\, \mathcal{C}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{X}^g\} = G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{C}_\lambda$.

Set

$$\mathcal{X}^{(0)} := \nu(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\mathcal{X}), \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{(0)} := \overline{\nu}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{X}^{(0)}/G.$$

For a component $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{X}^{(0)}$, let $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ be its image by the projection $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is identified with the G-orbit of $\mathfrak{p}$. Since B is contractible, $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$) is a section of $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ (resp. $\overline{f}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to B$) by the G-equivariance of f. We set

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{g \in G;\, \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^g\} = \{g \in G;\, g|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.$$

Then the preimage of $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathcal{X}^{(0)}$ consists of $|G/G_{\mathfrak{p}}|$ distinct points.

4.3.3. *Some vector bundles and their characteristic forms.* Let $g \in G$. Let $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ (resp. $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$) be the relative holomorphic tangent bundle of the family $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ (resp. $f\colon \mathcal{X}^g \to B$). Let $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ (resp. $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$) be the vector bundle of relative Kähler differentials of the family $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ (resp. $f\colon \mathcal{X}^g \to B$). Let $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}$) be the normal (resp. conormal) bundle of $\mathcal{X}^g$ in $\mathcal{X}$. We have

$$\mathrm{rk}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(k)}/B}) = \mathrm{rk}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(k)}/B}) = k, \qquad \mathrm{rk}(N_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(k)}/\mathcal{X}}) = \mathrm{rk}(N^*_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(k)}/\mathcal{X}}) = 3-k.$$

Since $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$ (resp. $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}$) is the (resp. union of) eigenbundle(s) of $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{\mathcal{X}^g}$ with respect to the g-action corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 (resp. $\neq 1$), we get

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{\mathcal{X}^g} = \Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B} \oplus N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}. \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, we have the splitting

$$\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{\mathcal{X}^g} = \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^g/B} \oplus N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}. \tag{4.17}$$

Lemma 4.8. *The eigenvalues of the g-action on $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}}$ are of the form*

$$\{\exp(2\pi ik/n_g), \exp(-2\pi ik/n_g)\}, \qquad (n_g, k) = 1,$$

where $k \in \{1, \ldots, n_g - 1\}$ may depend on the component of $\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}$.

Proof. For simplicity, write n for n_g. Set $\zeta_n := \exp(2\pi i/n)$. Since g has order n and $g \in \mathrm{SL}(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}})$, its eigenvalues are of the form $\{\zeta_n^k, \zeta_n^{-k}\}$, $0 \le k < n$. Assume $g^m = 1$ on $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}}$ for some $1 \le m < n$. Since $g^m = 1$ on $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}}$, we get $g^m = 1$ on $\mathcal{X}$, so that $m = nl$ for some $l \in \mathbf{Z}$. This contradicts the choice of m. Hence $g^m \neq 1$ on $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{X}^{g,(1)}}$ for any $1 \le m < n$, which implies $(n,k) = 1$. □

Let $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ be a G-invariant Hermitian metric on $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, which is fiberwise Kähler. The vector bundles $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$, $\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, $\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$, $N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}$, $N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}$ are equipped with the Hermitian metrics induced from $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, which are denoted by $h_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}$, $h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}}$, $h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}}$, $h_{N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}}$, $h_{N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}}$, respectively. Then the splittings (4.16), (4.17) are orthogonal with respect to the metrics $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ and $h_{\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/B}}$, respectively.

In what follows, we write $c_k(\mathcal{X}/B)$, $c_k(\mathcal{X}^g/B)$, $c_k(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})$, $c_k(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}^g/B})$, $c_k(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}})$, $c_k(N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}})$ for the k-th Chern forms of $(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})$, $(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}^g/B})$, $(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})$, $(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}^\iota/B}})$, $(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}, h_{N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}})$, $(N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}, h_{N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}})$, respectively. We have the following standard relations of Chern forms:

$$c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)|_{\mathcal{X}^g} = c_1(\mathcal{X}^g/B) + c_1(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}), \tag{4.18}$$

$$c_1(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/B}) = -c_1(\mathcal{X}/B), \qquad c_1(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}) = -c_1(\mathcal{X}^g/B), \tag{4.19}$$

$$c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}) = -c_1(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}), \qquad c_2(N^*_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}) = c_2(N_{\mathcal{X}^g/\mathcal{X}}). \tag{4.20}$$

Lemma 4.9. *The following equality of differential forms on $\mathcal{X}$ holds:*

$$[\mathrm{Td}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p \ge 0} (-1)^p p \,\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})]^{(8)} = -\frac{1}{12} c_1(\mathcal{X}/B) c_3(\mathcal{X}/B).$$

Proof. See [3, p.374]. □

4.3.4. *An equivariant characteristic form: case of relative dimension* 1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a component of $\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$. Let $g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then $n_g | n_{\mathcal{C}}$. By Lemma 4.8, there exists k_g with $(k_g, n_g) = 1$ such that the g-action on $N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}$ induces the splitting

$$N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}} = N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta) \oplus N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta), \qquad \theta = \frac{2k_g\pi}{n_g}.$$

We have the corresponding splitting

$$N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}} = N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta) \oplus N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta)$$

such that

$$N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta) = (N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta))^*, \qquad N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta) = (N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta))^*. \tag{4.21}$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{l}_1 := c_1(N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta), h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta)}), \qquad \mathfrak{l}_2 := c_1(N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta), h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta)}).$$

By (4.18), we get

$$\mathfrak{l}_1 + \mathfrak{l}_2 = c_1(N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}}) = c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)|_{\mathcal{C}} - c_1(\mathcal{C}/B). \tag{4.22}$$

By (4.21), we get

$$c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta), h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(\theta)}) = -\mathfrak{l}_2, \qquad c_1(N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta), h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}(-\theta)}) = -\mathfrak{l}_1. \tag{4.23}$$

Lemma 4.10. *For $g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}}$, set*

$$\zeta_g := e^{i\theta}.$$

Then the following equality of $(2,2)$-forms on $\mathcal{C}$ holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p \,\mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathcal{C}}]^{(2,2)} \\
&= \frac{\zeta_g}{(1-\zeta_g)^2} c_1(\mathcal{X}/B) c_1(\mathcal{C}/B) - \left\{ \frac{1}{12} + \frac{\zeta_g}{(1-\zeta_g)^2} \right\} c_1(\mathcal{C}/B)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition of equivariant Todd form and (4.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})|_{\mathcal{C}} &= \mathrm{Td}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}^g/B})|_{\mathcal{C}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\zeta_g^{-1}e^{-\mathfrak{l}_1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\zeta_g e^{-\mathfrak{l}_2}} \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{Td}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}^g/B})|_{\mathcal{C}}}{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_g(\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}}/\mathcal{X}})}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Here $h_{\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}}$ is the Hermitian metric on $\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}$ induced by $h_{N_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}}$. By (4.24) and Maillot-Rössler [25, Lemma 3.1], we get

(4.25)

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{q\geq 0} (-1)^q q \,\mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^q_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^q_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathcal{C}}]^{(2,2)} \\
&= \left[\mathrm{Td}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}^g/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}^g/B})|_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\sum_{q\geq 0}(-1)^q q \,\mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^q_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^q_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathcal{C}}}{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_g(\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{X}}})} \right]^{(2,2)} \\
&= -c_1(\mathcal{C}/B) \wedge [\zeta_L(\zeta_g, -1)\, e^{-\mathfrak{l}_2} + \zeta_L(\zeta_g^{-1}, -1)\, e^{-\mathfrak{l}_1} + \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(-1)\, e^{-c_1(\mathcal{C}/B)}]^{(1,1)} \\
&= c_1(\mathcal{C}/B) \wedge \left\{ \zeta_L(\zeta_g, -1)\mathfrak{l}_2 + \zeta_L(\zeta_g^{-1}, -1)\mathfrak{l}_1 + \zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(-1)\, c_1(\mathcal{C}/B) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_L(z,s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} z^n/n^s$ is the Lerch ζ-function and $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(s)$ is the Riemann ζ-function. Substituting $\zeta_L(\zeta_g, -1) = \zeta_L(\zeta_g^{-1}, -1) = \zeta_g/(1-\zeta_g)^2$ (cf. [25, p.744]) and $\zeta_{\mathbf{Q}}(-1) = -1/12$ into (4.25) and using (4.22), we get the result. □

4.3.5. *An equivariant characteristic form: case of relative dimension* 0. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$ be a component. Since $B \cong \Delta^{\dim B}$ is contractible,

$$\mathfrak{p}\colon B \ni b \to \mathfrak{p} \cap X_b \in X_b$$

is viewed as a section of the map $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$. As in (4.9), we can express

$$G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\mathrm{diag}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},1}(g), \chi_{\mathfrak{p},2}(g), \chi_{\mathfrak{p},3}(g));\, g \in G_{\mathfrak{p}}\}, \qquad \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbf{C}^*).$$

Then the normal bundle $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$ splits into the eigenbundles of the $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$-action

$$N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}} = N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},1}) \oplus N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},2}) \oplus N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},3}).$$

We get the corresponding splitting of the conormal bundle $N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$

$$N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}} = N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},1}) \oplus N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},2}) \oplus N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},3})$$

such that $N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},k}) = (N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}))^*$. For $k = 1, 2, 3$, set

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k} := c_1(N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}), h_{N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})}), \tag{4.26}$$

where $h_{N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})} := h_{N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}}|_{N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})}$. Then we have the following relations

$$c_1(N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},k}), h_{N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi^{-1}_{\mathfrak{p},k})}) = -\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k},$$

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{p},1} + \rho_{\mathfrak{p},2} + \rho_{\mathfrak{p},3} = c_1(N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}}) = c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)|_{\mathfrak{p}}. \tag{4.27}$$

Lemma 4.11. (1) *For $g \in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on $\mathfrak{p}$ holds:*

$$[\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathfrak{p}}]^{(1,1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}(g)}{(1-\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}(g))^2} \rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}.$$

(2) *For any component $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$, one has*

$$[\sum_{g\in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathfrak{p}}]^{(1,1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\, \rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}.$$

Proof. **(1)** Set $\zeta_k := \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}(g)$. By the definition of equivariant Todd form,

$$\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_{k=1}^{3} \frac{1}{1-\zeta_k^{-1} e^{-\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_g(\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}})}.$$

By [25, Lemma 3.1], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathfrak{p}}]^{(1,1)} \\
&= \left[\frac{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_g(\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}, h_{\Lambda^p N^*_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}})}\right]^{(1,1)} = -\sum_{k=1}^{3} \zeta_L(\zeta_k^{-1}, -1)\, e^{-\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\zeta_L(\zeta_k^{-1}, -1) = \zeta_k/(1-\zeta_k)^2$, we get the desired equality.

(2) When $G^0_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \emptyset$, the assertion follows from (1). When $G^0_{\mathfrak{p}} = \emptyset$, the assertion also holds because we defined $\delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ $(k = 1, 2, 3)$ in this case. □

4.4. **An orbifold characteristic forms on $\mathcal{Y} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$.** Let

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}, \qquad \pi_\lambda\colon \mathcal{C}_\lambda \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda), \qquad \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon \mathfrak{p} \to \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \quad (\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)})$$

be the projections. Let (E, h) be a G-equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ with G-invariant Hermitian metric. Then $\phi^{\Sigma}(\overline{E}, \overline{h})$ is a form on $\mathcal{Y} \amalg \Sigma\mathcal{Y}$. Let

$$\mathit{\Pi}\colon \mathcal{Y} \amalg \Sigma\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$$

be the projection. Then $\mathit{\Pi}_*\phi^{\Sigma}(\overline{E}, \overline{h}) \in A^*(\mathcal{Y} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(0)})$. Since

$$\mathcal{X}^g = (\amalg_{\{\lambda \in \Lambda;\, g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}\}} \mathcal{C}_\lambda) \amalg (\amalg_{\{\mathfrak{p} \subset \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{X});\, g \in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}\}} \{\mathfrak{p}\}) \tag{4.28}$$

for any $g \in G^*$, it follows from (4.6), (4.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathit{\Pi}_*\phi^{\Sigma}(\overline{E}, \overline{h}) = \frac{1}{|G|}\pi_*\phi(E, h) + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g \in G^*}\sum_{\{\lambda \in \Lambda;\, g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}\}} (\pi_\lambda)_*(\phi_g(E, h)|_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}) \\ + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g \in G^*}\sum_{\{\mathfrak{p} \subset \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{X});\, g \in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}\}} (\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\phi_g(E, h)|_{\mathfrak{p}}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $G^0_{\mathfrak{p}} = \emptyset$ when $G_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{G}$, we get $(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\phi_g(E, h)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ in this case and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathit{\Pi}_*\phi^{\Sigma}(\overline{E}, \overline{h}) = \frac{1}{|G|}\pi_*\phi(E, h) + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\sum_{g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}} (\pi_\lambda)_*(\phi_g(E, h)|_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}) \\ + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}}\sum_{g \in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\phi_g(E, h)|_{\mathfrak{p}}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

By (4.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p \geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\pi_*\{\mathrm{Td}(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})\sum_{p \geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\sum_{g \in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}} (\pi_\lambda)_*\{\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})\sum_{p \geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}}\sum_{g \in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*\{\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B})\sum_{p \geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})|_{\mathfrak{p}}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Since the projection $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}\colon \mathfrak{p} \to \overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism, we identify the $(1,1)$-form $\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}$ with $(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k})$. For $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we set

$$\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k} := (\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*(\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}).$$

Then $\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}$ is a smooth $(1,1)$-form on $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$. Here $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not viewed as an orbifold (of multiplicity $|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|$) but the complex manifold underlying it isomorphic to B. If $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \in \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$ lie on the same G-orbit, we have $\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k} = \overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}',k}$.

Definition 4.12. For a differential form ω on $\mathcal{Y} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$, $[\omega]^{2\dim \overline{f}_* + (p,p)}$ is the differential form on $\mathcal{Y} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(1)} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ defined as

$$[\omega]^{2\dim \overline{f}_* + (p,p)} := [\omega|_{\mathcal{Y}}]^{(p+3,p+3)} + \sum_{\bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda}} [\omega|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}}]^{(p+1,p+1)} + \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} [\omega|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}]^{(p,p)}.$$

Proposition 4.13. *The following equality of forms on $\mathcal{Y}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&[\Pi_*\{\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})\}]^{2\dim \overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\&=-\frac{1}{12}c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda/B)|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}}\\&\quad+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda/B)^2|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}}+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}{|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|}\,\rho_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Substituting the equalities in Lemmas 4.9, 4.10, 4.11 into the right hand side of (4.30), we get

(4.31)

$$\begin{aligned}&[\Pi_*\{\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})\}]^{2\dim \overline{f}_*+(1,1)}=\\&-\frac{1}{12|G|}\pi_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_3(\mathcal{X}/B)\}+\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\sum_{g\in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}\frac{\zeta_g}{(1-\zeta_g)^2}(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)\}\\&-\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\sum_{g\in G^*_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}\left\{\frac{1}{12}+\frac{\zeta_g}{(1-\zeta_g)^2}\right\})(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)^2\}+\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{X}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}{|G|}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*\rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall the following classical formula for the Dedekind sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{\zeta^k}{(1-\zeta^k)^2}=-\frac{n^2-1}{12},\qquad \zeta=\exp(2\pi i\,m/n),\quad (m,n)=1. \tag{4.32}$$

Since $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$ is a cyclic group of order n_λ, we get by (4.31), (4.32)

(4.33)

$$\begin{aligned}&[\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})]^{2\dim \overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\&=-\frac{1}{12|G|}\pi_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_3(\mathcal{X}/B)\}-\frac{1}{12|G|}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(n_\lambda^2-1)(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)\}\\&\quad+\frac{1}{12|G|}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\left(n_\lambda^2-n_\lambda\right)(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)^2\}+\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{X}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}{|G|}\overline{\rho}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}},k}.\end{aligned}$$

Some terms in the right hand side of (4.33) are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(n_\lambda^2-1)(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)\}\\&=\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda^2-1)\sum_{g\in G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_1(\mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda}/B)\}\\&=\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda^2-1)\cdot|G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|\cdot\deg\pi_\lambda\cdot c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\\&=|G|\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B),\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

(4.35)
$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(n_\lambda^2-n_\lambda)(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)^2\}=\sum_{\overline{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda^2-n_\lambda)\sum_{g\in G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\pi_\lambda)_*\{c_1(\mathcal{C}_{g\cdot\lambda}/B)^2\}$$
$$=\sum_{\overline{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda^2-n_\lambda)\cdot|G/\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|\cdot\deg\pi_\lambda\cdot c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B)^2=|G|\sum_{\overline{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B)^2,$$

(4.36)
$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{X}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\delta_k(G_\mathfrak{p})\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}=\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}|G/G_\mathfrak{p}|\sum_{k=1}^{3}\delta_k(G_\mathfrak{p})\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}=|G|\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\delta_k(G_\mathfrak{p})}{|G_\mathfrak{p}|}\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}$$

where we used $\deg\pi_\lambda=|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|=|\Gamma_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}/G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|$ to get (4.34), (4.35). Substituting the equality $\pi_*\{c_1(\mathcal{X}/B)c_3(\mathcal{X}/B)\}=|G|\cdot c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)$ and (4.34), (4.35), (4.36) into (4.33), we get the result. □

4.5. **An orbifold characteristic form on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}\amalg\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}$.** The relative tangent bundle $\Theta_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B}$ of the map $\overline{f}\colon\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}\to B$ is equipped with the Hermitian metric $h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B}$ induced from $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. As before, the Chern form $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B,h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B})$ is denoted by $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\overline{\lambda}}/B)$.

4.5.1. *The structure of $\Sigma\mathcal{C}_\lambda$.* Let $b\in B$. In Section 4.5.1, for the sake of simplicity, we write C_λ and X for $C_{b,\lambda}$ and X_b, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{q}\in C_\lambda$. Then $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}=G_{C_\lambda}\subset G_\mathfrak{q}$. Write $(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q}$ for the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda}$. By definition,

$$(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q}=(\Gamma_{C_\lambda}\cap G_\mathfrak{q})/G_{C_\lambda}. \tag{4.37}$$

By (4.9), there is a coordinate neighborhood $(U,(z_1,z_2,z_3))$ of X centered at $\mathfrak{q}$ such that $G_\mathfrak{q}=\{\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g),\chi_2(g),\chi_3(g));\,g\in G_\mathfrak{q}\}\subset\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$, where $\chi_i\in\mathrm{Hom}(G_\mathfrak{q},\mathbf{C}^*)$ and $\chi_1\chi_2\chi_3=1$. A system of coordinates with this property is said to be *normal*. Let $g_0\in G_{C_\lambda}$ be a generator. Since $G_{C_\lambda}\subset G_\mathfrak{q}$ and $\dim C_\lambda=1$, we have the following in the normal coordinates $(U,(z_1,z_2,z_3))$ centered at $\mathfrak{q}$:

(a) C_λ is given by the eigenspace of $\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g_0),\chi_2(g_0),\chi_3(g_0))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1.
(b) There exists $k_\lambda\in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $\chi_{k_\lambda}(g_0)=1$, $\chi_{l_\lambda}(g_0)\neq1$, $\chi_{m_\lambda}(g_0)\neq1$, where $\{k_\lambda,l_\lambda,m_\lambda\}=\{1,2,3\}$.
(c) The germ $(C_\lambda)_\mathfrak{q}$ is given by the coordinate axis $\mathfrak{C}_{k_\lambda}=\{z_{l_\lambda}=z_{m_\lambda}=0\}$.

Since every element of $G_\mathfrak{q}$ is of the form $\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g),\chi_2(g),\chi_3(g))$ and hence $G_\mathfrak{q}$ preserves all the coordinate axes of the normal coordinates, we get

$$G_\mathfrak{q}\subset\Gamma_{C_\lambda}. \tag{4.38}$$

By (4.37), (4.38), we get

$$(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q}=G_\mathfrak{q}/G_{C_\lambda}. \tag{4.39}$$

With respect to the $\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda}$-action on C_λ, we consider the set ΣC_λ as in Section 4.1.2. By definition, $\Sigma C_\lambda=\{(\mathfrak{q},g)\in C_\lambda\times(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})^*;\,g\in(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})^*_\mathfrak{q}\}$.

Proposition 4.14. *One has $\nu(\Sigma C_\lambda)=C_\lambda\cap X^{(0)}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q}\in\Sigma C_\lambda$. Let (z_1,z_2,z_3) be normal coordinates of X centered at $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfying (a), (b), (c). We may assume $k_\lambda=3$. By (a), (c), $g_0=\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g_0),\chi_1(g_0)^{-1},1)$ and $(C_\lambda)_\mathfrak{q}=\{z_1=z_2=0\}$. Since $(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q}\neq\{1\}$, we can take $g\in G_\mathfrak{q}\setminus G_{C_\lambda}$. If $\chi_3(g)=1$, then g acts trivially on $(C_\lambda)_\mathfrak{q}$, so that $g\in G_{C_\lambda}$. This contradicts the choice of g. Thus $\chi_3(g)\neq1$. Since $G_\mathfrak{q}$ contains $g=\mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g),\chi_2(g),\chi_3(g))$

and $g_0 = \mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g_0), \chi_1(g_0)^{-1}, 1) \in G^*_{C_\lambda}$ with $\chi_3(g) \neq 1$ and $\chi_1(g_0) \neq 1$, we get $X^{G^*_\mathfrak{q}} \subset X^g \cap X^{g_0} = \{\mathfrak{q}\}$ near $\mathfrak{q}$. Hence $X^{G^*_\mathfrak{q}} = \{\mathfrak{q}\}$. By (4.14), we get $\mathfrak{q} \in X^{(0)}$.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{q} \in C_\lambda \cap X^{(0)}$. Let (z_1, z_2, z_3) be normal coordinates of X centered at $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfying (a), (b), (c) and assume $k_\lambda = 3$. Hence C_λ is the x_3-axis. Since $g = \mathrm{diag}(\chi_1(g), \chi_2(g), \chi_3(g))$ for all $g \in G_\mathfrak{q}$, we have $G_{C_\lambda} = \ker \chi_3$. Then we get $(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathrm{Im}\, \chi_3$ by (4.39). If $\mathrm{Im}\, \chi_3 = \{1\}$, then $G_\mathfrak{q} = \ker \chi_3 = G_{C_\lambda}$, so that $X^{G^*_\mathfrak{q}} \supset C_\lambda$. By comparing (4.14), this contradicts $\mathfrak{q} \in X^{(0)}$. Thus $(\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda})_\mathfrak{q} \neq \{1\}$ and hence $\mathfrak{q} \in \Sigma C_\lambda$. □

Corollary 4.15. *Let $x \in X$. Then $G_x = \mathbb{G}$ iff $x \in \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \nu(\Sigma C_\lambda) \setminus \nu(\Sigma^{(0)} X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.14, $\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \nu(\Sigma C_\lambda) \setminus \nu(\Sigma^{(0)} X) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} C_\lambda \cap \nu(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)} X) \setminus \nu(\Sigma^{(0)} X) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \{x \in C_\lambda;\, G_x = \mathbb{G}\} = \{x \in X;\, G_x = \mathbb{G}\}$, because the condition $G_x = \mathbb{G}$ implies $x \in C_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. □

By (4.14) and Corollary 4.15, we have

$$\mathcal{X}^{(0)} = \nu(\Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{X}) \cup \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \nu(\Sigma\mathcal{C}_\lambda), \qquad \mathcal{Y}^{(0)} = \overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(0)}\mathcal{Y}) \cup \bigcup_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}} \nu(\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}).$$

4.5.2. *Eigenbundle of $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$ and the locus $\Sigma\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$.* Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$ be a component. Choosing B small, we identify a small tubular neighborhood of $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ with a neighborhood of the zero section of $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$. Let us fix a system of fiber coordinates of $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$, which is fiberwise normal. Hence $G_\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathrm{SL}(N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}})$ is expressed as

$$G_\mathfrak{p} = \{\mathrm{diag}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},1}(g), \chi_{\mathfrak{p},2}(g), \chi_{\mathfrak{p},3}(g));\, g \in G_\mathfrak{p}\}, \qquad \chi_{\mathfrak{p},1}\chi_{\mathfrak{p},2}\chi_{\mathfrak{p},3} = 1 \tag{4.40}$$

in this system of fiber coordinates, where $\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k} \in \mathrm{Hom}(G_\mathfrak{p}, \mathbf{C}^*)$. We set

$$n_{\mathfrak{p},k} := |\ker \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}|, \qquad \nu_{\mathfrak{p},k} := |\mathrm{Im}\, \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}|. \tag{4.41}$$

Let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},1}$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},2}$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},3}$ be the germs at $\mathfrak{p}$ of the coordinate axes in the normal coordinates. Since $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}$ is linear, we identify it with $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})$, the eigenbundle of $N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}$ corresponding to the character $\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}$. We set $G_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}} := \{g \in G;\, g|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\}$. By (4.40), we get

$$G_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}} = \ker \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}. \tag{4.42}$$

Since $T\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}/B = N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})$, we get by (4.26)

$$c_1(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k}/B) = c_1(N_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathcal{X}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k})) = \rho_{\mathfrak{p},k} \qquad (k = 1, 2, 3). \tag{4.43}$$

By (4.10), for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have the following:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(1)} \Longleftrightarrow n_{\mathfrak{p},k} > 1, \qquad \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k} \not\subset \mathcal{X}^{(1)} \Longleftrightarrow n_{\mathfrak{p},k} = 1. \tag{4.44}$$

Let us consider the case $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{C}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{X}^{(1)}$, where $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ is a component of $\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$. By (c) in Section 4.5.1, there exists $k_\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\lambda = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$ as germs at $\mathfrak{p}$. Then we have $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = G_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}}$ and hence $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = \ker \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$ by (4.42). If $\mathcal{C}_\lambda = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$ as germs at $\mathfrak{p}$, we write

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} := \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}, \qquad n_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} := n_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}, \qquad \nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} := \nu_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}.$$

Since $n_\lambda = |G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}| = |\ker \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}| = n_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$ in this case, we get by (4.43), (4.44) the following key identity: For any $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$, one has

$$\sum_{\{\lambda\in\Lambda;\, \mathcal{C}_\lambda \supset \mathfrak{p}\}} \frac{(n_\lambda - 1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2 - 1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}}\, c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)|_\mathfrak{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{(n_{\mathfrak{p},k} - 1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2 - 1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\, \rho_{\mathfrak{p},k}. \tag{4.45}$$

4.5.3. *The orbifold Todd form of the family* $\overline{f}\colon \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \to B$. As in Section 4.1.2, we set

$$\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} := \Sigma\mathcal{C}_\lambda/\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}, \qquad \mathcal{C}_\lambda^{(0)} := \nu(\Sigma\mathcal{C}_\lambda), \qquad \overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda^{(0)} := \overline{\nu}(\Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}).$$

By Proposition 4.14, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_\lambda^{(0)} = \mathcal{C}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{X}^{(0)}, \qquad \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)} = \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \cap \mathcal{Y}^{(0)}. \tag{4.46}$$

By (4.5), $\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B, h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B})$ is a form on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \amalg \Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. As in Section 4.4, let

$$\mathit{\Pi}\colon \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \amalg \Sigma\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \amalg \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)}$$

be the projection. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B, h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}) &= \frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}(\pi_\lambda)_*\mathrm{Td}(T\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B, h_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\sum_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{C}_\lambda^{(0)}}\sum_{g\in(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}}^*}(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*\mathrm{Td}_g(T\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B, h_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

We define the differential form $[\mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B, h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \amalg \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)}$ in the same way as in Definition 4.12.

Proposition 4.16. *The following equality of forms on* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}} \amalg \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)}$ *holds:*

$$[\mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B, h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)} = \frac{1}{12}c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)^2 + \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)}}\frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}}\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{C}_\lambda^{(0)}$ and express $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as in (4.40). As in Section 4.5.2, we have $\mathcal{C}_\lambda = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$ for some $k_\lambda \in \{1,2,3\}$ as germs at $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = G_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}}$ in this case, it follows from (4.39), (4.42) that the $(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}}$-action on the germ $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is given by the homomorphism $\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} = \chi_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}$. Hence we have

$$(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathrm{Im}\,\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} \subset \mathbf{C}^*, \qquad G_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda} = \ker\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}. \tag{4.48}$$

By the definition of equivariant Todd form and (4.32), (4.48), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g\in(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}}^*}\mathrm{Td}_g(T\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B, h_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B})|_{\mathfrak{p}} &= -\sum_{\zeta\in(\mathrm{Im}\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda})^*}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta-1)^2}\,c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= \frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1}{12}\,c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)|_{\mathfrak{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

where we used $|\mathrm{Im}\chi_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}| = |\mathrm{Im}\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k_\lambda}| = \nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}$. By (4.47) and (4.49), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B, h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{12|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}(\pi_\lambda)_*c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)^2 + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\subset\mathcal{C}_\lambda^{(0)}}\frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1}{12|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\,(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})_*c_1(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{12}c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)^2 + \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}^{(0)}}|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}/(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}}|\frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1}{12|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

Since $\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda} = |(\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_{\mathfrak{p}}|$, the result follows from (4.50). □

Proposition 4.17. *The following equality of forms on* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda} \amalg \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}_{\bar\lambda}$ *holds:*

$$\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,[\mathit{\Pi}_*\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B,h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B})]^{2\dim \overline{f}_*+(1,1)}$$
$$=\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)^2+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{(n_{\mathfrak{p},k}-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2-1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\,\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.16, the result follows from the following equality

$$\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{(0)}_{\bar\lambda}}\frac{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}}\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}=\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}\cap\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\frac{(n_\lambda-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}}\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$$
$$=\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}\supset\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\frac{(n_\lambda-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}^2-1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},\lambda}}\,c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}=\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{(n_{\mathfrak{p},k}-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2-1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\,\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k},$$

where the first equality follows from (4.46) and the third equality follows from (4.45). This completes the proof. □

4.6. **A number associated to a finite abelian subgroup of** $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$**.** Let $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ be a finite abelian subgroup and consider its expression (4.9). Recall that Γ^0 and $\delta_k(\Gamma)$ were introduced in Definitions 4.4 and 4.5. We define

$$\epsilon_k(\Gamma):=\frac{\delta_k(\Gamma)}{|\Gamma|}-\frac{(|\ker\chi_k|-1)(|\mathrm{Im}\,\chi_k|^2-1)}{12|\mathrm{Im}\,\chi_k|}\qquad(k=1,2,3).\tag{4.51}$$

Proposition 4.18. *If* $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*}=\{0\}$*, then*

$$\epsilon_1(\Gamma)=\epsilon_2(\Gamma)=\epsilon_3(\Gamma)=-\frac{1}{12|\Gamma|}\left\{|\Gamma|^2+2-\sum_{k=1}^{3}|\ker\chi_k|^2\right\}.\tag{4.52}$$

Proof. Assume $\Gamma^0\neq\emptyset$. For simplicity, we put $\nu_k=|\mathrm{Im}\,\chi_k|$ and $n_k=|\ker\chi_k|$.

(Step 1) Firstly, we prove $\ker\chi_k\cap\ker\chi_l=\{1\}$ for $k\neq l$. Let $g\in\ker\chi_k\cap\ker\chi_l$ $(k\neq l)$ and let $\{m\}=\{1,2,3\}\setminus\{k,l\}$. Since $\chi_m=\chi_k^{-1}\chi_l^{-1}$, we get $g\in\ker\chi_m$. Hence $g\in\ker\chi_1\cap\ker\chi_2\cap\ker\chi_3$. Since $\ker\chi_1\cap\ker\chi_2\cap\ker\chi_3=\{1\}$ by (4.9), we get $\ker\chi_k\cap\ker\chi_l=\{1\}$ for $k\neq l$. As a result, $(\ker\chi_k)^*\cap(\ker\chi_l)^*=\emptyset$ for $k\neq l$. Since $\Gamma^0=\Gamma\setminus(\ker\chi_1\cup\ker\chi_2\cup\ker\chi_3)$, we get

$$\Gamma^0=\Gamma^*\setminus\{(\ker\chi_1)^*\amalg(\ker\chi_2)^*\amalg(\ker\chi_3)^*\},$$

which yields the following equality for $k=1,2,3$

$$\delta_k(\Gamma)=\sum_{g\in\Gamma\setminus\ker\chi_k}\frac{\chi_k(g)}{(\chi_k(g)-1)^2}-\sum_{l\neq k}\sum_{g\in(\ker\chi_l)^*}\frac{\chi_k(g)}{(\chi_k(g)-1)^2}.\tag{4.53}$$

(Step 2) Since $\chi_k\colon\Gamma\to\mathbf{C}^*$ is a homomorphism, we get by (4.32)

$$\sum_{g\in\Gamma\setminus\ker\chi_k}\frac{\chi_k(g)}{(\chi_k(g)-1)^2}=|\ker\chi_k|\cdot\sum_{\zeta\in(\mathrm{Im}\,\chi_k)^*}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta-1)^2}=-\frac{1}{12}n_k(\nu_k^2-1).\tag{4.54}$$

Since $\ker\chi_k\cap\ker\chi_l=\{1\}$ for $l\neq k$, the homomorphism $\chi_k\colon\ker\chi_l\to\mathbf{C}^*$ is injective in this case. Hence, when $k\neq l$, $\chi_k(\ker\chi_l)\subset\mathbf{C}^*$ is a subgroup of order

n_l, which implies $\chi_k(\ker\chi_l)=\{\zeta\in\mathbf{C}^*;\,\zeta^{n_l}=1\}$. By (4.32), we get for $l\neq k$

(4.55)
$$\sum_{g\in(\ker\chi_l)^*}\frac{\chi_k(g)}{(\chi_k(g)-1)^2}=\sum_{\zeta\in\chi_k(\ker\chi_l)\setminus\{1\}}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta-1)^2}=\sum_{\zeta^{n_l}=1,\,\zeta\neq 1}\frac{\zeta}{(\zeta-1)^2}=-\frac{n_l^2-1}{12}.$$

Substituting (4.54) and (4.55) into (4.53), we get

$$\delta_k(\Gamma)=-\frac{1}{12}\{n_k(\nu_k^2-1)+2-\sum_{l\neq k}n_l^2\}. \tag{4.56}$$

By (4.51), (4.56) and $n_k\nu_k=|\Gamma|$, we get $\epsilon_k(\Gamma)=-(|\Gamma|^2+2-\sum_{k=1}^3 n_k^2)/12|\Gamma|$. This proves the result when $\Gamma^0\neq\emptyset$.

(Step 3) Let us prove the remaining case. We assume $\Gamma^0=\emptyset$. By Lemma 4.6, we can verify (4.52) in the case $\Gamma^0=\emptyset$ and $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*}=\{0\}$, where $\epsilon_1(\Gamma)=\epsilon_2(\Gamma)=\epsilon_3(\Gamma)=-1/8$. This completes the proof. □

Definition 4.19. For a finite abelian subgroup $\Gamma\subset\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ with $(\mathbf{C}^3)^{\Gamma^*}=\{0\}$, define

$$\epsilon(\Gamma):=-\frac{1}{12|\Gamma|}\left\{|\Gamma|^2+2-\sum_{k=1}^3|\ker\chi_k|^2\right\}.$$

4.7. **A formula for an orbifold characteristic form on $\mathcal{Y}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$.**

Theorem 4.20. *The following equality of forms on $\mathcal{Y}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}\amalg\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Pi_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^\Sigma(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\
&\quad-\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,[\Pi_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B,h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\
&=-\frac{1}{12}c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\,c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Propositions 4.13 and 4.17, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\Pi_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\mathcal{Y}/B})\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{ch}^\Sigma(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B},h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\
&\quad-\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,[\Pi_*\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B,h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B})]^{2\dim\overline{f}_*+(1,1)}\\
&=-\frac{1}{12}c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^3\left\{\frac{\delta_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}{|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|}-\frac{(n_{\mathfrak{p},k}-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2-1)}{12\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\right\}\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.57}$$

Substituting $\epsilon_k(G_{\mathfrak{p}})=\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})$ $(k=1,2,3)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^3\overline{\rho}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}},k}=c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ into (4.57), we get the result. □

5. Equivariant BCOV torsion and its curvature

5.1. **Equivariant analytic torsion.** Following Bismut [4], we recall equivariant analytic torsion. Let (X, g_X) be a compact Kähler manifold. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of (X, g_X). We write $\widehat{G}$ for the set of irreducible representations of G. The character of $W \in \widehat{G}$ is denoted by χ_W. Since the G-action on X is holomorphic, G acts on $A^{p,q}(X)$.

Let $\Box_{p,q} = (\bar\partial + \bar\partial^*)^2$ be the Laplacian of (X, g_X) acting on $A^{p,q}(X)$. Let $\sigma(\Box_{p,q})$ be the spectrum of $\Box_{p,q}$ and let $E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda)$ be the eigenspace of $\Box_{p,q}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(\Box_{p,q})$. Since G preserves g_X and hence G acts on $E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda)$, we get the orthogonal splitting

$$E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda) = \bigoplus_{W \in \widehat{G}} \mathrm{Hom}_G(W, E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda)) \otimes W.$$

Define the equivariant ζ-function of $\Box_{p,q}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{p,q;G}(s)(g) &:= \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\Box_{p,q}) \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \,\mathrm{Tr}\, \left[g|_{E(\Box_{p,q};\lambda)}\right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\Box_{p,q}) \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \sum_{W \in \widehat{G}} \chi_W(g) \dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(W, E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda)).\end{aligned}$$

It is classical that $\zeta_{p,q;G}(s)(g)$ converges absolutely on the half-plane $\Re s > \dim X$, extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$, and is holomorphic at $s = 0$. The *equivariant analytic torsion* [4] of (X, g_X, G) is the class function on G defined as

$$\tau_G(X, g_X)(g) := \exp\{-\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q q\, \zeta'_{0,q;G}(0)(g)\}$$

and the *equivariant BCOV torsion* of (X, g_X) is the class function on G defined as

$$T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(X, g_X)(g) := \exp\{-\sum_{p,q \geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} pq\, \zeta'_{p,q;G}(0)(g)\}.$$

Set

$$Y := X/G$$

and let $p\colon X \to Y$ be the projection. Let g_Y be the Kähler metric on Y in the sense of orbifolds induced from g_X. Let $A^{p,q}(Y)$ be the space of C^∞ (p,q)-forms on Y in the sense of orbifolds and let $\Box^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q} = (\bar\partial + \bar\partial^*)^2$ be the Laplacian of (Y, g_Y) acting on $A^{p,q}(Y)$. We can define the spectral zeta function $\zeta^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}(s)$ of $\Box^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}$ in the same way as above or as in Section 1.1. Then $\zeta^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}(s)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathbf{C}$ and is holomorphic at $s = 0$, so that the BCOV torsion $T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, g_Y)$ of (Y, g_Y) can be defined by the same formula as in Definition 1.2.

We set

$$A^{p,q}(X)^G := \{\varphi \in A^{p,q}(X);\, g^*\varphi = \varphi\, (\forall\, g \in G)\}$$

and $\Box^G_{p,q} := \Box_{p,q}|_{A^{p,q}(X)^G}$. Since $p^*\colon A^{p,q}(Y) \to A^{p,q}(X)^G$ is an isomorphism with $\Box^G_{p,q} \circ p^* = p^* \circ \Box^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}$, the two operators $\Box^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}$ and $\Box^G_{p,q}$ are isospectral. Hence

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{orb}}_{p,q}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\Box_{p,q}) \setminus \{0\}} \lambda^{-s} \dim E(\Box_{p,q}; \lambda)^G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \zeta_{p,q;G}(s)(g).$$

By this expression, we have

$$\log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, g_Y) = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(X, g_X)(g). \tag{5.1}$$

5.2. **A variational formula for equivariant BCOV torsion.** In the rest of this section, as an application of the curvature theorem of Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [5] for Quillen metrics and its equivariant extension by Ma [23], we shall derive the curvature formula for the equivariant BCOV torsion.

We keep the notation and assumption in Sections 4.3–4.7. In Section 5.2, we assume moreover that $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ is *locally-projective*. Hence X_b is a smooth projective threefold for all $b \in B$. Let $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ be a G-invariant Hermitian metric on $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, which is fiberwise Kähler. Let $h_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ be the Hermitian metric on $\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ induced by $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. Define $T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(\mathcal{X}/B)(g), T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) \in C^\infty(B)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(\mathcal{X}/B)(g)(b) &:= T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(X_b, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{X_b})(g), \\ \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B)(b) &:= \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y_b/G, h_{\mathcal{Y}/B}|_{Y_b}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(X_b, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{X_b})(g). \end{aligned}$$

By the G-equivariance of $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$, the direct image sheaf $R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ is a G-equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on B for all $p, q \geq 0$. For $W \in \widehat{G}$, set

$$(R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})_W := \mathrm{Hom}_G(W, R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \otimes W$$

and let

$$R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B} = \bigoplus_{W\in\widehat{G}} (R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})_W \tag{5.2}$$

be the isotypical decomposition of the vector bundle $R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. We set

$$(R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G := \{\varphi \in R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B};\, g\cdot\varphi = \varphi\, (\forall\, g \in G)\}.$$

The L^2-metrics on $R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, $(R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})_W$, $(R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G$ with respect to the metric $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ are denoted by h_{L^2}.

Proposition 5.1. *The following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on B holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &- dd^c \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) + \sum_{p,q\geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} p\, c_1((R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G, h_{L^2}) \\ &= [\overline{f}_*\{\mathrm{Td}^\Sigma(\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{\mathcal{Y}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}^\Sigma(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}})\}]^{(1,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the curvature formulae for Quillen metrics [5] and equivariant Quillen metrics [23] applied to the G-equivariant morphism $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ and the G-equivariant vector bundles $\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ $(p \geq 0)$, we get for all $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} &- dd^c \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV},G}(\mathcal{X}/B)(g) + \sum_{p,q\geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} p \sum_{W\in\widehat{G}} \frac{\chi_W(g)}{\mathrm{rk}\, W} c_1((R^q f_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})_W, h_{L^2}) \\ &= [f_*\{\mathrm{Td}_g(\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\mathcal{X}/B}) \sum_{p\geq 0} (-1)^p p\, \mathrm{ch}_g(\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}})\}]^{(1,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (5.1), (4.6) and the equality

$$\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{W\in\widehat{G}}\frac{\chi_W(g)}{\operatorname{rk} W}c_1((R^qf_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})_W,h_{L^2})=c_1((R^qf_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G,h_{L^2}),$$

yields the result. □

For a component $\mathcal{C}_\lambda\subset\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$, we set

$$C_{b,\lambda}:=\mathcal{C}_\lambda\cap X_b,\qquad \overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}:=C_{b,\lambda}/\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}.$$

Since X_b is a smooth projective threefold, $C_{b,\lambda}$ is a smooth projective curve and $\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}$ is a compact orbifold curve. We have the canonical isomorphism

$$H^0(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}},K_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}})\cong H^0(C_{b,\lambda},K_{C_{b,\lambda}})^{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}$$

via the projection $C_{b,\lambda}\to\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}$. Here $\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}$ is viewed as the smooth curve underlying the orbifold curve $\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}$. For $\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}$, we set

$$\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}:=\dim H^0(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}},K_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}})=\dim H^0(C_{b,\lambda},K_{C_{b,\lambda}})^{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}.$$

The Torelli map for the family $\overline{f}\colon\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}\to B$ is defined by

$$J_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}\colon B\ni b\to[\mathrm{Jac}(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}})]=[\mathrm{Jac}(C_{b,\lambda})^{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}]\in\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}},$$

where $[\mathrm{Jac}(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}})]$ denotes the isomorphism class of the Jacobian variety $\mathrm{Jac}(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}})$ of the smooth curve underlying $\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}$.

Let $h_{L^2}=h_{\overline{f}_*K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}}$ be the L^2-metric on $\overline{f}_*K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}$, which is independent of the choice of $h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}$, the Hermitian metric on $T\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B$ induced by $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. Let $\varphi_1^{(\bar{\lambda})},\dots,\varphi_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}^{(\bar{\lambda})}\in H^0(B,\overline{f}_*K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B})$ be a basis of $\overline{f}_*K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}$ as a free $\mathcal{O}_B$-module. For $b\in B$, set $\varphi_k^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b):=\varphi_k^{(\bar{\lambda})}|_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}}$. Then $\{\varphi_1^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b),\dots,\varphi_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b)\}$ is a basis of $H^0(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}},K_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}})$ such that

$$\left\|\varphi_1^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b)\wedge\cdots\wedge\varphi_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b)\right\|^2_{L^2}=\det\left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\int_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}}\varphi_k^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b)\wedge\overline{\varphi_l^{(\bar{\lambda})}(b)}\right)_{1\le k,l\le\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}.$$

By this expression and the definition of $\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}}$, we get

$$c_1(\overline{f}_*K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B},h_{L^2})=c_1((f_*K_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B})^{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}},h_{L^2})=J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}}.\tag{5.3}$$

Let $\tau_{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(g)$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)$ be the C^∞ function on B defined as

$$\tau_{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(g)(b):=\tau_{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(C_{b,\lambda},h_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{C_{b,\lambda}})(g)\qquad(g\in\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}),$$

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(b):=\mathrm{Vol}(C_{b,\lambda},h_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{C_{b,\lambda}})=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{C_{b,\lambda}}\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/B}|_{C_{b,\lambda}},$$

where $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ is the Kähler form associated with $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. Let $\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)$ be the C^∞ function on B defined as

$$\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)(b):=\tau(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}},h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}|_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}}),$$

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)(b):=\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}},h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B}|_{\overline{C}_{b,\bar{\lambda}}})=\frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(b).$$

Proposition 5.2. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* B *holds:*

$$-dd^c\log\{\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\}+J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B}\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar\lambda}}}=[\overline{f}_*\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B,h_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B})]^{(1,1)}.$$

Proof. Write R_λ for the set of irreducible representations of $\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$. Applying the curvature formula for the equivariant Quillen metrics [5],[23] to the $\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$-equivariant holomorphic submersion $f\colon\mathcal{C}_\lambda\to B$, we get for $g\in\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned}&-dd^c\log\tau_{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(g)+\sum_{W\in R_\lambda}\frac{\chi_W(g)}{\mathrm{rk}\,W}\,c_1((f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_W,h_{L^2})\\&+\sum_{W\in R_\lambda}\frac{\chi_W(g)}{\mathrm{rk}\,W}\,c_1((f_*K_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B})_W,h_{L^2})=f_*\mathrm{Td}_g(T\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B).\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

Since $|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|\log\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)=\sum_{g\in\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}\log\tau_{\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}(\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B)(g)$ by (5.1) and

$$\frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\sum_{W\in R_\lambda}\sum_{g\in\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}\frac{\chi_W(g)}{\mathrm{rk}\,W}\,c_1((f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda})_W,h_{L^2})=-dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B),$$

$$\frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}|}\sum_{W\in R_\lambda}\sum_{g\in\overline{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda}}\frac{\chi_W(g)}{\mathrm{rk}\,W}\,c_1((f_*K_{\mathcal{C}_\lambda/B})_W,h_{L^2})=J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B}\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar\lambda}}},$$

we get the result by (5.4), (4.6), (4.47). □

Theorem 5.3. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* B *holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&-dd^c\log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B)+\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,dd^c\log\left\{\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\right\}\\&=-\frac{1}{12}\overline{f}_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)\}-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\}\\&\quad+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\,(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})_*c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)-\sum_{p,q\geq0}(-1)^{p+q}p\,c_1((R^qf_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}'/B})^G,h_{L^2})\\&\quad+\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}(n_\lambda-1)\,J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B}\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar\lambda}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.20 and Propositions 5.1 and 5.2. □

6. BCOV invariants for global abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds

In this section, we introduce BCOV invariants for global Calabi-Yau orbifolds and calculate their curvatures.

6.1. **Global abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds.** Let X be a smooth projective threefold with trivial canonical line bundle. Let $G\subset\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ be a finite abelian group. We assume that

$$H^0(X,\Omega^p_X)^G=H^0(X,\Omega^p_X)\quad(p=0,3),\qquad H^0(X,\Omega^p_X)^G=0\quad(p=1,2)\tag{6.1}$$

and we set

$$Y:=X/G.$$

Since G preserves canonical forms on X by (6.1), X^g is the disjoint union of finitely many curves and finite points for any $g\in G^*$. We define $\Sigma^{(1)}X$, $\Sigma^{(0)}X$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}X$, $\Sigma^{(1)}Y$, $\Sigma^{(0)}Y$, $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(1)}Y$ and $X^{(1)}$, $X^{(0)}$, $Y^{(1)}$, $Y^{(0)}$ in the same way as before in

Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Let $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be the components of $X^{(1)}$. Then $X^{(1)} = \amalg_{\lambda\in\Lambda} C_\lambda$ and $C_\lambda \subset X^g$ for some $g \in G^*$. Similarly, $X^{(0)}$ is the set of those points $\mathfrak{p} \in X$ such that $\mathfrak{p}$ is isolated in $X^{G^*_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. As in Section 4, let $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda/G$ be the G-orbits of the G-action on Λ. Then $\overline{\Lambda}$ is identified with the set of one-dimensional irreducible components of $\operatorname{Sing} Y$.

For C_λ, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we can associate the finite subgroups $G_{C_\lambda}, \Gamma_{C_\lambda} \subset G$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(C_\lambda)$ in the same way as in Section 4.3.2. We set

$$\overline{C}_\lambda := C_\lambda/\overline{\Gamma}_{C_\lambda}.$$

Then $\overline{C}_\lambda \cong \overline{C}_{\lambda'}$ via the G-action if and only if $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}'$ in $\overline{\Lambda}$. We write $\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ for $\overline{C}_\lambda$. We have $\Sigma^{(1)}Y = \amalg_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y,\mathfrak{p}'}$ via the G-action if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{p}'$ lie on the same G-orbit. The point of Y corresponding to $\mathfrak{p}$ is denoted by $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Since G_{C_λ} is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^2)$, G_{C_λ} is a cyclic group of order

$$n_\lambda := |G_{C_\lambda}|.$$

By Proposition 4.18, $G_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in X^{(0)}$ is an abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}X)$ such that $\epsilon_1(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_2(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon_3(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})$. For any $g \in G^*$, we get the decomposition

$$X^g = (\amalg_{\{\lambda\in\Lambda;\, g\in G^*_{C_\lambda}\}} C_\lambda) \amalg (\amalg_{\{\mathfrak{p}\in X^{(0)};\, g\in G^0_{\mathfrak{p}}\}} \mathfrak{p}).$$

Let γ be a G-invariant Kähler form on X and let $\overline{\gamma}$ be the Kähler form on Y in the sense of orbifolds induced from γ. We define

$$\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y) := \int_Y c_3(Y,\overline{\gamma}) + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_\lambda^2 - 1}{n_\lambda} \int_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}} c_1(\overline{C}_\lambda, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}) - 12 \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}), \tag{6.2}$$

where $c_3(Y,\overline{\gamma})$ and $c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}})$ denote the corresponding Chern forms. Hence their pullbacks to X and C_λ are the Chern forms $c_3(X,\gamma)$ and $c_1(C_\lambda, \gamma|_{C_\lambda})$, respectively. Let $\chi(\cdot)$ denote the ordinary topological Euler characteristic. By (6.2), Definition 4.19 and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula, we easily get

$$|G|\,\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y) = \chi(X) + \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} (n_\lambda^2 - 1)\,\chi(C_\lambda) + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in X^{(0)}} \{(|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|^2 - 1) - \sum_{k=1}^{3} (n_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2 - 1)\}, \tag{6.3}$$

where we used the notation in Section 4.5.2, in particular (4.41).

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\widetilde{Y}$ be any crepant resolution of $Y = X/G$. Then*

$$\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y) = \chi(\widetilde{Y}).$$

Proof. By Roan [32], we have

$$|G|\,\chi(\widetilde{Y}) = \sum_{g,h\in G} \chi(X^g \cap X^h) = \chi(X) + \sum_{(g,h)\in(G\times G)^*} \chi(X^g \cap X^h). \tag{6.4}$$

Let $(g,h) \in (G\times G)^*$. Let $C \subset X$ be an irreducible curve. Since $C \subset X^g$ if and only if $g \in G_C$, we get

$$C \subset X^g \cap X^h \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (g,h) \in (G_C \times G_C)^*. \tag{6.5}$$

Similarly, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in X$, we have

$$\mathfrak{p} \in X^g \cap X^h \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (g,h) \in (G_{\mathfrak{p}} \times G_{\mathfrak{p}})^*. \tag{6.6}$$

For $\mathfrak{p} \in X$, let $\{C_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\}_{k\in K_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset X^{(1)}$, $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \{1,2,3\}$ be the set of fixed curves passing through $\mathfrak{p}$, where $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be empty. By (6.5), (6.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{p} \text{ is an isolated point of } X^g \cap X^h \\ \iff \quad & (g,h) \in (G_{\mathfrak{p}} \times G_{\mathfrak{p}})^* \setminus \bigcup_{k\in K_{\mathfrak{p}}} (G_{C_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}}} \times G_{C_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}}})^*, \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

in which case we have $\mathfrak{p} \in X^{(0)}$. By (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(g,h)\in(G\times G)^*} \chi(X^g \cap X^h) &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \#\{(g,h) \in (G_{C_\lambda} \times G_{C_\lambda})^*\} \cdot \chi(C_\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in X^{(0)}} \#\left\{ (g,h) \in (G_{\mathfrak{p}} \times G_{\mathfrak{p}})^* \setminus \bigcup_{k\in K_{\mathfrak{p}}} (G_{C_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}}} \times G_{C_{\lambda_{\mathfrak{p},k}}})^* \right\} \cdot \chi(\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} (n_\lambda^2 - 1)\,\chi(C_\lambda) + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in X^{(0)}} \{(|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|^2 - 1) - \sum_{k\in K_{\mathfrak{p}}} (n_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2 - 1)\} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} (n_\lambda^2 - 1)\,\chi(C_\lambda) + \sum_{\mathfrak{p}\in X^{(0)}} \{(|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|^2 - 1) - \sum_{k=1}^{3} (n_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2 - 1)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.44). Substituting (6.8) into (6.4) and comparing it with (6.3), we get the result. □

6.2. **BCOV invariants.** Let $\eta \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^3)$ be a nowhere vanishing canonical form on Y in the sense of orbifolds. We introduce

$$A(Y,\overline{\gamma}) := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{12} \int_Y \log\left(\frac{i\,\eta\wedge\overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} \right) c_3(Y,\overline{\gamma}) \right], \tag{6.9}$$

$$A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}) := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{12} \int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}} \log\left(\frac{i\,\eta\wedge\overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} \right) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}) \right], \tag{6.10}$$

$$A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}) := \left. \frac{i\,\eta\wedge\overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \right|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} \qquad (\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in Y^{(0)}). \tag{6.11}$$

For generic $y \in \overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}$, the germ (Y,y) is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{C},0) \times A_{\mu_{\bar\lambda}}$, where

$$\mu_{\bar\lambda} := n_\lambda - 1 = |G_{C_\lambda}^*|$$

and $A_\mu := (\mathbf{C}^2,0)/\langle \mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi i/(\mu+1)}, e^{-2\pi i/(\mu+1)})\rangle$ is the 2-dimensional A_μ-singularity.

Definition 6.2. For a Kähler form $\overline{\gamma}$ on Y in the sense of orbifolds, define the *orbifold BCOV invariant* of $(Y,\overline{\gamma})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}) := {} & T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})\,\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})^{-3+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}} \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\overline{\gamma}])^{-1} A(Y,\overline{\gamma}) \\ & \times \prod_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}} \left\{ \tau(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}) \mathrm{Vol}(\overline{C}_\lambda,\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_\lambda}) \right\}^{-\mu_\lambda} A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})^{\frac{n_\lambda^2-1}{n_\lambda}} \\ & \times \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}} A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}. \end{aligned}$$

When $G = \{1\}$, $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ coincides with the BCOV invariant of X. In this sense, the orbifold BCOV invariant is an extension of the ordinary BCOV invariant to global abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds. As in Remark 1.4, if $\overline{\gamma}$ is Ricci-flat, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma}) &= T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma}) \operatorname{Vol}(Y, \overline{\gamma})^{-3+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}} \operatorname{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z}), [\overline{\gamma}])^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\overline{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda}} \left\{ \tau(\overline{C}_{\overline{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\overline{\lambda}}}) \operatorname{Vol}(\overline{C}_{\overline{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\overline{\lambda}}}) \right\}^{-\mu_{\overline{\lambda}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

In the rest of this section, we shall derive a variational formula for $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ and prove its independence of the choice of Kähler form. Namely, $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ is an invariant of Y. In fact, the definition of $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ can be extended to arbitrary abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds. In Section 7, we shall prove that $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ is independent of the choice of $\overline{\gamma}$ even for general abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds. Hence $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ is an invariant of abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds.

6.3. **Set up.** Let $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a locally-projective smooth morphism from a complex manifold $\mathcal{X}$ to a complex manifold B such that $X_b = f^{-1}(b)$ is a connected threefold with trivial canonical bundle

$$K_{X_b} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_b}, \qquad \forall\, b \in B.$$

Let G be a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ such that the projection $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ is G-equivariant with respect to the trivial G-action on B. Hence G preserves the fibers of f. Moreover, we assume that

$$g^*|_{H^0(X_b, K_{X_b})} = 1, \qquad H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})^G = H^0(X_b, \Omega^2_{X_b})^G = \{0\} \tag{6.13}$$

for all $g \in G$ and $b \in B$. Then (4.15) is satisfied by the first condition of (6.13).

By the G-equivariance of the family $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$, the locally free sheaf $R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ is equipped with the G-action. By the second condition of (6.13) and the Hodge symmetry, we have the following vanishing for $p \neq q$ and $p + q \neq 3$

$$(R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

In what follows, we keep the notation in Sections 4.3, 4.5, 5.2. Hence we set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}/G$$

and the projection from $\mathcal{Y}$ to B induced from f is denoted by $\overline{f}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to B$. The relative holomorphic tangent bundle $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ is equipped with a G-invariant Hermitian metric $h_{\mathcal{X}/B}$, which is fiberwise Kähler. Its fiberwise Kähler form is denoted by $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/B}$. The corresponding Hermitian metric on $\Theta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ and its fiberwise Kähler form are denoted by $\overline{h}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ and $\overline{\gamma}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$, respectively. Let $\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ be the sheaf of relative holomorphic p-forms on $\mathcal{Y}$ in the sense of orbifolds [36, Def. 1.7]. If $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ denotes the projection, then we have the canonical identification $H^q(U, \Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}) = H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G$ for any open subset $U \subset \mathcal{Y}$ and for any $q \geq 0$ (cf. [36, Lemma 1.8]). As a result, we get the canonical identification of direct images for all $p, q \geq 0$:

$$R^q \overline{f}_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B} = (R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G. \tag{6.15}$$

Let $\mathfrak{b} \in B$ be an arbitrary point and set $X := X_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{f}\colon (\mathfrak{X}, X) \to (\mathrm{Def}(X), [X])$$

be the Kuranishi family of X. By [37], [38], $(\mathrm{Def}(X), [X])$ is smooth of dimension $h^1(\Theta_X) = h^{1,2}(X)$ and is identified with the set germ at $0 \in H^1(X, \Theta_X)$. Since G is finite, there is a G-invariant Hermitian metric on X. By making use of this G-invariant Hermitian metric in the construction of Kuranishi family [20, Chap. X], we see that the G-action on X lifts to holomorphic G-actions on $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathrm{Def}(X)$ so that $\mathfrak{f}\colon \mathfrak{X} \to \mathrm{Def}(X)$ is G-equivariant.

Let

$$\mathfrak{B} := \mathrm{Def}(X)^G = \{x \in \mathrm{Def}(X);\, g(x) = x \ (\forall\, g \in G)\}$$

be the set of fixed points of the G-action. We define the map of germs

$$\varpi\colon (B, \mathfrak{b}) \to (\mathfrak{B}, [X]), \qquad \varpi(b) := [X_b],$$

where $[X_b] \in \mathrm{Def}(X)$ is the point corresponding to the complex structure on X_b. Then the family of threefolds with G-action $f\colon (\mathcal{X}, G) \to B$ is induced from the Kuranishi family by the map ϖ.

6.4. **The first Chern form of the relative tangent bundle.** Let ω^G_{WP} be the positive $(1,1)$-form on $\mathfrak{B}$ induced from the Weil-Petersson form ω_{WP} (cf. Section 1.4.2), i.e.,

$$\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} := \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}|_{\mathfrak{B}}.$$

Let $\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} \in H^0(\mathrm{Def}(X), \mathfrak{f}_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})$ be a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section and set $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B} := \varpi^*(\eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})$. By its G-invariance, $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ descends to a nowhere vanishing relative canonical form $\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ in the sense of orbifolds. Since

$$\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B} = \eta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}|_{\mu(B)},$$

we deduce from (1.4) that

$$\varpi^* \omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} = -dd^c \log \|\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B}\|^2_{L^2} = c_1(f_* K_{\mathcal{X}/B}, h_{L^2}). \tag{6.16}$$

By [12, Eq. (4.2)] and (6.16), the following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on $\mathcal{X}$ holds:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{X}/B) = &-f^* \left\{ \varpi^* \omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/B) \right\} \\ &+ dd^c \log \left\{ \frac{i\, \eta_{\mathcal{X}/B} \wedge \overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{X}/B}}{\gamma^3_{\mathcal{X}/B}/3!} f^* \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{X}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{X}/B}\|^2_{L^2}} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

which yields the equation

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B) = &-\overline{f}^* \left\{ \varpi^* \omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B) \right\} \\ &+ dd^c \log \left\{ \frac{i\, \eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B} \wedge \overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}{\overline{\gamma}^3_{\mathcal{Y}/B}/3!} \overline{f}^* \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\|^2_{L^2}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

6.5. **The curvature of $R^q \overline{f}_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$: the case $p+q=3$.** Recall that the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\rho\colon \Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)} \to R^1 f_* \Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)} \otimes (f_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^\vee$$

was defined in Section 1.4.1. Since ρ is G-equivariant by (1.1), we get an isomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathfrak{B}$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\colon \Theta_{\mathfrak{B}} = (\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)}|_{\mathfrak{B}})^G &\cong (R^1 \mathfrak{f}_* \Theta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G \\ &= (R^1 f_* \Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G \otimes (f_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^\vee|_{\mathfrak{B}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.5), we get an isometry of holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles on $\mathfrak{B}$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\colon (\Theta_{\mathfrak{B}}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G) &\cong ((R^1 f_* \Theta_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, h_{L^2}) \\ &\cong ((R^1 f_* \Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, h_{L^2}) \otimes ((f_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^\vee, h_{L^2}^{-1}).\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

Recall that the Ricci from of $(\mathfrak{B}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G)$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G := c_1(\Theta_{\mathfrak{B}}, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G).$$

Lemma 6.3. *Set $h^{p,q}(Y) := \dim H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p) = \dim H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)^G$. When $p+q=3$, the following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on $\mathfrak{B}$ holds:*

$$c_1((R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, h_{L^2}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (3,0), \\ \mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G + h^{1,2}(Y)\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (2,1), \\ -\mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G - h^{1,2}(Y)\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (1,2), \\ -\omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (0,3). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result for $(p,q) = (3,0)$ follows from (6.16). Since $h^{1,2}(Y) = \mathrm{rk}\, (\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G$, we get by (6.19) the result for $(p,q) = (2,1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1((R^1 f_* \Omega^2_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, h_{L^2}) &= c_1((\Theta_{\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G) + h^{1,2}(Y) c_1((f_* K_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}), h_{L^2})|_{\mathfrak{B}} \\ &= \mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G + h^{1,2}(Y)\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Serre duality $(R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^\vee \cong R^{3-q} f_* \Omega^{3-p}_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)}$ is a G-equivariant isometry with respect to the L^2-metrics on the direct image sheaves, the results for $(p,q) = (1,2), (0,3)$ follow from those for $(p,q) = (2,1), (3,0)$. □

Lemma 6.4. *When $p+q=3$, the following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on B holds:*

$$c_1((R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G, h_{L^2}) = \begin{cases} \varpi^* \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (3,0), \\ \varpi^* \mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G + h^{1,2}(Y)\, \varpi^* \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (2,1), \\ -\varpi^* \mathrm{Ric}\, \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G - h^{1,2}(Y)\, \varpi^* \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (1,2), \\ -\varpi^* \omega_{\mathrm{WP}}^G & (p,q) = (0,3). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $H^q(Y_b, \Omega^p_{Y_b}) = H^q(X_b, \Omega^p_{X_b})^G$, $b \in B$, consists of primitive cohomology classes when $p+q=3$ and since the L^2-metric on the primitive cohomology coincides with the cup-product, the map of germs $\varpi\colon (B, \mathfrak{b}) \to (\mathrm{Def}(X), [X])$ induces an isometry of holomorphic Hermitian vector bundles on $(B, \mathfrak{b})$

$$((R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G, h_{L^2}) = \varpi^* ((R^q f_* \Omega^p_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathrm{Def}(X)})^G, h_{L^2}).$$

Hence the result follows from Lemma 6.3. □

6.6. **The curvature of $R^q \overline{f}_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$: the case $p=q$.** For $b \in B$, the covolume of the lattice $H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{R})$ relative to the L^2-metric is defined in the same way as in Section 1.2. If $\{\mathbf{e}_1(b), \dots, \mathbf{e}_r(b)\}$ is a basis of $H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z})/\mathrm{Torsion}$, then

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z}), \overline{\gamma}_b) := \det\left(\langle \mathbf{e}_k(b), \mathbf{e}_l(b) \rangle_{L^2, \overline{\gamma}_b}\right) = \|\mathbf{e}_1(b) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbf{e}_r(b)\|^2_{L^2, \overline{\gamma}_b},$$

where $r := \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}}\, H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z})$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2, \overline{\gamma}_b}$ denotes the L^2-metric on $H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{R})$ with respect to $\overline{\gamma}_b := \overline{\gamma}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}|_{Y_b}$. Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2, \overline{\gamma}_b}$ depends only on the Kähler class $[\overline{\gamma}_b]$. We define $\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2 \overline{f}_* \mathbf{Z}) \in C^\infty(B)$ by

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2 \overline{f}_* \mathbf{Z})(b) := \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z}), \overline{\gamma}_b), \qquad b \in B.$$

By [12, Lemma 4.12], $\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z})$ is constant on B if the relative Kähler form $\overline{\gamma}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}$ is induced from a Kähler form on $\mathcal{X}$, i.e., if there is a Kähler form $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/B} = \gamma_{\mathcal{X}}|\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/B}$.

Lemma 6.5. *The following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on B holds:*

$$c_1(R^p\overline{f}_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{L^2}) = \begin{cases} -dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B) & (p=0), \\ -dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2} R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z} & (p=1), \\ dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2} R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z} & (p=2), \\ dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B) & (p=3). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})^G = \overline{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{O}_B \cdot 1$ and since $\|1\|^2_{L^2} = \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)$, we get the result for $p=0$. Similarly, since $(f_*\Omega^2_{\mathcal{X}/B})^G = (R^2f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})^G = 0$ by (6.13), we get $\overline{f}_*\Omega^2_{\mathcal{Y}/B} = R^2\overline{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$ by (6.15). Let $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ be holomorphic line bundles on Y_b such that $\{c_1(L_1), \ldots, c_1(L_r)\}$ is a basis of $H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z})/\mathrm{Tors}(H^2(Y_b, \mathbf{Z}))$. Since $R^2\overline{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$, it follows from the exactness of the sequence $R^1\overline{f}_*\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathcal{Y}} \to R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z} \to R^2\overline{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ that every L_i extends to a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. Then $R^1\overline{f}_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{Y}/B} = R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z} \otimes \mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_B c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) + \cdots + \mathcal{O}_B c_1(\mathcal{L}_r)$ by (6.14), (6.15), so that we get $\det R^1\overline{f}_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{Y}/B} = \mathcal{O}_B \cdot c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(\mathcal{L}_r)$ and

$$c_1(R^1\overline{f}_*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{L^2}) = -dd^c \log \|c_1(\mathcal{L}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(\mathcal{L}_r)\|^2_{L^2} = -dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z}).$$

This proves the assertion for $p=1$. The assertions for $p=2,3$ follow from those for $p=1,0$ by the Serre duality. See [12, p.200] for the details. □

Corollary 6.6. *The following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on B holds:*

$$\sum_{p,q\geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} p\, c_1(R^q\overline{f}_*\Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{L^2}) = -\varpi^*\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} - \left(h^{1,2}(Y)+3\right)\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c \log\left\{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)^3\,\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z})\right\}.$$

Proof. The result follows from Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5. □

6.7. **The direct images of some Chern forms.**

Definition 6.7. Define C^∞ functions $A(\mathcal{Y}/B)$, $A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)$, $A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}/B)$ on B by

$$A(\mathcal{Y}/B) := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{12}\overline{f}_*\left\{\log\left(\frac{i\,\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\wedge\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}{\overline{\gamma}^3_{\mathcal{Y}/B}/3!}\cdot\overline{f}^*\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\|^2_{L^2}}\right)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)\right\}\right],$$

$$A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) := \exp\left[-\frac{1}{12}\overline{f}_*\left\{\log\left(\frac{i\,\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\wedge\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}{\overline{\gamma}^3_{\mathcal{Y}/B}/3!}\cdot\overline{f}^*\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\|^2_{L^2}}\right)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B)\Big|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}}\right\}\right],$$

$$A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}/B) := \overline{f}_*\left(\frac{i\,\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\wedge\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}{\overline{\gamma}^3_{\mathcal{Y}/B}/3!}\Big|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}\cdot\overline{f}^*\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\|^2_{L^2}}\right) \qquad (\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}).$$

Proposition 6.8. *The following equality of $(1,1)$-forms on $\mathcal{Y}$ holds:*

$$\overline{f}_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)\} = -\int_Y c_3(Y)\left\{\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\right\} - 12\,dd^c\log A(\mathcal{Y}/B).$$

Proof. Substituting (6.18) into $\overline{f}_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B)\}$ and using the projection formula and the commutativity of dd^c and $\overline{f}_*$, we get the result. See also [12, p.197 Eq.(4.3)]. □

Proposition 6.9. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* B *holds:*

$$(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\} = -\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}} c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda})\left\{\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\right\} - 12\,dd^c\log A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B).$$

Proof. By (6.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\}\\
&= -(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*\left[(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})^*\left\{\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\right\}\wedge c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\right]\\
&\quad + (\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*\left[dd^c\log\left\{\frac{i\,\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\wedge\overline{\eta}_{\mathcal{Y}/B}}{\overline{\tau}^3_{\mathcal{Y}/B}/3!}\overline{f}^*\left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)}{\|\eta_{\mathcal{Y}/B}\|^2_{L^2}}\right)\right\}\wedge c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\right] \qquad (6.20)\\
&= -\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda,b}} c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda,b})\cdot\left\{\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\right\} - 12\,dd^c\log A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B).
\end{aligned}$$

To get the second equality, we used the projection formula and the commutativity of dd^c and $(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}})_*$. □

Proposition 6.10. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* B *holds:*

$$(\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})_*\{c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B)\} = -\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} - dd^c\log\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B) + dd^c\log A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}/B).$$

Proof. The result follows from (6.18) and the projection formula. □

6.8. **The curvature formula.** We define $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\in C^\infty(B)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) &:= T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B)\,\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B)^{-3+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2\overline{f}_*\mathbf{Z})^{-1}A(\mathcal{Y}/B)\\
&\quad\times\prod_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\left\{\tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)\right\}^{-\mu_{\bar\lambda}}A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B)^{\frac{n_{\bar\lambda}^2-1}{n_{\bar\lambda}}}\prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}/B)^{\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.11. *The following equality of* $(1,1)$*-forms on* B *holds*

$$-dd^c\log\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) = \left(\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}+h^{1,2}(Y)+3\right)\varpi^*\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + \varpi^*\mathrm{Ric}\,\omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + \sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\mu_{\bar\lambda}\,J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar\lambda}/B}\omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{n}_{\bar\lambda}}}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.3, we get

(6.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- dd^c \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \mu_\lambda \, dd^c \log \left\{ \tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \right\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{12} \overline{f}_* \{ c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B) c_3(\mathcal{Y}/B) \} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_\lambda^2 - 1}{n_\lambda} (\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}})_* \{ c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B) c_1(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) \, (\overline{f}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})_* \{ c_1(\mathcal{Y}/B) \} - \sum_{p,q\geq 0} (-1)^{p+q} p \, c_1(\det R^q \overline{f}_* \Omega^p_{\mathcal{Y}/B}, h_{L^2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \mu_{\bar{\lambda}} \, J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B} \omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the formulae in Propositions 6.8, 6.9, 6.10 and Corollary 6.6 into (6.21), we get

(6.22)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- dd^c \log T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/B) + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \mu_\lambda \, dd^c \log \left\{ \tau(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \mathrm{Vol}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \right\} \\
&= \left(\frac{\int_Y c_3(Y)}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_\lambda^2 - 1}{n_\lambda} \int_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}} c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}) - \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) + h^{1,2}(Y) + 3 \right) \varpi^* \omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} \\
&\quad + \varpi^* \mathrm{Ric} \, \omega^G_{\mathrm{WP}} + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \mu_\lambda \, J^*_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B} \omega_{\mathcal{A}_{\overline{h}_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\int_Y c_3(Y)}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_\lambda^2 - 1}{n_\lambda} \int_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}} c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}) - \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\subset\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) - 3 \right) dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{Y}/B) \\
&\quad - dd^c \log \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(R^2 \overline{f}_* \mathbf{Z}) + dd^c \log A(\mathcal{Y}/B) + \sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_\lambda^2 - 1}{n_\lambda} \, dd^c \log A(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\bar{\lambda}}/B) \\
&\quad - 12 \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) \, dd^c \log A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}/B).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (6.2) into (6.22), we get the result. □

Theorem 6.12. *The number $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler form $\overline{\gamma}$ on Y.*

Proof. Let γ_0 and γ_∞ be two G-invariant Kähler forms on X, which are identified with the corresponding Hermitian metrics. Set $\mathcal{X} := X \times \mathbf{P}^1$ and let $f := \mathrm{pr}_2 \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be the projection. By the triviality of the family $f \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{P}^1$, the G-action on X extends to a G-action on $\mathcal{X}$. Define the Hermitian metric $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{P}^1} := \{\gamma_t\}_{t\in\mathbf{P}^1}$ on $\Theta_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{P}^1}$ by $\gamma_t := (\gamma_0 + |t|^2 \gamma_\infty)/(1 + |t|^2)$. Then $\gamma_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{P}^1}$ is fiberwise Kähler. We set $Y := X/G$, $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{X}/G$ and $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/\mathbf{P}^1)(t) := \tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma}_t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{P}^1$. By Theorem 6.11 applied to the trivial family $f \colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbf{P}^1$, we get on $\mathbf{P}^1$

$$-dd^c \log \tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/\mathbf{P}^1) = 0,$$

because ϖ and $J_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\lambda/\mathbf{P}^1}$ are constant maps. Since $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\mathcal{Y}/\mathbf{P}^1)$ is a harmonic function on $\mathbf{P}^1$, it is a constant. Hence $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma}_0) = \tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y, \overline{\gamma}_\infty)$. □

Definition 6.13. Let X be a smooth projective threefold with trivial canonical line bundle. Let G be a finite abelian group of automorphisms of X satisfying (6.1). Then the *(orbifold) BCOV invariant* of X/G is defined as

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X/G) := \tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X/G, \overline{\gamma}),$$

where γ is an arbitrary G-invariant Kähler form on X.

7. BCOV invariants for general abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds

In this section, we extend BCOV invariants to general (i.e., possibly non-global) abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds by using the anomaly formula for orbifolds [24, Th. 0.1].

Let Y be a 3-dimensional Calabi-Yau orbifold. We assume that Y is *abelian*. Namely, for any $y \in Y$, there exist a neighborhood $\overline{U}_y$ of y in Y, a finite abelian subgroup $G_y \subset \mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$ and a G_y-invariant open neighborhood U_y of 0 in $\mathbf{C}^3$ such that $(\overline{U}_y, y) \cong (U_y/G_y, 0)$. We define $\Sigma U_y = \Sigma^{(1)}U_y \amalg \Sigma^{(0)}U_y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}U_y$ as in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. We set $\widetilde{\Sigma}U_y := \Sigma^{(1)}U_y \amalg \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}U_y$. Similarly, we define $\Sigma^{(k)}\overline{U}_y := \Sigma^{(k)}U_y/G_y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\overline{U}_y := \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}U_y/G_y$. We set $\Sigma\overline{U}_y := \Sigma^{(0)}\overline{U}_y \amalg \Sigma^{(1)}\overline{U}_y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}\overline{U}_y := \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}\overline{U}_y \amalg \Sigma^{(1)}\overline{U}_y$. Gluing these $\Sigma\overline{U}_y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}\overline{U}_y$, we get complex orbifolds $\Sigma Y := \bigcup_{y\in Y}\Sigma\overline{U}_y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}Y := \bigcup_{y\in Y}\widetilde{\Sigma}\overline{U}_y$. Then $\Sigma Y = \Sigma^{(1)}Y \amalg \Sigma^{(0)}Y$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}Y = \Sigma^{(1)}Y \amalg \widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}Y$. Let $\overline{\nu}_y \colon \Sigma\overline{U}_y \to \overline{U}_y$ be the map as in Section 4.1.2. Gluing $\overline{\nu}_y$, we get a map $\overline{\nu}\colon \Sigma Y \to Y$. Let $\overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}Y) = \bigcup_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be the irreducible decomposition. By the local description of $\overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}\overline{U}_y)$ in Sections 4.2 and 4.5.1, $\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ can have singular points isomorphic to the union of some coordinate axes of $\mathbf{C}^3$. Since Y is an abelian orbifold, there exists $n_{\bar{\lambda}} \in \mathbf{Z}_{>1}$ for each $\bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda}$ such that G_y is a cyclic group of order n_λ for generic $y \in \overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. In particular, $n_{\bar{\lambda}} = |G_y|$ for generic $y \in \overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Let $\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be the normalization of $\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. We set

$$Y^{(1)} := \amalg_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \qquad Y^{(0)} := \overline{\nu}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}Y).$$

Define $\iota\colon Y^{(1)} \to \overline{\nu}(\Sigma^{(1)}Y)$ as the normalization. By the definition of $\overline{\nu}_y$, $\overline{\nu}$ induces an unramified covering $p\colon \Sigma^{(1)}Y \to Y^{(1)}$ with $\iota \circ p = \overline{\nu}$. By (4.4), the restriction $p|_{p^{-1}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}\colon p^{-1}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}) \to \widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is an étale map of degree $n_{\bar{\lambda}} - 1$. If Y is a global orbifold, $p^{-1}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})$ consists of $n_{\bar{\lambda}} - 1$ components and each component is isomorphic to $\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Since Y is not necessarily a global orbifold, the number of the components of $p^{-1}(\widehat{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})$ can be smaller than $n_{\bar{\lambda}} - 1$ in general.

For a Kähler form $\overline{\gamma}$ on Y in the sense of orbifolds, we define $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)$ by (6.2), $A(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ by (6.9), $A(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}})$ by (6.10), $A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})$ ($\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in Y^{(0)}$) by (6.11). Then $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)$ is independent of the choice of $\overline{\gamma}$. Let

$$\Sigma^{(1)}Y = \amalg_{\alpha\in A}D_\alpha$$

be the decomposition into connected components. When $p(D_\alpha) = \widehat{C}_\lambda$, the restriction $p|_{D_\alpha}\colon D_\alpha \to \widehat{C}_\lambda$ is an unramified covering. We set

$$\tau(\Sigma Y, \overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y}) := \prod_{\alpha\in A}\tau(D_\alpha, \overline{\gamma}|_{D_\alpha}), \qquad \mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma Y, \overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y}) := \prod_{\alpha\in A}\mathrm{Vol}(D_\alpha, \overline{\gamma}|_{D_\alpha})$$

Definition 7.1. Define the *orbifold BCOV invariant* of $(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}) := {} & T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})\,\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})^{-3+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\overline{\gamma}])^{-1}A(Y,\overline{\gamma})\\ & \times \tau(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y})^{-1}\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y})^{-1}\\ & \times \prod_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} A(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}})^{\frac{n_{\bar{\lambda}}^2-1}{n_{\bar{\lambda}}}} \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}} A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}.\end{aligned}$$

For a holomorphic orbi-vector bundle E on a complex orbifold M, Hermitian metrics h, h' on E and $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{C}^r)$-invariant polynomial $\phi(\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbf{C}^r)$, $r := \mathrm{rk}(E)$, we denote by $\widetilde{\phi}^{\Sigma}(E;h,h') \in \oplus_{p\geq 0}A^{p,p}(M \amalg \Sigma M)/\mathrm{Im}\partial + \mathrm{Im}\bar{\partial}$ the Bott-Chern secondary class [5, I e), f)], [24, Sect. 1.2] such that

$$-dd^c\widetilde{\phi}^{\Sigma}(E;h,h') = \phi^{\Sigma}(E,h) - \phi^{\Sigma}(E,h').$$

Following [12], we define the complex line $\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ as

(7.1)

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y) := \bigotimes_{p\geq 0}\lambda(\Omega^p_Y)^{(-1)^p p} = \bigotimes_{p,q\geq 0}(\det H^q(Y,\Omega^p_Y))^{(-1)^{p+q}p} =$$

$$\det H^2(Y,\Omega^1_Y)^{-1}\otimes(\det H^1(Y,\Omega^2_Y))^{-2}\otimes(\det H^0(Y,\Omega^3_Y))^{-3}\otimes\bigotimes_{r=1}^{3}(\det H^{2r}(Y,\mathbf{C}))^r.$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),L^2,\overline{\gamma}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}}$ the L^2 and Quillen metrics [5], [24] on $\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ with respect to $\overline{\gamma}$, respectively. By definition,

$$\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}} = T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})\,\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),L^2,\overline{\gamma}}.$$

Similarly, the Quillen metric on $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}) = \det H^0(D_\alpha,\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha})\otimes\det H^1(D_\alpha,\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha})^{-1}$ with respect to $\overline{\gamma}|_{D_\alpha}$ (resp. $\overline{\gamma}'|_{D_\alpha}$) is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}$). Set

$$\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}) := \bigotimes_{\alpha\in A}\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}), \qquad \|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}} := \bigotimes_{\alpha\in A}\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ be Kähler forms on Y in the sense of orbifolds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2 - \log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2\\ &= -\frac{1}{12}\int_Y \widetilde{c_1c_3}(Y;\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}') - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_{\bar{\lambda}}^2-1}{n_{\bar{\lambda}}}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}} c_1(\widetilde{Y)c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')\\ &\quad + \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})\,\widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}$, we fix an isomorphism $(\overline{U}_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}},\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong (U_{\mathfrak{p}}/G_{\mathfrak{p}},\mathfrak{p})$, where the origin of $U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{p}$ and we set $U_{\mathfrak{p}} := U_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $G_{\mathfrak{p}} := G_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}$. As in Section 4.3.5, we get the splitting

$$N_{\mathfrak{p}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}} = N_{\mathfrak{p}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},1})\oplus N_{\mathfrak{p}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},2})\oplus N_{\mathfrak{p}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},3}).$$

Since $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ are Kähler forms on Y in the sense of orbifolds, they are viewed as positive $(1,1)$-forms γ and γ' on $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, respectively. We define

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},k}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')(\mathfrak{p}) := \widetilde{c}_1(N_{\mathfrak{p}/U_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k});\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p}),$$

which is the Bott-Chern class associated to the characteristic form (4.26). By (4.27),

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},1}(\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p})+\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},2}(\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p})+\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},3}(\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p})=\widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\gamma,\gamma')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

We apply the anomaly formula of Ma [24, Th. 0.1] to the line $\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$. Recall that $\mathit{\Pi}\colon Y\amalg\Sigma Y\to Y\amalg Y^{(1)}\amalg Y^{(0)}$ is the projection. By Proposition 4.13, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2=\int_{Y\amalg\Sigma Y}\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\widetilde{\Theta_Y)\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}}(\Omega^p_Y)(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')\\
&=\int_{Y\amalg Y^{(1)}\amalg Y^{(0)}}\mathit{\Pi}_*\{\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p p\,\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(\widetilde{\Theta_Y)\mathrm{ch}^{\Sigma}}(\Omega^p_Y)(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')\}\\
&=-\frac{1}{12}\int_Y\widetilde{c_1c_3}(Y;\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')-\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_{\bar\lambda}^2-1}{n_{\bar\lambda}}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}c_1(\widetilde{Y)c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda})}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\mu_{\bar\lambda}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}\widetilde{c}_1^2(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda};\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\gamma}},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\gamma}})+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{\delta(G_{\mathfrak{p}})}{|G_{\mathfrak{p}}|}\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},k}(\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p}),
\end{aligned}\tag{7.2}$$

where we used the fact that $\mathit{\Pi}\colon Y\amalg\Sigma Y\to Y\amalg Y^{(1)}\amalg Y^{(0)}$ is an unramified covering to get the third equality.

Write $G_{\mathfrak{p}}=\{\mathrm{diag}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p},1}(g),\chi_{\mathfrak{p},2}(g),\chi_{\mathfrak{p},3}(g));\ g\in G_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. As in Section 4.5.2, we set $n_{\mathfrak{p},k}:=|\ker\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}|$ and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}=|\mathrm{Im}\,\chi_{\mathfrak{p},k}|$. Applying the anomaly formula [24, Th. 0.1] to $\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y})$ and using Proposition 4.17, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2\\
&=\sum_{\alpha\in A}\int_{D_\alpha\amalg\Sigma D_\alpha}\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(TD_\alpha)}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')=\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\mu_{\bar\lambda}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}\amalg\Sigma\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}\widetilde{\mathrm{Td}^{\Sigma}(T\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda})}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')=\\
&\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\mu_{\bar\lambda}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}\widetilde{c}_1^2(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda};\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\frac{(n_{\mathfrak{p},k}-1)(\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}^2-1)}{\nu_{\mathfrak{p},k}}\widetilde{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p},k}(\gamma,\gamma')(\mathfrak{p}),
\end{aligned}\tag{7.3}$$

where we used the fact that $\overline{\nu}\colon\amalg_{\alpha\in\phi^{-1}(\bar\lambda)}D_\alpha\to\widehat{C}_{\bar\lambda}$ is an unramified covering of degree $\mu_{\bar\lambda}$ to get the third equality. The result follows from (4.27), (7.2), (7.3) and Proposition 4.18. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ be Kähler forms on Y in the sense of orbifolds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}\log\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')}+\log\frac{A(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{A(Y,\overline{\gamma}')}+\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_{\bar\lambda}^2-1}{n_{\bar\lambda}}\log\frac{A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})}{A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})}\\
&\quad+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\log\frac{A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})}{A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})}\\
&=\frac{1}{12}\int_Y\widetilde{c_1c_3}(Y;\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')+\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_{\bar\lambda}^2-1}{n_{\bar\lambda}}\int_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}}c_1(\widetilde{Y)c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda})}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')\\
&\quad-\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\gamma}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.4}$$

Proof. Write $I = I(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}')$ for the left hand side of (7.4). Let $\eta \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^3) \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\overline{\kappa}$ be a *Ricci-flat* Kähler form on Y in the sense of orbifolds such that

$$\overline{\kappa}^3/3! = i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}. \tag{7.5}$$

By the definitions of $A(Y, \overline{\gamma})$, $A(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}})$, $A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})$ and $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)$, we get

(7.6)

$$\begin{aligned} I = {} & \frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12} \log \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y, \overline{\gamma})}{\mathrm{Vol}(Y, \overline{\gamma}')} - \frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12} \log \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y, \overline{\gamma})}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} + \frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12} \log \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y, \overline{\gamma}')}{\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2} \\ & - \frac{1}{12} \int_Y \left\{ \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \right) c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}) - \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{(\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!} \right) c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}') \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\bar{\lambda} \in \overline{\Lambda}} \frac{n_{\bar{\lambda}}^2 - 1}{n_{\bar{\lambda}}} \int_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}} \left\{ \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \right) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}) - \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{(\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!} \right) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \in Y^{(0)}} \epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}}) \left\{ \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!} \right) (\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) - \log \left(\frac{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}}{(\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!} \right) (\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_1(Y, \overline{\kappa}) = 0$ by the definition of κ and since

$$\widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) = \log(\overline{\gamma}^3/\overline{\kappa}^3) = \log[(\overline{\gamma}^3/3!)/(i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta})] \tag{7.7}$$

and $\widetilde{c_1 c_3}(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) = \widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}) + c_1(Y, \overline{\kappa}) \widetilde{c}_3(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa})$, we deduce from (7.5), (7.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{c_1 c_3}(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}') &= \widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}) - \widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}', \overline{\kappa}) c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}') \\ &= \log[(\overline{\gamma}^3/3!)/(i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta})]\, c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}) - \log[((\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!)/(i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta})]\, c_3(Y, \overline{\gamma}'). \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

Similarly, since $c_1(Y, \overline{\kappa}) = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{c_1(Y) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}') &= \widetilde{c_1(Y) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) - \widetilde{c_1(Y) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}(\overline{\gamma}', \overline{\kappa}) \\ &= \widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa}) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}) - \widetilde{c}_1(Y; \overline{\gamma}', \overline{\kappa}) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}), \end{aligned}$$

we get by (7.7)

(7.9)

$$\widetilde{c_1(Y) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}})}(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}') = \log \left(\frac{\overline{\gamma}^3/3!}{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}} \right) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}) - \log \left(\frac{(\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!}{i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta}} \right) c_1(\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}, \overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar{\lambda}}}).$$

Finally, we get by (7.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}')|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} &= \widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\kappa})|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} - \widetilde{c_1(Y)}(\overline{\gamma}', \overline{\kappa})|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ &= \log[(\overline{\gamma}^3/3!)/(i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta})](\overline{\mathfrak{p}}) - \log[\{(\overline{\gamma}')^3/3!\}/(i\,\eta \wedge \overline{\eta})](\overline{\mathfrak{p}}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

Substituting (7.8), (7.9), (7.10) into (7.6), we get the result. □

Theorem 7.4. *The number $\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y, \overline{\gamma})$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler form on Y in the sense of orbifolds.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ be arbitrary Kähler forms on Y in the sense of orbifolds. Let $\{c_1(L_1), \ldots, c_1(L_{b_2})\}$ be a basis of $H^2(Y, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{fr}}$ and let $\{c_1(L_1)^\vee, \ldots, c_1(L_{b_2})^\vee\}$ be its dual basis of $H^4(Y, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{fr}}$ with respect to the cup-product. Then $\det H^0(Y, \mathbf{C})$ has the canonical element $\sigma_0 := 1_Y$, $\det H^2(Y, \mathbf{C})$ has the canonical element $\sigma_2 := c_1(L_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(L_{b_2})$, $\det H^4(Y, \mathbf{C})$ has the canonical element $\sigma_4 := c_1(L_1)^\vee \wedge \cdots \wedge c_1(L_{b_2})^\vee$, and $\det H^6(Y, \mathbf{C})$ has the canonical element $\sigma_6 := [Y]$, the fundamental class. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{b_2}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H^2(Y, \mathbf{C})$ with respect to $\overline{\gamma}$, then its dual

basis $\{e_1^\vee,\dots,e_{b_2}^\vee\}$ is given by $\{*e_1,\dots,*e_{b_2}\}$, where $*$ denotes the Hodge $*$-operator with respect to the Kähler form $\overline{\gamma}$. From this, we get $\|\sigma_4\|^2_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}} = \|\sigma_2\|^{-2}_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}} = \mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\overline{\gamma}])^{-1}$. Similarly, we have $\|\sigma_6\|^2_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}} = \|\sigma_0\|^{-2}_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}} = \mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})^{-1}$. Set

$$\ell_Y := (\det H^2(Y,\Omega_Y^1))^{-1}\otimes(\det H^1(Y,\Omega_Y^2))^{-2}\otimes(\det H^0(Y,\Omega_Y^3))^{-3}.$$

Since the L^2-metric on $H^q(Y,\Omega_Y^p)$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler form on Y for $p+q=3$ and hence so is the L^2-metric $\|\cdot\|_{L^2,\ell_Y}$ on the line ℓ_Y, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}} &= \frac{T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})\cdot\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2,\ell_Y}\cdot\prod_{r=1}^3\|\sigma_r\|^{2r}_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}}}{T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')\cdot\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2,\ell_Y}\cdot\prod_{r=1}^3\|\sigma_r\|^{2r}_{L^2,\overline{\gamma}'}}\\ &= \frac{T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})^{-3}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\overline{\gamma}])^{-1}}{T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')^{-3}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(Y,\mathbf{Z}),[\overline{\gamma}'])^{-1}}\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

by (7.1). Similarly, since the L^2-metric on the line

$$\ell_{\Sigma Y} := \bigotimes_{\alpha\in A}\ell_{D_\alpha},\qquad \ell_{D_\alpha} := \det H^1(D_\alpha,\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha})^{-1}$$

is independent of the choice of a Kähler form on ΣY and since $\det H^0(\Sigma Y,\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}) = \bigotimes_{\alpha\in A}\det H^0(D_\alpha,\mathcal{O}_{D_\alpha})$ has the canonical element $1_{\Sigma Y} = \bigotimes_{\alpha\in A}1_{D_\alpha}$, we get

$$\frac{\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}} = \frac{\tau(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y})\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2,\ell_{\Sigma Y}}\|1_{\Sigma Y}\|^2_{L^2,\gamma}}{\tau(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}'|_{\Sigma Y})\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2,\ell_{\Sigma Y}}\|1_{\Sigma Y}\|^2_{L^2,\gamma'}} = \frac{\tau(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y})\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}|_{\Sigma Y})}{\tau(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}'|_{\Sigma Y})\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma Y,\overline{\gamma}'|_{\Sigma Y})}. \tag{7.12}$$

By (7.11), (7.12) and Definition 6.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\log\frac{\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')} &= \log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2 - \log\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}'}}\right)^2\\ &\quad+\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}\log\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{\mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')}+\log\frac{A(Y,\overline{\gamma})}{A(Y,\overline{\gamma}')}\\ &\quad+\sum_{\bar\lambda\in\overline{\Lambda}}\frac{n_{\bar\lambda}^2-1}{n_{\bar\lambda}}\log\frac{A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})}{A(\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{C}_{\bar\lambda}})}+\sum_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}}\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})\log\frac{A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})}{A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}'|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

Comparing (7.13) and Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3, we get

$$\log[\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma})/\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}')] = 0.$$

Since the Kähler forms $\overline{\gamma}$ and $\overline{\gamma}'$ are arbitrary, we get the result. □

After Theorem 7.4, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 7.5. Define the BCOV invariant of an abelian Calabi-Yau orbifold as

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y) := \tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y,\overline{\gamma}).$$

To reformulate the invariance property of $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$, we make the following

Definition 7.6. Define the orbifold BCOV line of Y as

$$\lambda^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y) := \lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)\otimes\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y})^{-1}$$

and the Hermitian structure $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ on $\lambda^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ as

$$\|\cdot\|^2_{\mathrm{BCOV}} := \mathrm{Vol}(Y,\overline{\gamma})^{\frac{\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(Y)}{12}} A(Y,\overline{\gamma}) \prod_{\overline{\lambda}\in\overline{\Lambda}} A(\overline{C}_\lambda, \overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{C}_{\overline{\lambda}}})^{\frac{n^2_{\overline{\lambda}}-1}{n_{\overline{\lambda}}}} \prod_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}\in Y^{(0)}} A(\overline{\mathfrak{p}},\overline{\gamma}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\epsilon(G_{\mathfrak{p}})} \times \|\cdot\|^2_{\lambda_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y),Q,\overline{\gamma}} \otimes \|\cdot\|^{-2}_{\lambda(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma Y}),Q,\overline{\gamma}}.$$

Theorem 7.7. *The Hermitian structure $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{BCOV}}$ on $\lambda^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ is independent of the choice of a Kähler metric on Y in the sense of orbifolds. In particular, the Hermitian line $(\lambda^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y), \|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{BCOV}})$ is an invariant of Y.*

Proof. The result follows from (7.13) and Theorem 7.4. □

By (7.11), (7.12), $\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)$ is obtained as the ratio of two intrinsic Hermitian structures on the complex line $\ell_Y \otimes \ell^{-1}_{\Sigma Y}$ as follows:

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y) = \frac{\|(\,\cdot\,)\otimes\bigotimes_{r=0}^3 \sigma_r^{\otimes r}\otimes 1_{\Sigma Y}^{\otimes -1}\|^2_{\mathrm{BCOV}}}{\|\cdot\|^2_{L^2,\ell_Y\otimes\ell^{-1}_{\Sigma Y}}}. \tag{7.14}$$

A typical example of abelian Calabi-Yau orbifold is a general anti-canonical divisor of a toric variety of certain type. See e.g. [10, Prop. 4.13 (i)]. To extend BCOV invariants to non-abelian Calabi-Yau orbifolds, one has to deal with three-dimensional quotient singularities obtained by finite non-abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathbf{C}^3)$. For the classification of such groups, see e.g. [32, Sect. 3].

8. BCOV invariants for Borcea-Voisin orbifolds

8.1. **Analytic torsion for elliptic curves.** Let T be an elliptic curve and let γ be a Kähler form on T. Let ξ be a non-zero holomorphic 1-form on T. We set

$$\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T) := \mathrm{Vol}(T,\gamma)\,\tau(T,\gamma)\,\exp\left[\frac{1}{12}\int_T \log\left(\frac{i\,\xi\wedge\overline{\xi}}{\gamma}\right) c_1(T,\gamma)\right].$$

Since $\chi(T) = \int_T c_1(T,\gamma) = 0$, $\tau(T)_{\mathrm{ell}}$ is independent of the choice of ξ.

When $T \cong \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}+\tau\mathbf{Z}$, $\tau\in\mathfrak{H}$, we define $g_T := dz\otimes d\bar{z}/\Im\tau$. Then its Kähler form is given by $\gamma_T := \frac{i}{2}dz\wedge d\bar{z}/\Im\tau$. Since $\mathrm{Vol}(T,\gamma_T) = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_T \gamma_T = (2\pi)^{-1}$, we have

$$\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T) = (2\pi)^{-1}\tau(T,\gamma_T). \tag{8.1}$$

The Laplacian $\Box^T_{0,0}$ of (T,g_T) acting on $C^\infty(T)$ is given by

$$\Box^T_{0,0} = -2\Im\tau\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z\partial\bar{z}} = -\frac{\Im\tau}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right).$$

We have $\sigma(\Box^T_{0,0}) = \{\nu_{m,n}(T);\,(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^2\}$, where $\nu_{m,n}(T) := \frac{2\pi^2}{\Im\tau}|m\tau+n|^2$ for $(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^2$.

Theorem 8.1. *For every elliptic curve T,*

$$\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T) = \left(4\pi\,\|\eta\,(\Omega(T))^4\,\|\right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let $\zeta^T_{0,0}(s)$ be the spectral zeta function of $\Box^T_{0,0}$. By the Kronecker limit formula [41, p.75 Eq. (17)], we have

$$\left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0}\zeta^T_{0,0}(s) = \left.\frac{d}{ds}\right|_{s=0}\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^2\setminus\{0\}}\frac{(\Im\tau)^s/(2\pi^2)^s}{|m\tau+n|^{2s}} = -\log\left(2\|\eta(\tau)\|^4\right).$$

This, together with (8.1) and the anomaly formula [5, Th. 0.2], yields the result. □

8.2. **An observation of Harvey-Moore for Borcea-Voisin orbifolds.** In the rest of this paper, we prove the following:

Theorem 8.2. *Let (S,θ) be a 2-elementary $K3$ surface of type M and let T be an elliptic curve. Let $\rho = \rho(X_{(S,\theta,T)}) = r(M)+1$ be the Picard number of $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}\left(X_{(S,\theta,T)}\right) = 2^{14}(2\pi)^{2\rho}|A_M|^{-1}\,\tau_M(S,\theta)^{-4}\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T)^{-12}.$$

Theorem 8.2 was proved by Harvey-Moore [16, Sect. V] when $\theta\colon S \to S$ is free from fixed points, equivalently when the type of $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ is $\mathbb{U}(2)\oplus\mathbb{E}_8(2)$.

8.2.1. *Symmetries of the spectrum of Laplacians.* Let γ_T and γ_S be *Ricci-flat* Kähler forms on $T = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z}+\tau\mathbf{Z}$ and S, respectively, such that

$$\gamma_T := \frac{i\,dz\wedge d\bar{z}}{2\Im\tau}, \qquad \theta^*\gamma_S = \gamma_S.$$

We set

$$\gamma := \mathrm{pr}_1^*\gamma_S + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\gamma_T.$$

Then γ is a $\theta\times(-1)_T$-invariant Ricci-flat Kähler form on $S\times T$ and is regarded as a Ricci-flat Kähler form on $X_{(S,\theta,T)} = (S\times T)/\theta\times(-1)_T$ in the sense of orbifolds. Let η and ξ be nowhere vanishing canonical forms on S and T, respectively. Then $\eta\wedge\xi$ is a nowhere vanishing canonical form on $S\times T$, which we identify the corresponding nowhere vanishing canonical form on $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$. By the Ricci-flatness of γ_S and γ_T, the canonical forms η, ξ, $\eta\wedge\xi$ are parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connections associated to the Kähler forms γ_S, γ_T, γ, respectively. For simplicity, write X for $X_{(S,\theta,T)}$ when there is no possibility of confusion.

Let $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ be the spectral zeta function of $\Box_{p,q}$ acting on $A^{p,q}(X)$, the space of smooth (p,q)-forms on X in the sense of orbifolds.

Lemma 8.3. *The following equality of meromorphic functions on* $\mathbf{C}$ *holds*

$$\sum_{p,q\geq 0}(-1)^{p+q}pq\,\zeta_{p,q}(s) = 9\,\zeta_{0,0}(s) - 6\,\zeta_{1,0}(s) + \zeta_{1,1}(s).$$

Proof. By the ellipticity of the Dolbeault complex $(A^{p,\bullet}(X),\bar{\partial})$, we have the following equality of spectral zeta functions for all $p\geq 0$

$$\zeta_{p,0}(s) - \zeta_{p,1}(s) + \zeta_{p,2}(s) - \zeta_{p,3}(s) = 0. \tag{8.2}$$

Since $\eta\wedge\xi$ is parallel and nowhere vanishing, the Laplacians $\Box_{p,0}$ and $\Box_{p,3}$ are isospectral by the map $\otimes(\eta\wedge\xi)\colon A^{p,0}(X)\ni\varphi\to\varphi\cdot(\eta\wedge\xi)\in A^{p,3}(X)$. In particular, $\zeta_{p,0}(s) = \zeta_{p,3}(s)$, which, together with (8.2), implies $\zeta_{p,1}(s) = \zeta_{p,2}(s)$. Hence

$$\zeta_{p,q}(s) = \zeta_{p,3-q}(s) \tag{8.3}$$

for all $0\leq p,q\leq 3$. Since $\overline{\Box_{p,q}\varphi} = \Box_{q,p}\overline{\varphi}$ for all $\varphi\in A^{p,q}(X)$, we get for all $p,q\geq 0$

$$\zeta_{p,q}(s) = \zeta_{q,p}(s). \tag{8.4}$$

By (8.3), (8.4), every $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ is equal to one of $\zeta_{0,0}(s)$, $\zeta_{1,0}(s)$, $\zeta_{1,1}(s)$. Replacing $\zeta_{p,q}(s)$ by the corresponding $\zeta_{0,0}(s)$ or $\zeta_{1,0}(s)$ or $\zeta_{1,1}(s)$, we get the result. □

8.2.2. *The spectrum of various Laplacians.* Let $\Box^S_{p,q}$ (resp. $\Box^T_{p,q}$) be the Laplacian acting on $A^{p,q}(S)$ (resp. $A^{p,q}(T)$) with respect to γ_S (resp. γ_T). Set

$$A^{p,q}(S)^{\pm} := \{\varphi \in A^{p,q}(S);\, \theta^*\varphi = \pm\varphi\}, \qquad \Box^{S,\pm}_{p,q} := \Box^S_{p,q}|_{A^{p,q}(S)^{\pm}},$$

$$A^{p,q}(T)^{\pm} := \{\varphi \in A^{p,q}(T);\, (-1_T)^*\varphi = \pm\varphi\}, \qquad \Box^{T,\pm}_{p,q} := \Box^T_{p,q}|_{A^{p,q}(T)^{\pm}}.$$

For simplicity, write $\Box^{S,\pm} = \Box^{S,\pm}_{0,0}$ and $\Box^{T,\pm} = \Box^{T,\pm}_{0,0}$. Set $h^{1,1}(S)^{\pm} := \dim \ker \Box^{S,\pm}_{1,1}$. Let $\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{p,q})$ (resp. $\sigma(\Box^{T,\pm}_{p,q})$) be the set of eigenvalues of $\Box^{S,\pm}_{p,q}$ (resp. $\Box^{T,\pm}_{p,q}$) counted with multiplicities. We can write

$$\sigma(\Box^{S,+}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,+}_{0,0}) = \{0\} \amalg \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I}, \qquad \sigma(\Box^{S,-}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,-}_{0,0}) = \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J},$$

where $\lambda^+_i(S) > 0$ and $\lambda^-_j(S) > 0$ for all $i \in I$ and $j \in J$. In what follows, for a subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbf{R}$, the notation $\nu \cdot \mathcal{S}$ implies that every element of $\mathcal{S}$ has multiplicity ν.

Lemma 8.4. *The set $\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{p,q})$ is given as follows:*

(1) $\sigma(\Box^{S,+}_{1,0}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,-}_{1,0}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,+}_{0,1}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,-}_{0,1}) = \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I} \amalg \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J}$.
(2) $\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,1}) = h^{1,1}(S)^{\pm} \cdot \{0\} \amalg 2 \cdot \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I} \amalg 2 \cdot \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J}$.

Proof. Since the Dolbeault complex $(A^{p,\bullet}(S), \bar\partial)$ is elliptic and since

$$\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{p,0}) = \sigma(\Box^{S,\mp}_{p,2}) \tag{8.5}$$

via the map $\otimes\eta\colon A^{p,0}(S)^{\pm} \ni \varphi \to \varphi \cdot \overline{\eta} \in A^{p,2}(S)^{\mp}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{0,1}) &= (\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{0,0}) \setminus \{0\}) \amalg (\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{0,2}) \setminus \{0\}) = (\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{0,0}) \setminus \{0\}) \amalg (\sigma(\Box^{S,\mp}_{0,0}) \setminus \{0\}) \\ &= \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I} \amalg \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J}.\end{aligned}$$

By taking the complex conjugation, we get $\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,0}) = \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I} \amalg \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J}$. This proves (1). By the ellipticity of the Dolbeault complex $(A^{1,\bullet}(S), \bar\partial)$ and (8.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,1}) &= h^{1,1}(S)^{\pm} \cdot \{0\} \amalg \sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,0}) \amalg \sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,2}) = h^{1,1}(S)^{\pm} \cdot \{0\} \amalg \sigma(\Box^{S,\pm}_{1,0}) \amalg \sigma(\Box^{S,\mp}_{1,0}) \\ &= h^{1,1}(S)^{\pm} \cdot \{0\} \amalg 2 \cdot \{\lambda^+_i(S)\}_{i\in I} \amalg 2 \cdot \{\lambda^-_j(S)\}_{j\in J},\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (1). This proves (2). □

For $T = \mathbf{C}/\mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z}$ and $(m,n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, recall that $\nu_{m,n}(T) = (2\pi^2/\Im\tau)|m\tau + n|^2$. Then $\sigma(\Box^T_{0,0}) = \{\nu_{m,n}(T);\, (m,n) \in \mathbf{Z}^2\}$. The following is classical (cf. [31, p. 166]).

Lemma 8.5. *Set $(\mathbf{Z}^2)^* := \mathbf{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. Then*

$$\sigma(\Box^{T,+}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,-}_{1,0}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,-}_{0,1}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,+}_{1,1}) = \{0\} \amalg \left\{\nu_{m,n}(T);\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\},$$

$$\sigma(\Box^{T,-}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,+}_{1,0}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,+}_{0,1}) = \sigma(\Box^{T,-}_{1,1}) = \left\{\nu_{m,n}(T);\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}.$$

8.2.3. *Various spectral ζ-functions.* Let $\zeta^{S,\pm}_{p,q}(s)$ and $\zeta^{T,\pm}_{p,q}(s)$ be the spectral zeta functions of $\Box^{S,\pm}_{p,q}$ and $\Box^{T,\pm}_{p,q}$, respectively. Then

$$\zeta^{S,+}(s) = \sum_{i\in I} \lambda^+_i(S)^{-s}, \qquad \zeta^{S,-}(s) = \sum_{j\in J} \lambda^-_j(S)^{-s},$$

$$\zeta^{T,+}(s) = \zeta^{T,-}(s) = \sum_{(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1} \frac{(\Im\tau)^s (2\pi^2)^{-s}}{|m\tau + n|^{2s}} = \sum_{(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1} \nu_{m,n}(T)^{-s}.$$

Following Harvey-Moore [16], we set

$$\mu^+(s) := \sum_{i\in I,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1} \big(\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T)\big)^{-s},$$

$$\mu^-(s) := \sum_{j\in J,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1} \big(\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T)\big)^{-s}.$$

Lemma 8.6. *The following equality of meromorphic functions on* $\mathbf{C}$ *holds:*

$$\zeta_{0,0}(s) = \zeta^{T,+}(s) + \zeta^{S,+}(s) + \mu^+(s) + \mu^-(s).$$

Proof. Since the decomposition

$$A^{0,0}(X)^+ = [A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-]$$

is orthogonal and is preserved by $\square_{0,0}$, we get

$$\sigma(\square_{0,0}) = \sigma(\square_{0,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{0,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}). \tag{8.6}$$

Since

$$\square_{p,q}(\varphi\otimes\psi) = (\square^S_{p',q'}\varphi)\otimes\psi + \varphi\otimes(\square^T_{p'',q''}\psi) \tag{8.7}$$

for all $\varphi\in A^{p',q'}(S)$ and $\psi\in A^{p'',q''}(T)$ with $p=p'+p'$, $q=q'+q''$, it follows from (8.7) and Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5 that

(8.8)
$$\sigma(\square_{0,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) = \{0\}\amalg(\sigma(\square^{T,+})\setminus\{0\})\amalg(\sigma(\square^{S,+})\setminus\{0\})\amalg \big\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\big\},$$

(8.9)
$$\sigma(\square_{0,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}) = \big\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\big\}.$$

The result follows from (8.6), (8.8), (8.9). □

Lemma 8.7. *The following equality of meromorphic functions on* $\mathbf{C}$ *holds:*

$$\zeta_{1,0}(s) = \zeta^{T,+}(s) + \zeta^{S,+}(s) + 2\zeta^{S,-}(s) + 3\mu^+(s) + 3\mu^-(s).$$

Proof. Since the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} A^{1,0}(X)^+ = {} & [A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-] \\ & \oplus [A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-] \end{aligned}$$

is orthogonal and is preserved by $\square_{1,0}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\square_{1,0}) = {} & \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}) \\ & \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-}). \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

By (8.7) and Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) \\ & = \sigma(\square^{T,+}) \amalg \big\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}) \\ & = \sigma(\square^{S,-}) \amalg \big\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\,(m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.12}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+}) \\
&= \sigma(\square_{1,0}^{S,+}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \qquad (8.13)\\
&= \sigma(\square^{S,+}) \amalg \sigma(\square^{S,-}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-}) = \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}. \qquad (8.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows from (8.10), (8.11), (8.12), (8.13), (8.14). □

Lemma 8.8. *The following equality of meromorphic functions on* $\mathbf{C}$ *holds:*

$$\zeta_{1,1}(s) = 21\zeta^{T,+}(s) + 5\zeta^{S,+}(s) + 4\zeta^{S,-}(s) + 9\mu^+(s) + 9\mu^-(s).$$

Proof. Since the decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{1,1}(X)^+ = {} & [A^{1,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{1,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-] \\
& \oplus [A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^-] \\
& \oplus [A^{0,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{0,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-] \\
& \oplus [A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^+] \oplus [A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^-]
\end{aligned}
$$

is orthogonal and is preserved by $\square_{1,1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\square_{1,1}) = {} & \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}) \\
& \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^-}) \\
& \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-}) \\
& \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^-}).
\end{aligned} \qquad (8.15)
$$

By (8.7) and Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^+}) = \{0\} \amalg (\sigma(\square^{T,+}) \setminus \{0\}) \amalg (\sigma(\square^{S,+}) \setminus \{0\}) \amalg \\
&\qquad \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}, \qquad (8.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,0}(S)^-}) = \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}, \qquad (8.17)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^+}) = \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}, \qquad (8.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{1,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{0,1}(S)^-}) \\
&= \sigma(\square_{0,1}^{S,-}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&= (\sigma(\square^{S,+}) \setminus \{0\}) \amalg \sigma(\square^{S,-}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, i \in I,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \\
&\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S) + \nu_{m,n}(T);\, j \in J,\, (m,n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}, \qquad (8.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

(8.20)
$$\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,1}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^+}) = \left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\} \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}.$$

(8.21)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma(\square_{1,0}|_{A^{0,1}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,0}(S)^-})\\ &= \sigma(\square_{1,0}^{S,-}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &= (\sigma(\square^{S,+})\setminus\{0\}) \amalg \sigma(\square^{S,-}) \amalg \left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &\qquad \amalg \left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\},\end{aligned}$$

(8.22)
$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^+\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^+}) &= h^{1,1}(S)^+\cdot\sigma(\square_{0,0}^{T,+}) \amalg \sigma(\square_{1,1}^{S,+})\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &= h^{1,1}(S)^+\cdot\sigma(\square_{0,0}^{T,+}) \amalg 2\cdot(\sigma(\square^{S,+})\setminus\{0\}) \amalg 2\cdot\sigma(\square^{S,-})\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\},\end{aligned}$$

(8.23)
$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\square_{1,1}|_{A^{0,0}(T)^-\hat{\otimes}A^{1,1}(S)^-}) &= h^{1,1}(S)^-\cdot\sigma(\square_{0,0}^{T,-})\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_i^+(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, i\in I,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}\\ &\quad \amalg 2\cdot\left\{\lambda_j^-(S)+\nu_{m,n}(T);\, j\in J,\, (m,n)\in(\mathbf{Z}^2)^*/\pm 1\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $h^{1,1}(S)^+ + h^{1,1}(S)^- = h^{1,1}(S) = 20$, the result follows from (8.15), (8.16), (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), (8.20), (8.21), (8.22), (8.23). □

8.3. **Proof of Theorem 8.2.** Set $X := X_{(S,\theta,T)}$. Since $\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S) = (2\pi)^{-2}\int_S \gamma_S^2/2!$ and $\mathrm{Vol}(T,\gamma_T) = (2\pi)^{-1}\int_T \gamma_T = (2\pi)^{-1}$ by definition, we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma) = \mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)\mathrm{Vol}(T,\gamma_T)/2 = \mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)/4\pi. \tag{8.24}$$

Following [12, Lemma 13.4], we compute the covolume of the lattice

$$H^2(X,\mathbf{Z}) = H^2(S\times T,\mathbf{Z})^+ = H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^+ \oplus H^2(T,\mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(S,\mathbf{R})^+ \oplus H^2(T,\mathbf{R})$$

with respect to γ. Set $r = r(M)$ and $l = l(M)$. Then $|A_M| = |M^\vee/M| = 2^l$. Since $\mathrm{sign}(M) = (1, r-1)$, there is an integral basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1,\dots,\mathbf{e}_r\}$ of $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})^+ \cong M$ with

$$\det\left(\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle\right)_{1\le\alpha,\beta\le r} = (-1)^{r-1}2^l = (-1)^\rho|A_M|,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the intersection pairing on $H^2(S,\mathbf{Z})$. Then $\{\mathbf{e}_1,\dots,\mathbf{e}_r,[\gamma_T]\}$ is an integral basis of $H^2(S\times T,\mathbf{Z})^+$. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{L^2,\gamma}$ be the L^2-metric on $H^2(S\times T,\mathbf{R})$ with respect to γ. By the same computations as in [12, p.253], we get

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} = (2\pi)^{-3}\left(\frac{\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha[\gamma_S]\rangle\langle \mathbf{e}_\beta,[\gamma_S]\rangle}{\langle[\gamma_S],[\gamma_S]\rangle} - \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle\right), \tag{8.25}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,[\gamma_T]\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} = 0, \qquad \langle[\gamma_T],[\gamma_T]\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} = \frac{\langle[\gamma_S],[\gamma_S]\rangle}{(2\pi)^3\cdot 4} = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)}{4\pi}. \tag{8.26}$$

By (8.25), (8.26) and [12, p.254, l.1-7], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}(H^2(S\times T,\mathbf{Z})^+,\gamma)=\det\begin{pmatrix}\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} & \langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,[\gamma_T]\rangle_{L^2,\gamma}\\ \langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,[\gamma_T]\rangle_{L^2,\gamma} & \langle [\gamma_T],[\gamma_T]\rangle_{L^2,\gamma}\end{pmatrix}\\
&=(-2\pi)^{-3r}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)}{4\pi}\det\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle-\frac{\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha[\gamma_S]\rangle\langle \mathbf{e}_\beta,[\gamma_S]\rangle}{\langle [\gamma_S],[\gamma_S]\rangle}\right) \qquad (8.27)\\
&=(-1)^{-3r}(2\pi)^{-3r-1}\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)}{2}\cdot(-1)2^{-r}\cdot\det\left(\langle \mathbf{e}_\alpha,\mathbf{e}_\beta\rangle\right)\\
&=(2\pi)^{-3\rho+2}2^{-\rho}\cdot|A_M|\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Sing}\, X=(S\times T)^{\theta\times(-1_T)}=S^\theta\times T[2]$ is the 4 copies of S^θ and since $\gamma|_{\mathrm{Sing}\, X}=\gamma_S|_{S^\theta}$ for every component of $(S\times T)^{\theta\times(-1_T)}$, we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}\left(\mathrm{Sing}\, X,\gamma|_{\mathrm{Sing}\, X}\right)\tau\left(\mathrm{Sing}\, X,\gamma|_{\mathrm{Sing}\, X}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta,\gamma_S|_{S^\theta})\,\tau(S^\theta,\gamma_S|_{S^\theta})\right\}^4. \qquad (8.28)$$

By Lemmas 8.6, 8.7 and 8.8, we get

$$\sum_{p,q\geq 0}(-1)^{p+q}pq\,\zeta_{p,q}(s)=24\zeta^{T,+}(s)+8\left\{\zeta^{S,+}(s)-\zeta^{S,-}(s)\right\}. \qquad (8.29)$$

Since $\zeta^{T,+}(s)=\zeta^{T,-}(s)=\zeta^T_{0,0}(s)/2$, we get by (8.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)&=\exp\left(-12\,\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\zeta^T_{0,0}(s)-8\,\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\left\{\zeta^{S,+}(s)-\zeta^{S,-}(s)\right\}\right) \qquad (8.30)\\
&=\tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\gamma_S)(\theta)^{-4}\,\tau(T,\gamma_T)^{-12},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from [44, Lemma 4.3]. Since γ, γ_S, γ_T are Ricci-flat, it follows from (6.12), (2.4), (8.1), (8.24), (8.27), (8.28), (8.30) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X)&=T_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(X,\gamma)\mathrm{Vol}(X,\gamma)^{-3+\frac{\chi(S\times T)+3\chi(S^\theta\times T[2])}{24}}\mathrm{Vol}_{L^2}\left(H^2(S\times T,\mathbf{Z})^+,\gamma\right)^{-1}\\
&\quad\times\tau\left(\mathrm{Sing}\, X,\gamma|_{\mathrm{Sing}\, X}\right)^{-1}\mathrm{Vol}\left(\mathrm{Sing}\, X,\gamma|_{\mathrm{Sing}\, X}\right)^{-1}\\
&=\tau_{\mathbf{Z}_2}(S,\gamma_S)(\theta)^{-4}\,\tau(T,\gamma_T)^{-12}\left\{\mathrm{Vol}(S^\theta,\gamma_S|_{S^\theta})\,\tau(S^\theta,\gamma_S|_{S^\theta})\right\}^{-4}\\
&\quad\times\left\{\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)/4\pi\right\}^{-3+\frac{\chi(S\times T)+3\chi(S^\theta\times T[2])}{24}}\cdot(2\pi)^{3\rho-2}2^\rho\,|A_M|^{-1}\mathrm{Vol}(S,\gamma_S)^{-1}\\
&=2^{14}(2\pi)^{2\rho}|A_M|^{-1}\,\tau_M(S,\theta)^{-4}\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T)^{-12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $\chi(S\times T)=0$, $\chi(S^\theta\times T[2])=8(r-10)$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{ell}}(T)=(2\pi)^{-1}\tau(T,\gamma_T)$ to get the last equality. □

After Corollary 0.3, we propose the following

Conjecture 8.9. For a Calabi-Yau orbifold Y and its crepant resolution $\widetilde{Y}$,

$$\tau^{\mathrm{orb}}_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(Y)=C(\widetilde{Y}/Y)\,\tau_{\mathrm{BCOV}}(\widetilde{Y}),$$

where $C(\widetilde{Y}/Y)$ is a constant depending only on the topological type of the crepant resolution $\widetilde{Y}\to Y$.

This conjecture may be viewed an analogue of Roan's theorem [32] on string theoretic Euler characteristic for global Calabi-Yau threefolds: $\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(X,G)=\chi(\widetilde{X/G})$. Conjecture 8.9 is closely related to another conjecture [12, Conjecture 4.17] claiming the birational invariance of BCOV invariants. We refer the reader to [26] for a current progress on this latter conjecture.

References

[1] Berline, N., Getzler, E., Vergne, M. *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Springer, Berlin (1992).

[2] Bershadsky, M., Cecotti, S., Ooguri, H., Vafa, C. *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nuclear Phys. B **405** (1993), 279–304.

[3] Bershadsky, M., Cecotti, S., Ooguri, H., Vafa, C. *Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 311–427.

[4] Bismut, J.-M. *Equivariant immersions and Quillen metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **41** (1995), 53–157.

[5] Bismut, J.-M., Gillet, H., Soulé, C. *Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles I, II, III*, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988), 49–78, 79–126, 301–351.

[6] Bismut, J.-M., Lebeau, G. *Complex immersions and Quillen metrics*, Publ. Math. IHES **74** (1991), 1–297.

[7] Borcea, C. *K*3 *surfaces with involution and mirror pairs of Calabi–Yau manifolds*, Mirror Symmetry II (B. Green and S.-T. Yau eds) AMS/International Press (1997), 717–743.

[8] Borcherds, R.E. *Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmanians*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 491-562.

[9] Cattani, E., Kaplan, A., Schmid, W. *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. **123** (1986), 457–535.

[10] Cox, D.A., Katz S. *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry*, AMS Providence (1999)

[11] Fang, H., Lu, Z. *Generalized Hodge metrics and BCOV torsion on Calabi-Yau moduli*, J. reine angew. Math. **588** (2005), 49–69.

[12] Fang, H., Lu, Z., Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Analytic torsion for Calabi–Yau threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. **80** (2008), 175–250.

[13] Fujiki, A. *Coarse moduli space for compact Kähler manifolds*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20** (1984), 977–1005.

[14] Grauert, H., Remmert, R. *Plurisubharmonische funktionen in komplexen Räumen*, Math. Zeit. **65** (1956), 175–194.

[15] Gross, M., Wilson, P.M.H. *Mirror symmetry via* 3*-tori for a class of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Math. Ann. **309** (1997), 505–531.

[16] Harvey, J., Moore, G. *Exact gravitational threshold correction in the Ferrara-Harvey-Strominger-Vafa model*, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998), 2329–2336.

[17] Kawamata, Y. *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Compositio Math. **43** (1981), 253–276.

[18] Kawasaki, T. *The signature theorem for* V*-manifolds*, Topology, **17** (1978), 75–83.

[19] Klemm, A., Marino, M. *Counting BPS states on the Enriques Calabi-Yau*, Commun. Math. Phys. **280** (2008), 27–76.

[20] Kuranishi, M. *Deformations of compact complex manifolds*, Les Presse de l'Université de Montréal, (1971).

[21] Lu, Z. *On the Hodge metric of the universal deformation space of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **11** (2001), 103–118.

[22] Ma, S., Yoshikawa, K.-I. *K*3 *surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space IV*, preprint, arXiv:1506.00437 (2015)

[23] Ma, X. *Submersions and equivariant Quillen metrics*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **50** (2000), 1539–1588.

[24] Ma, X. *Orbifolds and analytic torsion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 2205–2233.

[25] Maillot, V., Rössler, D. *On the periods of motives with complex multiplication and a conjecture of Gross-Deligne*, Ann. of Math. **160** (2004), 727–754.

[26] Maillot, V., Rössler, D. *On the birational invariance of the BCOV torsion of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **311** (2012), 301–316.

[27] Maulik, D., Pandharipande, R. *New calculations in Gromov-Witten theory*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008) 469–500.

[28] Morrison, D. *Compactifications of moduli spaces inspired by mirror symmetry*, Astérisque **218** (1993), 243–271.

[29] Nikulin, V.V. *Integral Symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*, Math. USSR Izv. **14** (1980), 103–167.

[30] Nikulin, V.V. *Factor groups of groups of automorphisms of hyperbolic forms with respect to subgroups generated by* 2*-reflections*, J. Soviet Math. **22** (1983), 1401–1476.

[31] Ray, D.B., Singer, I.M. *Analytic torsion for complex manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **98** (1973), 154–177.
[32] Roan, S.-S. *Minimal resolutions of Gorenstein orbifolds in dimension three*, Topology **35** (1996), 489–508.
[33] Schmid, W. *Variation of Hodge structure: The singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.
[34] Schumacher, G. *Moduli of polarized Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. **269** (1984), 137–144.
[35] Siu, Y.-T. *Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents*, Invent. Math. **27** (1974), 53–156.
[36] Steenbrink, J.H.M. *Mixed Hodge structure on vanishing cohomology*, Real and Complex Singularities, Sijthoff-Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn (1977), 525–563.
[37] Tian, G. *Smoothness of the universal deformation space of Compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Peterson-Weil metric*, Mathematical Aspects of String Theory (ed. S.-T. Yau), World Scientific (1987), 629–646.
[38] Todorov, A. *The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), 325–346.
[39] Viehweg, E. *Quasi-Projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin (1995).
[40] Voisin, C. *Miroirs et involutions sur les surfaces $K3$*, Journée de Géométrie Algébrique d'Orsay (Orsay, 1992), Astérisque **218** (1993), 273–323.
[41] Weil, A. *Elliptic Functions According to Eisenstein and Kronecker*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (1999).
[42] Yau, S.-T. *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère Equation, I*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339–411
[43] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *$K3$ surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space*, Invent. Math. **156** (2004), 53–117.
[44] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Real $K3$ surfaces without real points, equivariant determinant of the Laplacian, and the Borcherds Φ-function*, Math. Zeit. **258** (2008), 213–225.
[45] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Calabi–Yau threefolds of Borcea–Voisin, analytic torsion, and Borcherds products*, From Probability to Geometry (II), Volume in honor of Jean-Michel BISMUT, ed. by X. Dai, R. Léandre, X. Ma, W. Zhang, Astérisque **328** (2009), 351–389.
[46] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *$K3$ surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space II*, J. reine angew. Math. **677** (2013), 15–70.
[47] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *$K3$ surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space III*, Math. Zeit. **272** (2012), 175–190.
[48] Yoshikawa, K.-I. *Degenerations of Calabi-Yau threefolds and BCOV invariants*, International J. Math. **26** (2015), 1540010 (33 pages).
[49] Zinger, A. *The reduced genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 691–737.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, JAPAN
E-mail address: yosikawa@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp